# FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 04/24/2023 11:04 AM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 105

INDEX NO. 159068/2022 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 04/24/2023

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X

COUNCILMEMBER CHRISTOPHER MARTE, CARLOS E. TORRES, MARIA SALAS, SHIELA HARTE, SOOK YIN YANG, YUET SIU LEE, WENDY PEREZ, MARGARET MOY, BRENDA MALOY, BARBARA KEMPE, AIDA RUIZ and DANETTE CHAVIS,

Index No.: 159068/2022

NOTICE OF APPEAL

Plaintiffs,

-against-

THE CITY OF NEW YORK, CHERRY STREET OWNER LLC, TWO BRIDGES SENIOR APARTMENTS, L.P., TWO BRIDGES ASSOCIATES, L.P. and LE1 SUB LLC,

Defendants.

----- Х

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that annexed hereto is a true and accurate copy of a

Decision/Order by the Honorable Arlene P. Bluth, dated April 17, 2023, entered with the

Clerk of the County of New York against Plaintiffs on April 24, 2023. Plaintiffs hereby

appeal the entire Decision to the Appellate Division, First Department.

Dated: East Hampton, New York April 24, 2023

JACK L. LESTER, ESQ. Attorney for Plaintiffs 41 Squaw Road East Hampton, NY 11937 631-604-2228

To: All Parties (E-File)

# FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 04/24/2023 10:25 AM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 105

INDEX NO. 159068/2022 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 04/24/2023

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----- X

COUNCILMEMBER CHRISTOPHER MARTE, CARLOS E. TORRES, MARIA SALAS, SHIELA HARTE, SOOK YIN YANG, YUET SIU LEE, WENDY PEREZ, MARGARET MOY, BRENDA MALOY, BARBARA KEMPE, AIDA RUIZ and DANETTE CHAVIS,

Index No.: 159068/2022

NOTICE OF ENTRY

Plaintiffs,

-against-

THE CITY OF NEW YORK, CHERRY STREET OWNER LLC, TWO BRIDGES SENIOR APARTMENTS, L.P., TWO BRIDGES ASSOCIATES, L.P. and LE1 SUB LLC,

Defendants.

----- X

SIRS:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the within is a true copy of the Decision/Order of the

Honorable Arlene P. Bluth, dated April 17, 2023, duly entered with the Clerk of the within

named Court on April 17, 2023.

Dated: East Hampton, New York April 24, 2023

The Law Office of Jack L. Lester, Esq.

Jack L. Lester, Esq. 41 Squaw Road East Hampton, NY 11937 631-604-2228

To: All parties (e-file)

# SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK NEW YORK COUNTY

PRESENT:	HON. ARLENE P. BLUTH	PART	14		
	Justice				
	X	INDEX NO.	159068/2022		
SALAS, SHE	MBER CHRISTOPHER MARTE, MARIA ILA HART, FU MAN CHANG, YUET SIU LEE,	MOTION DATE	03/28/2023		
	E PEREZ, MARGARET MOY, BARBARA RL RUSSELL, BARBARA KEMPE, AIDA RUIZ	MOTION SEQ. NO.	001 002 003		
	Plaintiffs,				
	- v -				
LLC,TWO BF BRIDGES AS	F NEW YORK, CHERRY STREET OWNER RIDGES SENIOR APARTMENTS, L.P., TWO SSOCIATES, L.P., 265 CHERRY STREET C, LE1 SUB LLC,	DECISION + 0 MOTI			
	Defendants.				
	X				
	e-filed documents, listed by NYSCEF document nu , 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 84, 8				
were read on t	this motion to/for	DISMISS			
The following	e-filed documents, listed by NYSCEF document nu	mber (Motion 002) 32	, 33, 34, 96		
were read on t	this motion to/for	DISMISS	•		
The following e-filed documents, listed by NYSCEF document number (Motion 003) 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 93, 94, 95					
were read on t	this motion to/for	DISMISS			
Motio	n Sequence Numbers 001, 002 and 003 are con	solidated for dispos	ition. Defendant		

the City of New York's motion (MS001) to dismiss is granted. Defendant Cherry Street Owner

LLC's motion (MS002) to dismiss is granted. Defendants 265 Cherry Street Owner LLC, LE1

Sub LLC and Two Bridges Senior Apartments, L.P. (collectively, "LE1")'s motion (MS003) to

dismiss is granted.

# Background

This declaratory judgment action seeks an order compelling defendants to take various actions in connection with a housing development project in the Two Bridges neighborhood in Manhattan. Plaintiffs contend they live in the neighborhood and insist that the development, which encompasses three different sites, impinges on their constitutional and environmental rights. They point to Article 1, Section 19 of the New York State Constitution, which provides that "Each person shall have a right to clean air and water, and a healthful environment" (the "Green Amendment").

Plaintiffs argue that this project will negatively affect air quality, the amount of open space and result in the loss of light. They demand that vibration and crack monitors be installed to prevent damage to the buildings in which they reside. Plaintiffs complain that available parking will be diminished, which will lead to reduced air quality. They raise numerous issues with the Final Environmental Impact Statement (the "FEIS") produced for this project, including that it was completed too long ago (in November 2018) to account for the effects of COVID-19. Plaintiffs insist that the FEIS is unconstitutional and violates the constitutional amendment cited above. Plaintiffs observe that the project will include the addition of over 2,700 units.

All defendants move to dismiss. In motion sequence 001, defendant the City of New York (the "City") moves to dismiss on the ground that the claims are time-barred and fail to state a cause of action. The City points out that there already was an environmental review completed under the State Environmental Quality Review Act ("SEQRA") over four years ago. It claims the project will provide badly needed housing, including 700 permanently affordable housing units.

#### **3** of 16

The City emphasizes that two previous challenges to this development were unsuccessful and plaintiffs cannot seek another change to discredit the FEIS in this case. It argues that plaintiffs provide nothing new or changed about the project itself that would compel the City to reopen a review under SEQRA or CEQR. The City argues that the Green Amendment was not intended to replace New York's expansive environmental regulatory regime. It also insists that the Green Amendment cannot be applied retroactively.

Defendant Cherry Street Owner, LLC ("Cherry Street") reiterates many of the points raised by the City. It also observes that it, along with the other developers, have invested millions of dollars in reliance upon the First Department decision upholding the City Planning Commission's approvals as valid. Cherry Street questions the effect of plaintiffs' theory, which would purportedly call into question every approval that went through SEQRA review.

LE1 also makes a motion to dismiss that highlights many of the points argued by its fellow co-defendants. It claims that an Article 78 proceeding is required to seek the instant relief, the Green Amendment is not retroactive, that even if this were an Article 78 proceeding it would be untimely and that there are no changes to proposed developments that would require a reexamination of the environmental reports.

Plaintiffs' opposition claims that defendants are breaching the Green Amendment by failing to adhere to SEQRA. They insist that the respiratory health impacts that the development will exacerbate, especially in light of the pandemic, will only increase death rates in the community. Plaintiffs point to an affidavit from Dr. Wu, who insists that air pollution increases in the Two Bridges neighborhood will cause more deaths due to COVID-19 (NYSCEF Doc. No. 86).

Plaintiffs argue that there are changed environmental circumstances that occurred after the publication of the original Environmental Impact Statement ("EIS") that compels the City to do a Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement ("SEIS"). They characterize the pandemic as a newly discovered health hazard that must be taken into consideration. Plaintiffs argue that the Green Amendment is self-executing, meaning it does not need any other legislation to be enforced. They maintain that the City does not have the discretion to ignore the state constitution, and the fact that the Green Amendment does not contain any mandatory duties is of no moment. Plaintiffs argue that a declaration is most appropriate where a constitutional question is involved.

# Discussion

The instant decision requires this Court to assess the impact of the recently passed Green Amendment and how it interacts with the parties' affirmative rights. The impact of passing this amendment and its influence on environmental jurisprudence in this state is only in its infancy. It has been discussed in at least one case in which residents of Perinton, New York sought relief based on the Green Amendment to modify the operation of a landfill (*Fresh Air for the Eastside, Inc. v State*, 2022 N.Y. Slip Op. 34429[U], 3 [Sup Ct, Monroe County 2022]).<sup>1</sup> However, as will be discussed in greater detail below, that case is distinctly different from this one.

New York ratified the Green Amendment in November 2021, and added it to the New York Bill of Rights. It became effective on January 1, 2022 (*id.* at 2). Various court opinions across the country in states that have adopted these types of amendments suggest: "1) that constitutional environmental rights have been interpreted primarily as procedural, not

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Court observes that it was also discussed briefly in a companion case, *Fresh Air for the Eastside, Inc. v Town of Perinton et al.*, Index No. E2021008617 (Sup Ct, Monroe County 2022), in which petitioners brought an Article 78 petition against The town of Perinton to annul the approval of the application for the landfill.

substantive, rights, and (2) that courts ignore the substantive rights language in the constitutional text in favor of other language, which is then given its content through other legal doctrines" (Amber Polk, *The Unfulfilled Promise of Environmental Constitutionalism*, 74 Hastings LJ 123, 165 [2022]).

Moreover, many states, such as Pennsylvania and Hawaii, have found that this type of provision is self-executing (*id.* n 49). "The doctrine of self-execution has to do with the question of whether the constitutional language provides a complete and enforceable rule that a court could implement without the aid of legislative enactment" (*id.*). Certainly, the broad language used in New York's Green Amendment poses thorny questions about how it impacts a plaintiff's right to seek relief in reliance upon this provision. A key purpose for the passage of this type of amendment is to address the issue of standing (*id.* n 58), an obstacle that often arises when parties attempt to bring environmental cases.

Including in New York's Bill of Rights an affirmative right to clean air, water and a healthful environment will undoubtedly make it easier for parties to seek relief where, potentially, they may not have been able to previously make such application (*Fresh Air*, 2022 WL 18141022, n 6 [discussing the difficulties facing a plaintiff who wanted to seek relief related to the landfill]). And it will undoubtedly change the standard by which such claims are analyzed. A Court must consider whether a challenged agency action brought in an Article 78 proceeding is arbitrary or capricious. That may not be the standard to evaluate a possible violation of a constitutional right under the Green Amendment (*id.*). And, presumably, the Green Amendment—to the extent it is interpreted to confer substantive rights as opposed to solely procedural rights—may aid environmental plaintiffs who seek to pursue causes of action that are notoriously difficult to prove (such as a private nuisance).

# FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 04/24/2023 10:25 AM NYSCEF DOC. NO. 105

This case, however, poses a different question. The context in which this case arises involves numerous other attempts to stop the instant development. Those efforts were ultimately rejected in February 2021, when the Appellate Division, First Department upheld the New York City Planning Commission's decision to approve the building applications (*Tenants United Fighting for Lower E. Side v City of New York Dept. of City Planning*, 191 AD3d 548 [1st Dept 2021], *lv to appeal denied*, 37 NY3d 902 [2021], *and lv to appeal denied sub nom. Lower E. Side Organized Neighbors v New York City Planning Commn.*, 37 NY3d 902 [2021]). Plaintiffs here do not seek relief under the Green Amendment as part of the initial effort to challenge a development. Instead, they seek yet another "bite at the apple" under circumstances where every previous request has proved unsuccessful and where, on this record, nothing substantive has changed in the intervening years. For that reason, the Court grants the motions to dismiss.

The Court hesitates to create a brand-new route to challenge developments on an environmental basis, which is exactly what plaintiffs' action would entail. SEQRA and CEQR provide substantial environmental protections and require state and city agencies to consider all manner of factors before approving certain projects. A Court is not the right forum to, essentially, modify the state's environmental regulatory scheme regarding consideration of proposals for developments—that is the province of the legislature. Unlike the situations suggested above (such as addressing the effects of a landfill), litigants have little problem acquiring standing to challenge, and sometimes stop, proposed development projects while relying on SEQRA and CEQR as well as numerous other regulations.

How the Green Amendment will be interpreted over time is unclear. But this Court declines to find that it somehow creates a way to, essentially, make a motion to renew or to start raising challenges that should have been raised long ago. It does not augment an existing statute

#### 8 of 16

of limitations or start a new limitations period. The Court also finds that although this matter is styled as a plenary action, it is, in fact, an Article 78 proceeding to challenge the City's decision to approve this development and so for that reason it is time-barred. The limitations period of four months applicable to these claims has long expired (*see Matter of Save Pine Bush, Inc. v City of Albany*, 70 NY2d 193, 203, 518 NYS2d 943 [1987]).

Moreover, the substantive claims in the complaint do not compel the Court to deny the instant motions. Unlike in Fresh Air for the Eastside, Inc. v State, 2022 N.Y. Slip Op. 34429[U], 3 [Sup Ct, Monroe County 2022]), this is not a situation involving odors from an existing landfill. In that situation, the impact on the residents is readily apparent and the application of the Green Amendment is obvious. This litigation involves building new residential units and requires a balancing of many factors—that is partially why there are statutes such as SEQRA, CEQR and other development-focused procedures. City and state agencies considering a zoning change must balance environmental impacts with the benefits of that development; here, that includes increased housing. The project at issue will create about 700 permanently affordable units in addition to thousands of other units.

Plaintiffs' purported environmental harms are, for the most part, the types of harms traditionally raised by those who oppose construction projects. Many are well founded; there is no doubt that living next to a construction site is, at best, annoying and, at worst, a major inconvenience that disrupts neighbors' lives. Construction can be loud and seemingly endless, and it can severely diminish the neighbors' qualify of life. But those valid arguments do not create a substantive basis for this action or require the City to revisit the completed environmental reviews for this project. The construction of these buildings does not evince the same sort of environmental concerns that might accompany, for example, a landfill or toxic waste site.

A review of the complaint makes this point clear: it contains varying alleged harms, some of which are simply part of living in Manhattan. Plaintiffs complain about a lack of parking (which may actually encourage the use of public transportation although plaintiffs apparently claim it will lead to increased driving, possibly while looking for a spot) before complaining about increased carbon dioxide emissions (NYSCEF Doc. No. 2, ¶¶ 20, 21). The Court makes no finding that concerns about air quality are unfounded. It simply finds that such a concern was addressed in the environmental analysis (*see e.g.*, NYSCEF Doc. No. 15 at S-29-30) and there is no basis to revisit it here.

Plaintiffs also attempt to relate their concerns to the COVID-19 pandemic as a way to justify reopening the Final Environmental Impact Statement ("FEIS"). The Court finds that these concerns are too disparate and remote to justify the relief sought in this action. The pandemic, which caused (and will continue to cause) many harmful effects on the health of Manhattan residents, is not a catch-all reason to open up an environmental review. While COVID-19's impact is a rapidly developing area of public health study, the Court declines to hold that it serves as the basis to reopen an environmental review. To do so would assuredly require agencies to complete another environmental review for nearly every single development project.

Plaintiffs' specific demands, for crack and vibration monitors, are understandable but not supported by the Green Amendment. Those are specific demands that could be made as part of a future lawsuit if the ongoing construction justifies such intervention. Plaintiffs do not allege that the construction, to the extent it is underway, rises to the level that various Building Code provisions (of which there are many) are being violated. Put another way, plaintiffs might have a right to seek relief under the Green Amendment if the construction violates other laws regarding noise and vibration levels. The problem for this Court is that the demands here are based upon what plaintiffs contend is going to happen—a prediction is not a basis to sustain a cause of action.

The Court also declines to find that the Green Amendment has retroactive effect, at least in a situation where, as here, there have been multiple unsuccessful challenges exploring the same exact issues. Moreover, defendants here have established that there have not been significant changes to the development that might require a second look. As Cherry Street points out, if the Green Amendment could be used to create a way to reopen previously unsuccessful efforts, then countless projects would be ripe for challenge. Therefore, the Court declines to find, as plaintiffs demand, that the FEIS produced here is unconstitutional.

## **Summary**

The Court's decision in this case is limited. It merely finds that the Green Amendment cannot be used to bring challenges that were already unsuccessful and where the challenge is time-barred. The instant opinion does not stand for the proposition that the Green Amendment is merely a statement of principles. The Supreme Court, in *Fresh Air for the Eastside, Inc.*, found a cognizable cause of action under the Green Amendment at least as against a governmental entity. But that case did not involve an effort to seek relief that had previously been tried time and again and where a significant development project is underway. There must be some finality and the challenges to this project were rejected by the Appellate Division, First Department and by the Court of Appeals. The substantive rights conferred by the Green Amendment surely do not create a right to recast previously rejected efforts to stop a development.

Accordingly, it is hereby

ORDERED that defendants' motions (MS001, 002 and 003) to dismiss to complaint are

granted, this case is dismissed and the Clerk is directed to enter judgment in favor of defendants

and against plaintiffs without costs or disbursements upon presentation of proper papers therefor.

4/17/2023			(MOC	
DATE			ARLENE P. BLUTH	I, J.S.C.
CHECK ONE:	х	CASE DISPOSED	NON-FINAL DISPOSITION	
	х	GRANTED DENIED	GRANTED IN PART	OTHER
APPLICATION:		SETTLE ORDER	SUBMIT ORDER	
CHECK IF APPROPRIATE:		INCLUDES TRANSFER/REASSIGN	FIDUCIARY APPOINTMENT	REFERENCE

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 105

# Supreme Court of the State of New York Appellate Division: First Indicial Department

Informational Statement (Pursuant to 22 NYCRR 1250.3 [a]) - Civil

Case Title: Set forth the title of the case as it appears on the summons, notice of petition or order to show cause by which the matter was or is to be commenced, or as amended.						
COUNCILMEMBER CHRISTOPHER MARTE, CARLOS E. TORRES, MARIA SALAS, SHIELA HARTE, SOOK YIN YANG, YUET SIU LEE, WENDY PEREZ, MARGARET MOY, BRENDA MALOY, BARBARA KEMPE, AIDA RUIZ and DANETTE CHAVIS						
- against -						
THE CITY OF NEW YORK SENIOR APARTMENTS, L SUB LLC						
Case Type		Filing Type				
<ul> <li>Civil Action</li> <li>CPLR article 75 Arbitration</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>CPLR article 78 Proceed</li> <li>Special Proceeding Oth</li> <li>Habeas Corpus Proceed</li> </ul>	er Original Proceed	□ Executive Law § 298 □ CPLR 5704 Review 220-b w § 36			
Nature of Suit: Check up to three of the following categories which best reflect the nature of the case.						
□ Administrative Review	□ Business Relationships		□ Contracts			
		□ Election Law	□ Estate Matters			
□ Family Court □ Mortgage Foreclosure □		☐ Miscellaneous	□ Prisoner Discipline & Parole			
□ Real Property	□ Statutory	□ Taxation	□ Torts			
(other than foreclosure)	-					

# FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 04/24/2023 11:04 AM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 105

	Appeal				
Paper Appealed From (Check one onl		If an appeal has been take judgment by the filing of t indicate the below inform	n from more than one order or his notice of appeal, please ation for each such order or on a separate sheet of paper.		
Amended Decree	Determination	Order	🗌 Resettled Order		
Amended Judgement	Finding	🗆 Order & Judgment	🗆 Ruling		
🗆 Amended Order	Interlocutory Decree	Partial Decree	Other (specify):		
Decision	□ Interlocutory Judgment	Resettled Decree			
Decree	□ Judgment	Resettled Judgment			
Court: Supreme Cou	rt	County: New Y	ork		
Dated: 04/17/2023		Entered: 04/24/2023			
Judge (name in full): Arlene P. Bluth		Index No.: 159068/2022			
Stage: 🗌 Interlocutory 🔳 Final 🗌	Post-Final	Trial: 🗌 Yes 🔳 No	If Yes: 🗌 Jury 🗌 Non-Jury		
	Prior Unperfected Appeal a	nd Related Case Informatio	n		
Where appropriate, indicate whether there is any related action or proceeding now in any court of this or any other jurisdiction, and if so, the status of the case:         Original Proceeding         Commenced by:       Order to Show Cause       Notice of Petition       Writ of Habeas Corpus       Date Filed:       10/21/2022					
	Cause 🗌 Notice of Petition	Urit of Habeas Corpus	Date Filed: 10/21/2022		
Commenced by:	Cause  Notice of Petition of proceeding in the Appellat	Writ of Habeas Corpus te Division:	Date Filed: 10/21/2022		
Commenced by:	Cause D Notice of Petition of proceeding in the Appellat Proceeding Transferred Purs	Writ of Habeas Corpus te Division: Suant to CPLR 7804(g)			
Commenced by: Order to Show Contend of Court: Choose Court	Cause D Notice of Petition of proceeding in the Appellat Proceeding Transferred Purs	Writ of Habeas Corpus re Division: suant to CPLR 7804(g) unty: Choose	Date Filed: 10/21/2022		
Commenced by:	Cause D Notice of Petition of proceeding in the Appellat Proceeding Transferred Purs Con	<ul> <li>Writ of Habeas Corpus</li> <li>Writ of Habeas Corpus</li> <li>Division:</li> <li>Suant to CPLR 7804(g)</li> <li>unty: Choose</li> <li>der of Transfer Date:</li> </ul>			
Commenced by: Order to Show Contend of Court: Choose Court	Cause D Notice of Petition of proceeding in the Appellat Proceeding Transferred Purs	<ul> <li>Writ of Habeas Corpus</li> <li>Writ of Habeas Corpus</li> <li>Division:</li> <li>Suant to CPLR 7804(g)</li> <li>unty: Choose</li> <li>der of Transfer Date:</li> </ul>			
Commenced by: Order to Show Contend of Court: Choose Court	Cause D Notice of Petition of proceeding in the Appellat Proceeding Transferred Purs Con CPLR 5704 Review of	<ul> <li>Writ of Habeas Corpus</li> <li>Writ of Habeas Corpus</li> <li>Division:</li> <li>Suant to CPLR 7804(g)</li> <li>unty: Choose</li> <li>der of Transfer Date:</li> <li>Ex Parte Order:</li> </ul>			
Commenced by: Order to Show of Statute authorizing commencement of Court: Choose Court Judge (name in full):	Cause Notice of Petition of proceeding in the Appellat Proceeding Transferred Purs Con CPLR 5704 Review of Con	<ul> <li>Writ of Habeas Corpus</li> <li>Writ of Habeas Corpus</li> <li>Division:</li> <li>Suant to CPLR 7804(g)</li> <li>unty: Choose</li> <li>der of Transfer Date:</li> <li>Ex Parte Order:</li> </ul>	e Countv		
Commenced by: Order to Show of Statute authorizing commencement of Court: Choose Court Judge (name in full): Court: Choose Court Judge (name in full):	Cause Notice of Petition of proceeding in the Appellat Proceeding Transferred Purs Con CPLR 5704 Review of Con	<ul> <li>Writ of Habeas Corpus</li> <li>Writ of Habeas Corpus</li> <li>Division:</li> <li>Suant to CPLR 7804(g)</li> <li>Unty: Choose</li> <li>der of Transfer Date:</li> <li>Ex Parte Order:</li> <li>Unty: Choose</li> <li>ted:</li> </ul>	e Countv		

Informational Statement - Civil

# FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 04/24/2023 11:04 AM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 105

Issues: Specify the issues proposed to be raised on the appeal, proceeding, or application for CPLR 5704 review, the grounds for reversal, or modification to be advanced and the specific relief sought on appeal.

The issues raised on the Appeal are two-fold. First, the Court's misinterpretation of the applicability of Article 1, Section 19 to the Two Bridges large scale residential development project. Secondly, the failure of the Court to properly apply the standards of a motion to dismiss pursuant to CPLR 3211.

## **Party Information**

Instructions: Fill in the name of each party to the action or proceeding, one name per line. If this form is to be filed for an appeal, indicate the status of the party in the court of original instance and his, her, or its status in this court, if any. If this form is to be filed for a proceeding commenced in this court, fill in only the party's name and his, her, or its status in this court.

No.	Party Name	Original Status	Appellate Division Status
1	COUNCILMEMBER CHRISTOPHER MARTE	Plaintiff	Appellant
2	CARLOS E. TORRES	Plaintiff	Appellant
3	MARIA SALAS	Plaintiff	Appellant
4	SHIELA HARTE	Plaintiff	Appellant
5	SOOK YIN YANG	Plaintiff	Appellant
6	YUET SIU LEE	Plaintiff	Appellant
7	WENDY PEREZ	Plaintiff	Appellant
8	BRENDA MALOY	Plaintiff	Appellant
9	BARBARA KEMPE	Plaintiff	Appellant
10	AIDA RUIZ	Plaintiff	Appellant
11	DANETTE CHAVIS	Plaintiff	Appellant
12	THE CITY OF NEW YORK	Defendant	Defendant
13	CHERRY STREET OWNER LLC	Defendant	Defendant
14	TWO BRIDGES SENIOR APARTMENTS, L.P.	Defendant	Defendant
15	TWO BRIDGES ASSOCIATES, L.P.	Defendant	Defendant
16	LE1 SUB LLC	Defendant	Defendant
17			
18			
19			
20			

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 105

Address:         City:       State:       Zip:       Telephone No:         E-mail Address: 7288         Attorney Type:       Retained       Assigned       Government       Pro Se       Pro Hac Vice         Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):         Attorney/Firm Name:         Address:         City:       State:       Zip:       Telephone No:         E-mail Address:         Attorney Type:       Retained       Assigned       Government       Pro Se       Pro Hac Vice         Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):       Telephone No:       E-mail Address:         Attorney Type:       Retained       Assigned       Government       Pro Se       Pro Hac Vice         Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):       Attorney/Firm Name:       Address:       Attorney/Firm Name:         Address:       City:       State:       Zip:       Telephone No:         E-mail Address:       City:       State:       Zip:       Telephone No:         E-mail Address:       City:       State:       Zip:       Telephone No:         E-mail Address:       City:       State:       Zip:       Telephone No: <th colspan="6">Attorney Information</th>	Attorney Information					
notice of petition or order to show cause by which a special proceeding is to be commenced in the Appellate Division, only the name of the attorney for the petitioner need be provided. In the event that a litigant represents herself or himself, the box marked "Pro Se" must be checked and the appropriate information for that litigant must be supplied in the spaces provided. Attorney/Firm Name: Jack L. Lester, Esq. Address: 41 Squaw Road City: East Hampton State: NY Zip: 11937 Telephone No: 631-604-2228 E-mail Address: Normal@aoLcom Attorney Type: Retained Assigned Government Pro Se Pro Hac Vice Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above): 1-11 Attorney/Firm Name: New York City Law Department - Christopher Gene King, Esq. Address: 10 Church Street City: New York State: NY Zip: 10007 Telephone No: 212-3562074 E-mail Address: oking@aw.nyc.gov Attorney Type: Retained Assigned Government Pro Se Pro Hac Vice Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above): 12 Attorney/Firm Name: Kristin Michelle Whidby, Esq FRIED, FRANK, HARRIS, SKRIVER & JACOBSON LLP Address: 801 Th St. NW City: Washington State: DC Zip: 20006 Telephone No: 202-839-7288 E-mail Address: kristin.whidby@firedfmark.com Attorney/Firm Name: Address: City: State: Zip: Telephone No: 202-839-7288 E-mail Address: Tratin.whidby@firedfmark.com Attorney/Firm Name: Address: City: State: Zip: Telephone No: E-mail Address: Ziste: Zip: Telephone No: E-mail Address: Ziste Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above): 13-16 Attorney/Firm Name: Address: City: State: Zip: Telephone No: E-mail Address: City: State: Zip: Telephone N	Instructions: Fill in the na	mas of the attorneys or firms fo	r the respective part	ties. If this form is to be filed with the		
only the name of the attorney for the petitioner need be provided. In the event that a litigant represents herself or himself, the box marked "Pro Se" must be checked and the appropriate information for that litigant must be supplied in the spaces provided.          Attorney/Firm Name: Jack L Lester, Esq.       Address: 13 Susaw Road         City: East Hampton       State: NY       Zip: 11937         E-mail Address: Jikoniaw@alcom       Attorney/Firm Name: Jack L Lester, Esq.         Adtorney Type:          Retained          Assigned          Government          Pro Se          Pro Hac Vice         Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):          1-11         Attorney Type:          Retained          Assigned          Government          Pro Se          Pro Hac Vice         Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):          1-11         Attorney Type:          Retained          Assigned          Government          Pro Se          Pro Hac Vice         Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):          12          Attorney Type:          Retained          Assigned          Government          Pro Se          Pro Hac Vice         Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):          12          Attorney/Firm Name:          Retained          Assigned          Government          Pro Se          Pro Hac Vice          Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) f		-				
himself, the box marked "Pro Se" must be checked and the appropriate information for that litigant must be supplied in the spaces provided.         Attorney/Firm Name: Jack L Lester, Esq.         Address: 41 Squaw Road         City: East Hampton       State: NY       Zip: 11937       Telephone No: 631-604-2228         E-mail Address: jlcomlaw@aol.com       Attorney Type:           Retained Assigned Government Pro Se Pro Hac Vice         Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):          1-11         Address: 100 Church Street          City: Washington State: NY Zip: 10007          Telephone No: 212-3562074         E-mail Address: ching@aw.nyc.gov              Enali Address: Signed Government Pro Se Pro Hac Vice         Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above): 12          Attorney Type: Retained Assigned Government Pro Se Pro Hac Vice         Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above): 12          Attorney/Firm Name: Kristin Michele Whitby, Esq. FRIED, FRANK, HARRIS, SHRIVER & JACOBSON LLP         Address: dialn.whitbly@iniedirank.com          Telephone No: 202-639-7288         E-mail Address: reliad Address: reliad Assigned Government Pro Se Pro Hac Vice         Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above): 13-16         Attorney/Firm Name:           Address:         Ci	-			••		
in the spaces provided.  Attorney/Firm Name: Jack L. Lesler, Esq.  Address: 41 Squaw Road  City: East Hampion State: NY Zip: 11937 Telephone No: 631-604-2228 E-mail Address: jllcomlaw@aot.com  Attorney Type: Retained Assigned Government Pro Se Pro Hac Vice Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above): 1-11 Attorney/Firm Name: New York City Law Department - Christopher Gene King, Esq. Address: 100 Church Street  City: New York State: NY Zip: 10007 Telephone No: 212-3562074 E-mail Address: oliog@aw.nyc.gov Attorney Type: Retained Assigned Government Pro Se Pro Hac Vice Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above): 12 Attorney/Firm Name: Kristin Michelle Whidby, Esq FRIED, FRANK, HARRIS, SHRIVER & JACOBSON LLP Address: 801 17h St. NW City: Washington State: DC Zip: 20006 Telephone No: 202-639-7288 E-mail Address: instin whidby@friedfrank.com Attorney Type: Retained Assigned Government Pro Se Pro Hac Vice Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above): 13-16 Attorney/Firm Name: Address: City: State: Zip: Telephone No: E-mail Address: 7288 Attorney/Firm Name: Attorney/Firm Name: Address: City: State: Zip: Telephone No: E-mail Add	-					
Attorney/Firm Name: Jack L Lester, Esq.         Address: 41 Squaw Road         City: East Hampton       State: NY       Zip: 11837       Telephone No: 631-604-2228         E-mail Address: Jloomlaw@aoLoom       Attorney Type:       Retained       Assigned       Government       Pro Se       Pro Hac Vice         arty or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):       1-11         Attorney/Firm Name: New York City Law Department - Christopher Gene King, Esq.       Address: 00 Church Street         City: New York       State: NY       Zip: 10007       Telephone No: 212-3562074         E-mail Address: othing@law.nyc.gov       Attorney Type:       Retained       Assigned       Government       Pro Se       Pro Hac Vice         Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):       12       Attorney/Firm Name: Kristin Michaele Whidby, Esq FRIED, FRANK, HARRIS, SHRIVER & JACOBSON LLP         Address: 80117th St. NW       City: assigned       Government       Pro Se       Pro Hac Vice         Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):       12       Attorney/Firm Name:         Address: Kinstin whidbly@indfrank.com       Attorney Type:       Retained       Assigned       Government       Pro Se       Pro Hac Vice         Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):						
Address: 41 Squaw Road         City: East Hampton       State: NY       Zip: 11937       Telephone No: 631-604-2228         E-mail Address: Jloomlaw@aol.com       Attorney Type:       Retained       Assigned       Government       Pro Se       Pro Hac Vice         Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):       1-11         Attorney/Firm Name:       New York City Law Department - Christopher Gene King, Esq.         Address: 100 Church Street       City: New York       State: NY       Zip: 10007       Telephone No: 212-3562074         E-mail Address: ciking@law.nyc.gov       Attorney Type:       Retained       Assigned       Government       Pro Se       Pro Hac Vice         Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):       12         Attorney/Firm Name: Knistin Michelle Whidby. Esq FRIED. FRANK, HARRIS. SHRIVER & JACOBSON ILP         Address: 301 17th. St. NW       State: DC       Zip: 20006       Telephone No: 202-639-7288         E-mail Address: kristinwhidby@riadfrank.com       Attorney/Firm Name:       Address: 201 77th. St. NW         City: Washington       State: DC       Zip: 20006       Telephone No: 202-639-7288         E-mail Address: kristin.whidby@riadfrank.com       Attorney/Firm Name:       Address: 201 77th st. NW         City:       State: DC       Zip: Telephone No						
City: East Hampton       State: NY       Zip: 11937       Telephone No: 631-604-2228         E-mail Address: Jicomlaw@eoLcom       Attorney Type:       Retained       Assigned       Government       Pro Se       Pro Hac Vice         Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):       1-11         Attorney/Firm Name: New York City Law Department - Christopher Gene King, Esq.       Address:       City: New York       State: NY       Zip: 10007       Telephone No: 212-3562074         E-mail Address: ciking@law.nyc.gov       Attorney Type:       Retained       Assigned       Government       Pro Se       Pro Hac Vice         Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):       12         Attorney/Firm Name: Kristin Michelle Whidby, Esq FRIED, FRANK, HARRIS, SHRIVER & JACOBSON ILP       Address: 0171 ht St. NW         City: Washington       State: DC       Zip: 20006       Telephone No: 202-639-7288         E-mail Address: institu.whidby@firedfrank.com       Attorney/Firm Name:       Address: 0171 ht St. NW         City: Washington       State: DC       Zip: 20006       Telephone No: 202-639-7288         E-mail Address: rotinu.whidby@firedfrank.com       Attorney/Firm Name:       Address: 02       Pro Hac Vice         Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):       13-16       Attorney/Firm Name:		L. Lester, Esq.				
E-mail Address: jilcomlaw@aol.com Attorney Type: Retained Assigned Government Pro Se Pro Hac Vice Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above): 1-11 Attorney/Firm Name: New York City Law Department - Christopher Gene King, Esq. Address: 100 Church Street City: New York State: NY Zip: 10007 Telephone No: 212-3562074 E-mail Address: cking@iaw.nyc.gov Attorney Type: Retained Assigned Government Pro Se Pro Hac Vice Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above): 12 Attorney/Firm Name: Knstin Michelle Whidby, Esq FRIED, FRANK, HARRIS, SHRIVER & JACOBSON LLP Address: 001 17th St. NW City: Washington State: DC Zip: 20006 Telephone No: 202-639-7288 E-mail Address: kristin.whidby@riedfrank.com Attorney Type: Retained Assigned Government Pro Se Pro Hac Vice Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above): 13-16 Attorney/Firm Name: Address: 7288 Attorney/Firm Name: City: State: Zip: Telephone No: E-mail Address: City: State: Zip: Telephone No: E-mail			1			
Attorney Type:       Retained       Assigned       Government       Pro Se       Pro Hac Vice         Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):       1-11         Attorney/Firm Name: New York City Law Department - Christopher Gene King, Esq.         Address:       City: New York       State: NY       Zip: 10007       Telephone No:212-3562074         E-mail Address: cking@law.nyc.gov       Attorney Type:       Retained       Assigned       Government       Pro Se       Pro Hac Vice         Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):       12         Attorney/Firm Name: Kristin Michelle Whidby. Esq FRIED. FRANK, HARRIS. SHRIVER & JACOBSON LLP         Address: 011 Th St. NW       City: Washington       State: DC       Zip: 20006       Telephone No: 202-639-7286         E-mail Address: kristin.whidby@friedfrank.com       Attorney Type:       Retained       Assigned       Government       Pro Se       Pro Hac Vice         Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):       13-16       Attorney/Firm Name:         Address:       City:       State:       Zip:       Telephone No:       E-mail Address: 7288         Attorney/Firm Name:       Address:       City:       State:       Zip:       Telephone No:         E-mail Address:       Cit	,		Zip: 11937	Telephone No: 631-604-2228		
Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above): 1-11 Attorney/Firm Name: New York City Law Department - Christopher Gene King, Esq. Address: toto Church Street City: New York State: NY Zip: 10007 Telephone No: 212-3562074 E-mail Address: cking@law.nyc.gov Attorney Type: Retained Assigned Government Pro Se Pro Hac Vice Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above): 12 Attorney/Firm Name: Kristin Michelle Whidby, Esq FRIED, FRANK, HARRIS, SHRIVER & JACOBSON LLP Address: 801 17th St. NW City: Washington State: DC Zip: 20006 Telephone No: 202-639-7288 E-mail Address: kristin.whidby@friedfrank.com Attorney Type: Retained Assigned Government Pro Se Pro Hac Vice Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above): 13-16 Attorney/Firm Name: Address: City: State: Zip: Telephone No: City: State: Zip: Telephone No: Attorney Type: Retained Assigned Government Pro Se Pro Hac Vice Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above): Attorney/Firm Name: Address: City: State: Zip: Telephone No: City: Attorney Type: State: Zip: Telephone No: City: City: State: City: State: Zip: Telephone No: City: City: State: City: State: Zip: Telephone No: City: City: State: City: City: City: State: City: C						
Attorney/Firm Name: New York City Law Department - Christopher Gene King, Esq. Address: 100 Church Street City: New York State: NY Zip: 10007 Telephone No: 212-3562074 E-mail Address: cking@law.nyc.gov Attorney Type: Retained Assigned Government Pro Se Pro Hac Vice Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above): 12 Attorney/Firm Name: Kristin Michelle Whidby, Esq FRIED, FRANK, HARRIS, SHRIVER & JACOBSON LLP Address: 801 17th St. NW City: Washington State: DC Zip: 20006 Telephone No: 202-639-7288 E-mail Address: kristin.whidby@friedfrank.com Attorney Type: Retained Assigned Government Pro Se Pro Hac Vice Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above): 13-16 Attorney/Firm Name: Address: 7288 Attorney Type: Retained Assigned Government Pro Se Pro Hac Vice Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above): Attorney/Firm Name: Address: City: State: Zip: Telephone No: E-mail Address: Attorney Type: Retained Assigned Government Pro Se Pro Hac Vice Ci						
Address: 100 Church Street         City: New York       State: NY       Zip: 10007       Telephone No: 212-3562074         E-mail Address: cking@law.nyc.gov       Attorney Type:       Retained       Assigned       Government       Pro Se       Pro Hac Vice         Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):       12         Attorney/Firm Name:       Kristin Michelle Whidby, Esq FRIED, FRANK, HARRIS, SHRIVER & JACOBSON LLP         Address:       80117th St. NW         City:       State: DC       Zip: 20006       Telephone No: 202-639-7288         E-mail Address: kristin.whidby@friedfrank.com       Attorney Type:       Retained       Assigned       Government       Pro Se       Pro Hac Vice         Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):       13-16         Attorney/Firm Name:       Address:       Zip:       Telephone No:       E-mail Address: 7288         Attorney Type:       Retained       Assigned       Government       Pro Se       Pro Hac Vice         Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):       13-16       Attorney/Firm Name:         Address:       Zip:       Telephone No:       E-mail Address:       City:       State:       Zip:       Telephone No:         E-mail Address:       C	えだけだいにににははははははににははははな	そけだいははははははははははははははははははない		1-11 **********************************		
City: New York       State: NY       Zip: 10007       Telephone No: 212-3562074         E-mail Address: cking@law.nyc.gov       Attorney Type:       Retained       Assigned       Government       Pro Se       Pro Hac Vice         Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):       12         Attorney/Firm Name: Kristin Michelle Whidby, Esq FRIED, FRANK, HARRIS, SHRIVER & JACOBSON LLP         Address: 801 17th St. NW         City: Washington       State: DC       Zip: 20006       Telephone No: 202-639-7288         E-mail Address: kristin.whidby@friedfrank.com         Attorney Type:       Retained       Assigned       Government       Pro Se       Pro Hac Vice         Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):       13-16         Attorney/Firm Name:       Address:       Zip:       Telephone No:       E-mail Address:         Attorney Type:       State:       Zip:       Telephone No:       E-mail Address:         City:       State:       Zip:       Telephone		York City Law Department - Christopher	Gene King, Esq.			
E-mail Address: oking@law.nyc.gov         Attorney Type:       Retained       Assigned       Government       Pro Se       Pro Hac Vice         Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):       12         Attorney/Firm Name:       Kristin Michelle Whidby, Esq FRIED, FRANK, HARRIS, SHRIVER & JACOBSON LLP         Address:       0117th       State: DC       Zip: 20006       Telephone No: 202-639-7288         E-mail Address: kristin.whidby@friedfrank.com       Attorney Type:       Retained       Assigned       Government       Pro Se       Pro Hac Vice         Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):       13-16         Attorney/Firm Name:       Address:       Zip:       Telephone No:       E-mail Address: 7288         Attorney Type:       State:       Zip:       Telephone No:       E-mail Address:         Attorney Type:       Retained       Assigned       Government       Pro Se       Pro Hac Vice         Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):       13-16       Attorney/Firm Name:       Address:         City:       State:       Zip:       Telephone No:       E-mail Address:       City:       State:       City:       City:       State:       Zip:       Telephone No:       E-mail Address:			1			
Attorney Type:       Retained       Assigned       Government       Pro Se       Pro Hac Vice         Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):       12         Attorney/Firm Name:       Kristin Michelle Whidby, Esq FRIED, FRANK, HARRIS, SHRIVER & JACOBSON LLP         Address:       State: DC       Zip: 20006       Telephone No: 202-639-7288         E-mail Address: kristin.whidby@friedfrank.com       Attorney Type:       Retained       Assigned       Government       Pro Se       Pro Hac Vice         Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):       13-16         Attorney/Firm Name:       Address:       Zip:       Telephone No:       E-mail Address: 7288         Attorney Type:       State:       Zip:       Telephone No:       E-mail Address:         City:       State:       Zip:       Telephone No:       E-mail Address:         Attorney Type:       Retained       Assigned       Government       Pro Se       Pro Hac Vice         Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):       13-16       Attorney/Firm Name:       Address:         City:       State:       Zip:       Telephone No:       E-mail Address:       City:       State:       Zip:       Telephone No:       E-mail Address:       City:			Zip: 10007	Telephone No: 212-3562074		
Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above): 12 Attorney/Firm Name: Kristin Michelle Whidby, Esg FRIED, FRANK, HARRIS, SHRIVER & JACOBSON LLP Address: 801 17th St. NW City: Washington State: DC Zip: 20006 Telephone No: 202-639-7288 E-mail Address: kristin.whidby@friedfrank.com Attorney Type: Retained Assigned Government Pro Se Pro Hac Vice Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above): 13-16 Attorney/Firm Name: Address: City: State: Zip: Telephone No: E-mail Address: 7288 Attorney Type: Retained Assigned Government Pro Se Pro Hac Vice Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above): Attorney/Firm Name: Address: City: State: Zip: Telephone No: E-mail Address: City: State: Zip: Telephone No: E-mail Address: City: State: Zip: Telephone No: E-mail Address: City: State: Zip: Telephone No: Attorney/Firm Name: Address: City: State: Zip: Telephone No: E-mail Address: City: State: Zip: Telephone No:				_		
Attorney/Firm Name: Kristin Michelle Whidby, Esq FRIED, FRANK, HARRIS, SHRIVER & JACOBSON LLP   Address: State: DC Zip: 20006 Telephone No: 202-639-7288   E-mail Address: Retained Assigned Government Pro Se Pro Hac Vice   Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above): 13-16   Attorney/Firm Name: Address: Zip: Telephone No:   E-mail Address: State: Zip: Telephone No:   City: State: Zip: Telephone No:   E-mail Address: Retained Assigned Government Pro Se   Pro Yarties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above): Nacure Pro Yarties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):   Attorney/Firm Name: Address: Zip: Telephone No:   E-mail Address: Zip: Telephone No:		0				
Address: 801 17th St. NW         City: Washington       State: DC       Zip: 20006       Telephone No: 202-639-7288         E-mail Address: kristin.whidby@friedfrank.com       Attorney Type:       Retained       Assigned       Government       Pro Se       Pro Hac Vice         Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):       13-16         Attorney/Firm Name:       Address:         Address:       Zip:       Telephone No:         E-mail Address: 7288         Attorney Type:       Retained       Assigned       Government       Pro Se       Pro Hac Vice         Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):       13-16         Attorney Type:       Retained       Assigned       Government       Pro Se       Pro Hac Vice         Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):       Attorney/Firm Name:       Address:       City:       State:       Zip:       Telephone No:       E-mail Address:         City:       State:       Zip:       Telephone No:       E-mail Address:       City:       State:       Zip:       Telephone No:         Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):       Attorney Type:       Retained       Assigned       Government       Pro Se       Pro Ha	Party or Parties Represent	ed (set forth party number(s) fro	om table above): 1	12 		
City: Washington       State: DC       Zip: 20006       Telephone No: 202-639-7288         E-mail Address: kristin.whidby@friedfrank.com       Attorney Type:       Retained       Assigned       Government       Pro Se       Pro Hac Vice         Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):       13-16         Attorney/Firm Name:       Address:         Address:       Zip:       Telephone No:         E-mail Address: 7288       State:       Zip:       Telephone No:         Attorney Type:       Retained       Assigned       Government       Pro Se       Pro Hac Vice         Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):       Attorney Type:       Pro Hac Vice         Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):       Attorney/Firm Name:       Address:         City:       State:       Zip:       Telephone No:       E-mail Address:         City:       State:       Zip:       Telephone No:       E-mail Address:         Attorney Type:       Retained       Assigned       Government       Pro Se       Pro Hac Vice         Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):       Attorney Type:       Pro Se       Pro Hac Vice         Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) f	Attorney/Firm Name: Kristi	n Michelle Whidby, Esq FRIED, FRAN	K, HARRIS, SHRIVER &	JACOBSON LLP		
E-mail Address: kristin.whidby@friedfrank.com         Attorney Type:          Retained         Assigned         Government         Pro Se         Pro Hac Vice          Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):         13-16          Attorney/Firm Name:          Address:         City:       State:         Zip:       Telephone No:         E-mail Address: 7288         Attorney Type:       Retained         Assigned         Government         Pro Se         Pro Hac Vice          Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):         Attorney Type:       Retained         Assigned         Government          Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):         Attorney/Firm Name:         Address:         City:       State:         Zip:       Telephone No:         E-mail Address:         City:       State:         Zip:       Telephone No:         E-mail Address:         Attorney/Firm Name:         Address:         City:       State:         Zip:       Telephone No:         City:       State:         Zip:       Telephone No:         City:       State:       Zip:	Address: 801 17th St. NW		1			
Attorney Type: Retained Assigned Government Pro Se Pro Hac Vice   Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):   13-16   Adtorney/Firm Name:   Address:   City: State: Zip: Telephone No:   E-mail Address: 7288   Attorney Type: Retained Assigned Government Pro Se Pro Hac Vice   Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):   Attorney/Firm Name:   Address:   City: State: Zip: Telephone No:   E-mail Address:   City: State: Zip: Telephone No:   Pro Hac Vice Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):   Attorney/Firm Name: Zip: Telephone No:   Address: Zip: Telephone No:   Cattorney Type:   Retained Assigned Government Pro Se   Pro Hac Vice   Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):   Attorney Type: Retained Assigned   Government Pro Se Pro Hac Vice   Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):   Attorney/Firm Name:   Address:   City: State:   City: State:   Zip:   Telephone No:   E-mail Address:   City: State:   Zip:   Telephone No:   E-mail Address:   City:	City: Washington	State: DC	Zip: 20006	Telephone No: 202-639-7288		
Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above): 13-16 Attorney/Firm Name: Address: City: State: Zip: Telephone No: E-mail Address: 7288 Attorney Type: Retained Assigned Government Pro Se Pro Hac Vice Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above): Attorney/Firm Name: Address: City: State: Zip: Telephone No: E-mail Address: Attorney Type: Retained Assigned Government Pro Se Pro Hac Vice Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above): Attorney/Firm Name: Address: City: State: Zip: Telephone No: E-mail Address: Attorney/Firm Name: Address: City: State: Zip: Telephone No: E-mail Address: Attorney/Firm Name: Address: City: State: Zip: Telephone No: E-mail Address: City: State: Zip: Telephone No: E-mail Address: Attorney Type: Retained Assigned Government Pro Se Pro Hac Vice	E-mail Address: kristin.whidb	y@friedfrank.com				
Attorney/Firm Name:   Address:   City: State:   E-mail Address: 7288   Attorney Type:   Retained   Assigned   Government   Pro Se   Pro Hac Vice   Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):   Attorney/Firm Name:   Address:   City:   State:   Zip:   Telephone No:   E-mail Address:   Attorney Type:   Retained   Assigned   Government   Pro Se   Pro Hac Vice   Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):   Attorney Type:   Retained   Assigned   Government   Pro Se   Pro Hac Vice   Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):   Attorney/Firm Name:   Address:   City:   State:   City:   State:   Zip:   Telephone No:   E-mail Address:   Attorney Type:   Retained   Assigned   Government   <	Attorney Type:	🔳 Retained 🛛 Assigned 🗌	Government	Pro Se 🗌 Pro Hac Vice		
Address:         City:       State:       Zip:       Telephone No:         E-mail Address: 7288         Attorney Type:       Retained       Assigned       Government       Pro Se       Pro Hac Vice         Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):         Attorney/Firm Name:         Address:         City:       State:       Zip:       Telephone No:         E-mail Address:         Attorney Type:       Retained       Assigned       Government       Pro Se       Pro Hac Vice         Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):       Telephone No:       E-mail Address:         Attorney Type:       Retained       Assigned       Government       Pro Se       Pro Hac Vice         Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):       Attorney/Firm Name:       Address:       Attorney/Firm Name:         Address:       City:       State:       Zip:       Telephone No:         E-mail Address:       City:       State:       Zip:       Telephone No:         E-mail Address:       City:       State:       Zip:       Telephone No:         E-mail Address:       City:       State:       Zip:       Telephone No: <td>Party or Parties Represent</td> <td>ed (set forth party number(s) fro</td> <td>om table above): 1</td> <td>3-16</td>	Party or Parties Represent	ed (set forth party number(s) fro	om table above): 1	3-16		
City:       State:       Zip:       Telephone No:         E-mail Address: 7288       Attorney Type:       Retained       Assigned       Government       Pro Se       Pro Hac Vice         Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):       Attorney/Firm Name:       Address:         Address:       Zip:       Telephone No:       E-mail Address:         City:       State:       Zip:       Telephone No:         E-mail Address:       Attorney Type:       Retained       Assigned       Government       Pro Se       Pro Hac Vice         Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):       Attorney Type:       Pro Hac Vice       Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):         Attorney/Firm Name:       Address:       City:       State:       Zip:       Telephone No:         City:       State:       Zip:       Telephone No:       E-mail Address:         City:       State:       Zip:       Telephone No:         E-mail Address:       Zip:       Telephone No:         E-mail Address:       Zip:       Telephone No:         E-mail Address:       Retained       Assigned       Government       Pro Se       Pro Hac Vice	Attorney/Firm Name:					
E-mail Address: 7288         Attorney Type:       Retained       Assigned       Government       Pro Se       Pro Hac Vice         Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):         Attorney/Firm Name:         Address:         City:       State:       Zip:       Telephone No:         E-mail Address:         Attorney Type:       Retained       Assigned       Government       Pro Se       Pro Hac Vice         Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):       Telephone No:       E-mail Address:         Attorney Type:       Retained       Assigned       Government       Pro Se       Pro Hac Vice         Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):       Attorney/Firm Name:       Address:       City:       State:       Zip:       Telephone No:         City:       State:       Zip:       Telephone No:       E-mail Address:         City:       State:       Zip:       Telephone No:         E-mail Address:       Zip:       Telephone No: <td>Address:</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Address:					
Attorney Type:       Retained       Assigned       Government       Pro Se       Pro Hac Vice         Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):         Attorney/Firm Name:         Address:         City:       State:       Zip:       Telephone No:         E-mail Address:         Attorney Type:       Retained       Assigned       Government       Pro Se       Pro Hac Vice         Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):       Attorney Type:       Pro Hac Vice         Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):       Attorney/Firm Name:         Address:       City:       State:       Zip:       Telephone No:         City:       State:       Zip:       Telephone No:         Address:       City:       State:       Zip:       Telephone No:         E-mail Address:       Zip:       Telephone No:       E-mail Address:         Attorney Type:       Retained       Assigned       Government       Pro Se       Pro Hac Vice	City:	State:	Zip:	Telephone No:		
Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):   Attorney/Firm Name:   Address:   City: State:   Zip: Telephone No:   E-mail Address:   Attorney Type:   Retained   Assigned   Government   Pro Se   Pro Hac Vice   Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):   Attorney/Firm Name:   Address:   City:   State:   Zip:   Telephone No:   E-mail Address:   Attorney Type:   Retained   Assigned   Government   Pro Se   Pro Hac Vice	E-mail Address: 7288					
Attorney/Firm Name:         Address:         City:       State:       Zip:       Telephone No:         E-mail Address:         Attorney Type:       Retained       Assigned       Government       Pro Se       Pro Hac Vice         Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):         Attorney/Firm Name:         Address:         City:       State:       Zip:       Telephone No:         City:       State:       Zip:       Telephone No:         E-mail Address:       Zip:       Telephone No:         Attorney Type:       Retained       Assigned       Government       Pro Se       Pro Hac Vice	Attorney Type:	🗌 Retained 🛛 Assigned 🗌	Government	Pro Se 🛛 Pro Hac Vice		
Address:       Zip:       Telephone No:         City:       State:       Zip:       Telephone No:         E-mail Address:       Attorney Type:       Retained       Assigned       Government       Pro Se       Pro Hac Vice         Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):       Attorney/Firm Name:       Address:       Address:         City:       State:       Zip:       Telephone No:         E-mail Address:       Zip:       Telephone No:         Attorney Type:       Retained       Assigned       Government       Pro Se       Pro Hac Vice	Party or Parties Represent	ed (set forth party number(s) fro	om table above):			
City:       State:       Zip:       Telephone No:         E-mail Address:       Attorney Type:       Retained       Assigned       Government       Pro Se       Pro Hac Vice         Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):       Pro Se       Pro Hac Vice         Attorney/Firm Name:       Address:       Zip:       Telephone No:         City:       State:       Zip:       Telephone No:         E-mail Address:       Zip:       Telephone No:         Attorney Type:       Retained       Assigned       Government       Pro Se       Pro Hac Vice	Attorney/Firm Name:	u an	r a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		
E-mail Address:   Attorney Type: Retained   Assigned Government   Pro Se Pro Hac Vice   Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):   Attorney/Firm Name:   Address:   City: State:   Zip:   Telephone No:   E-mail Address:   Attorney Type:   Retained   Assigned   Government   Pro Se   Pro Hac Vice	Address:					
Attorney Type:       Retained       Assigned       Government       Pro Se       Pro Hac Vice         Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):         Attorney/Firm Name:         Address:         City:       State:       Zip:       Telephone No:         E-mail Address:         Attorney Type:       Retained       Assigned       Government       Pro Se       Pro Hac Vice	City:	State:	Zip:	Telephone No:		
Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above): Attorney/Firm Name: Address: City: State: Zip: Telephone No: E-mail Address: Attorney Type: Retained Assigned Government Pro Se Pro Hac Vice	E-mail Address:	· ·		· · ·		
Attorney/Firm Name: Address: City: State: Zip: Telephone No: E-mail Address: Attorney Type: Retained Assigned Government Pro Se Pro Hac Vice	Attorney Type:	🗌 Retained 🛛 Assigned 🗌	Government	Pro Se 🛛 Pro Hac Vice		
Address:         City:       State:       Zip:       Telephone No:         E-mail Address:         Attorney Type:       Retained       Assigned       Government       Pro Se       Pro Hac Vice	Party or Parties Represente	ed (set forth party number(s) fro	om table above):			
Address:         City:       State:       Zip:       Telephone No:         E-mail Address:         Attorney Type:       Retained       Assigned       Government       Pro Se       Pro Hac Vice	Attorney/Firm Name:	UM-M-M-M-M-M-M-M-M-M-M-M-M-M-M-M-M-M-M-	かるのいいい いろん いろい ひろう かいかい	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A		
City:       State:       Zip:       Telephone No:         E-mail Address:	Address:					
E-mail Address: Attorney Type:		State:	Zip:	Telephone No:		
Attorney Type: 🛛 Retained 🗌 Assigned 🗌 Government 🗌 Pro Se 🗌 Pro Hac Vice	•	1		· ·		
	Attorney Type:	🗌 Retained 🗌 Assigned 🗌	Government	Pro Se 🛛 Pro Hac Vice		
Party or Parties Represented (set forth party number(s) from table above):	, ,,	<b>v</b>				

Informational Statement - Civil