## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

THE CITY OF NEW YORK,

Plaintiff,

VS.

Case Number: 1:21-CV-04807-VEC-SDA

EXXON MOBIL CORPORATION, EXXONMOBIL OIL CORPORATION, ROYAL DUTCH SHELL PLC, SHELL OIL COMPANY, BP P.L.C., BP AMERICA INC., and AMERICAN PETROLEUM INSTITUTE,

Defendants.

# PLAINTIFF THE CITY OF NEW YORK'S NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY

Plaintiff the City of New York ("the City") hereby notifies the Court of supplemental authority with respect to its Motion to Remand (Dkt. 37).

Since November 2021, when the Court stayed the case pending the Second Circuit's decision in *Connecticut v. Exxon Mobil* (Dkt. No. 58), courts around the country have issued additional decisions remanding or affirming remand of substantially similar climate deception cases. Each of the opinions is available through an official reporter or the Westlaw database, except for *City of Charleston v. Brabham Oil Co.*, No. 2:20-cv-03579, Dkt. 154 (D.S.C. July 6, 2023), *notice of appeal filed*, No. 23-1802 (3d. Cir. July 5, 2023), which is attached hereto as **Exhibit A**. The opinions are:

Rhode Island v. Shell Oil Prods. Co., L.L.C., 35 F.4th 44 (1st Cir. 2022), cert. denied,
 143 S. Ct. 1796 (2023) (rejecting jurisdictional arguments based on federal common law, complete preemption, the substantial federal question doctrine, the federal enclave

- doctrine, the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act, the federal officer removal statute, the admiralty jurisdiction statute, and the bankruptcy jurisdiction statutes).
- City of Hoboken v. Chevron Corp., 45 F.4th 699 (3d Cir. 2022), cert. denied, 143 S. Ct. 2483 (2023) (rejecting jurisdictional arguments based on federal common law, the substantial federal question doctrine, the First Amendment, the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act, and the federal officer removal statute).
- Mayor & City Council of Baltimore v. BP P.L.C., 31 F.4th 178 (4th Cir. 2022), cert. denied, 143 S. Ct. 1795 (2023) (rejecting jurisdictional arguments based on federal common law, complete preemption, the substantial federal question doctrine, the federal enclave doctrine, the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act, the federal officer removal statute, the admiralty jurisdiction statute, and the bankruptcy jurisdiction statutes).
- Cnty. of San Mateo v. Chevron Corp., 32 F.4th 733 (9th Cir. 2022), cert. denied, 143 S. Ct. 1797 (2023) (rejecting jurisdictional arguments based on federal common law, complete preemption, the substantial federal question doctrine, the federal enclave doctrine, the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act, the federal officer removal statute, the admiralty jurisdiction statute, and the bankruptcy jurisdiction statutes).
- City & Cnty. of Honolulu v. Sunoco LP, 39 F.4th 1101 (9th Cir. 2022), cert. denied,
   143 S. Ct. 1795 (2023) (rejecting jurisdictional arguments based on the federal enclave doctrine, the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act, and the federal officer removal statute).
- Bd. of Cnty. Comm'rs of Boulder Cnty. v. Suncor Energy (U.S.A.) Inc., 25 F.4th 1238 (10th Cir. 2022), cert. denied, 143 S. Ct. 1795 (2023) (rejecting jurisdictional arguments based on federal common law, complete preemption, the substantial federal

- question doctrine, the federal enclave doctrine, the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act, and the federal officer removal statute).
- Minnesota v. Am. Petroleum Institute, 63 F.4th 703 (8th Cir. 2023), petition for cert. filed, No. 23-168 (Aug. 22, 2023) (rejecting jurisdictional arguments based on federal common law, the substantial federal question doctrine, the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act, the federal officer removal statute, and the Class Action Fairness Act).
- *District of Columbia v. Exxon Mobil Corp.*, 640 F. Supp. 3d 95 (D.D.C. 2022), *appeal pending*, No. 22-7163 (D.C. Cir.) (rejecting jurisdictional arguments based on federal common law, complete preemption, the substantial federal question doctrine, the federal enclave doctrine, the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act, the federal officer removal statute, and the Class Action Fairness Act).
- City of Oakland v. BP P.L.C., Nos. 17-06011-WHA & 17-06012-WHA, 2022 WL 14151421 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 24, 2022), appeal pending, No. 22-16810 (9th Cir.) (rejecting jurisdictional arguments based on the substantial federal question doctrine, the First Amendment, the federal enclave doctrine, the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act, and the federal officer removal statute).
- City of Annapolis v. BP P.L.C., Nos. SAG-21-00772 & SAG 21-01323, 2022 WL 4548226 (D. Md. Sept. 29, 2022), appeal pending, No. 22-2082 (4th Cir.) (rejecting jurisdictional arguments based on the substantial federal question doctrine, the First Amendment, and the federal officer removal statute).
- New Jersey v. Exxon Mobil Corp., No. 22-cv-06733, 2023 WL 4086353 (D.N.J. June
   20, 2023) (rejecting jurisdictional arguments based on federal common law, complete

preemption, the federal enclave doctrine, the substantial federal question doctrine, the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act, and the federal officer removal statute).

• City of Charleston v. Brabham Oil Co., No. 2:20-cv-03579, Dkt. 154 (D.S.C. July 6, 2023), notice of appeal filed, No. 23-1802 (4th Cir. July 5, 2023) (rejecting jurisdictional arguments based on fraudulent joinder, the substantial federal question doctrine, the First Amendment, and the federal officer removal statute).

Dated: October 2, 2023 Respectfully submitted,

### SYLVIA O. HINDS-RADIX Corporation Counsel of the City of New York

/s/ Hilary Meltzer

Hilary Meltzer Chief, Environmental Law Division

Alice R. Baker
Tess Dernbach
Nathan Taylor
Assistants Corporation Counsel
100 Church Street
New York, NY 10007
(212) 356-2072
hmeltzer@law.nyc.gov
albaker@law.nyc.gov
tdernbac@law.nyc.gov
ntaylor@law.nyc.gov

#### SHER EDLING LLP

### /s/ Matthew K. Edling

Matthew K. Edling
Victor M. Sher (pro hac vice)
Michael Burger
Katie H. Jones (pro hac vice)
Quentin C. Karpilow (pro hac vice)
100 Montgomery St., Ste. 1410
San Francisco, CA 94104
(628) 231-2500
matt@sheredling.com

vic@sheredling.com michael@sheredling.com katie@sheredling.com quentin@sheredling.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff The City of New York

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that, on the 2nd day of October, 2023, the foregoing document was filed through the ECF system and will be sent electronically to the registered participants identified on the Notice of Electronic Filing.

/s/ Matthew K. Edling

Matthew K. Edling