## **DECISION ON PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION**

Party concerned: Canada

On 11 April 2008, the secretariat received a question of implementation indicated in the 1. report of the expert review team regarding the review of the initial report of Canada and contained in document FCCC/IRR/2007/CAN. In accordance with paragraph 1 of section VI and paragraph 2 of rule 10 of the Rules of procedure of the Compliance Committee, the question of implementation was deemed received by the Compliance Committee on 14 April 2008.

- 2. The bureau of the Compliance Committee allocated the question of implementation to the enforcement branch on 16 April 2008 under paragraph 1 of section VII, in accordance with paragraphs 4(b) and (c) of section V and paragraph 1 of rule 19 of the Rules of procedure.
- On 17 April 2008, the secretariat notified the members and alternate members of the enforcement branch of the question of implementation, in accordance with paragraph 2 of rule 19 of the Rules of procedure, and of its allocation to the enforcement branch.
- 4 The question of implementation relates to compliance with the guidelines for the preparation of the information required under Article 7 of the Kyoto Protocol (decision 15/CMP.1) and the modalities for the accounting of assigned amounts under Article 7, paragraph 4, of the Kyoto Protocol (decision 13/CMP.1). In particular, the expert review team concluded, after consideration of the provisions of the guidelines for review under Article 8 of the Kyoto Protocol (decision 22/CMP.1), that the status of Canada's national registry on the publication date of the review report was not in accordance with the guidelines and modalities referred to above <sup>3</sup>
- The question is related to the eligibility requirement referred to in paragraph 31(d) of the annex to decision 3/CMP.1, paragraph 21(d) of the annex to decision 9/CMP.1 and paragraph 2(d) of the annex to decision 11/CMP.1. Consequently, the expedited procedures as contained in section X apply.
- Having conducted the preliminary examination in accordance with paragraph 2 of section VII and paragraph 1(a) of section X, the enforcement branch decides to proceed. The enforcement branch in particular notes that the question of implementation raised in the report by the expert review team of the review of the initial report of the Party concerned as indicated in paragraph 4 above is supported by sufficient evidence, is not de minimis or ill-founded, and is based on the requirements of the Kyoto Protocol.
- 7. In accordance with paragraph 5 of section VIII and rule 21 of the Rules of procedure, the enforcement branch agrees to seek expert advice on the content and basis of the report of the expert review team contained in document FCCC/IRR/2007/CAN and on issues related to any decision of the enforcement branch with regard to the indicated question of implementation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All section references in this document refer to the Procedures and mechanisms relating to compliance contained in the annex to decision 27/CMP.1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Contained in the annex to decision 4/CMP.2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See paragraph 140 and section II.A of the report of the expert review team contained in document FCCC/IRR/2007/CAN.

Members participating in the consideration, elaboration and adoption of the decision on preliminary examination: Amjad ABDULLA, Mohammad ALAM (alternate member serving as member), Raúl ESTRADA OYUELA, René J.M. LEFEBER, Stephan MICHEL, Bernard NAMANYA, Sebastian OBERTHÜR, Ilhomjon RAJABOV, Oleg SHAMANOV

This decision was adopted by consensus on 2 May 2008.