1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CITY OF OAKLAND BARBARA J. PARKER (SBN 069722) City Attorney MARIA BEE (SBN 167716) Chief Assistant City Attorney ZOE M. SAVITSKY (SBN 281616) Supervising Deputy City Attorney One Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, 6th Floor Oakland, California 94612 Telephone: (510) 238-3601 Facsimile: (510) 238-6500 Email: zsavitsky@oaklandcityattorney.org	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO DAVID CHIU (SBN 189542) City Attorney SARA EISENBERG (SBN 269303) Chief of Complex and Affirmative Litigation RONALD H. LEE (SBN 238720) ROBB W. KAPLA (SBN 238896) ALEXANDER J. HOLTZMAN (SBN 311813) Deputy City Attorneys City Hall, Room 234 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, California 94102-4602 Tel.: (415) 554-4748 Fax: (415) 554-4715 Email: ronald.lee@sfcityatty.org  [Additional Counsel Listed on Signature Page]
12	UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT
13	NORTHERN DISTRI	CT OF CALIFORNIA
	SAN FRANCIS	SCO DIVISION
14	CITY OF OAKLAND, a Municipal	First-Filed Case No. 3:17-cv-6011-WHA
15	Corporation, and THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, acting by and	Related to Case No. 3:17-cv-6012-WHA
16	through Oakland City Attorney BARBARA J. PARKER,	THE PEOPLE'S NOTICE OF RECENT
17	,	DECISION
18	Plaintiffs,	
19	V.	Data: Cantanila n 20, 2022
20	BP P.L.C., a public limited company of	Date: September 28, 2022 Time: 9:00 a.m. (PT)
21	England and Wales, CHEVRON CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation,	Place: Courtroom 12
22	CONOCOPHILLIPS COMPANY, a Delaware	
23	corporation, EXXON MOBIL CORPORATION, a New Jersey corporation,	
24	ROYAL DUTCH SHELL PLC, a public limited company of England and Wales, and	
25	DOES 1 through 10,	
26	Defendants.	
27		
28		
20		

THE PEOPLE'S NOTICE OF RECENT DECISION; CASE NOS. 3:17-cv-6011-WHA AND 3:17-cv-6012-WHA

1	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN	Case No. 3:17-cv-6012-WHA
2	FRANCISCO, a Municipal Corporation, and THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF	
3	CALIFORNIA, acting by and through the San Francisco City Attorney DAVID CHIU,	
4		
5	Plaintiffs,	
6	v.	
7	BP P.L.C., a public limited company of England and Wales, CHEVRON	
8	CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation, CONOCOPHILLIPS COMPANY, a Delaware	
9	corporation, EXXON MOBIL	
10	CORPORATION, a New Jersey corporation, ROYAL DUTCH SHELL PLC, a public	
11	limited company of England and Wales, and DOES 1 through 10,	
12	-	
13	Defendants.	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	THE PEOPLE'S NOTICE OF RECENT DECISION; CASE NOS. 3:17-CV-6011-WHA AND 3:17-CV-6012-WHA	

CASE NOS. 3:17-CV-6011-WHA AND 3:17-CV-6012-WHA

The People of the State of California hereby notify the Court of *City of Annapolis v. BP P.L.C.*, Case Nos. 21-00772 & 21-01323, 2022 WL 4548226 (D. Md. Sept. 29, 2022) (**Ex. A**), a recent decision that supports the People's Renewed Motion to Remand (Dkts. 342, 286).

In *City of Annapolis*, the District of Maryland granted motions to remand two climate-related cases brought in Maryland state court by the City of Annapolis and the County of Anna Arundel, Maryland. The court's opinion holds that the defendants' removal of the public entities' state-law claims on grounds of federal common law, *Grable* jurisdiction, the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act, the federal officer statute, and the federal enclave doctrine have each "already been considered and rejected by the Fourth Circuit in Baltimore's case." Ex. A at \*3; *see Mayor & City Council of Baltimore v. BP P.L.C.*, 31 F.4th 178 (4th Cir. 2022).

Although the defendants in *City of Annapolis* "present[ed] a materially expanded evidentiary record" in support of their federal officer jurisdiction argument, as well as new First Amendment argument for *Grable* jurisdiction, the district court held that these new arguments still "fail to provide grounds for federal jurisdiction." *Id.* at \*4. As here, the defendants in *City of Annapolis*—which include all Defendants here—sought to establish that they had "acted under" federal officers based on evidence purporting to establish that the federal government "exercised significant control over the production of high-octane aviation gasoline and the oil industry during World War II" and "contracted with Shell Oil Company, BP entities, and [others] to supply 'highly specialized jet fuels,' which defendants contended was required to conform with 'enumerated ranges for conductivity, heat of combustion, and thermal stability' and contain 'military unique additives." *Id.* at \*7. Those arguments, and that evidence, were identical to the evidence and arguments presented by the same defendants in this case.

In rejecting those defendants' federal officer arguments, the district court concluded, among other things, that "[n]one of Defendants' new examples of federal authority relates to the alleged concealment of the harms of fossil fuel products." *Id.*; *see also id.* at \*8 ("The fact that the federal government may have been a target of Defendants' misrepresentations does not manufacture a federal relationship Defendants may now rely upon to access federal courts."). Moreover, the court explained that the *City of Annapolis* complaint "d[id] not take issue with the

simple fact that Defendants produced oil and gas, they take issue with the fact that [Defendants] hid the harms of these products while doing it." *Id.* at \*7. For that reason, among others, the court concluded that defendants' newly cited operations, even if conducted under the direction of a federal officer, "d[id] nothing to address the legal deficiency . . . identified by this Court and the Fourth Circuit in the *Baltimore* cases" because the defendants were not sued "for or relating to" any of that conduct. *Id.* 

Defendants' First Amendment *Grable* argument, as here, was that "th[e] case necessarily implicate[d] affirmative federal constitutional elements imposed by the First Amendment" which, according to the defendants, meant that substantial and disputed federal issues were necessarily raised and that the case was therefore removable under *Grable*. *See id.* at \*9. The district court disagreed, concluding that "it would dramatically expand *Grable* to conclude that any state tort claim involving speech on matters of public concern could invoke federal court jurisdiction" and that any such ruling "would raise federalism concerns and counter the mandate for federal courts to 'strictly construe' removal statutes." *Id.* at \*9. The court further concluded that defendants had "fail[ed] to point to a single case that has relied on *Grable* to support federal jurisdiction in this way." *Id.* at \*9–10. Therefore, "[i]n the absence of any authority supporting Defendants' expansive assertion of *Grable* jurisdiction" the court "decline[d] to extend the 'slim category" of cases to the breadth Defendants urge." *Id.* 

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Dated: October 3, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

## CITY OF OAKLAND

By: /s/ Zoe M. Savitsky

BARBARA J. PARKER (SBN 069722)

City Attorney

MARIA BEE (SBN 167716)

Chief Assistant City Attorney

ZOE M. SAVITSKY (SBN 281616)

Supervising Deputy City Attorney

One Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, 6th Floor

Oakland, California

Tel.: (510) 238-3601

Fax: (510) 238-6500

zsavitsky@oaklandcityattorney.org

2728

1 \* Pursuant to Civ. L.R. 5-1(i)(3), the electronic filer has obtained approval from this signatory. 2 3 CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO 4 By: /s/ Ronald H. Lee DAVID CHIU (SBN 189542) 5 City Attorney 6 SARA EISENBERG (SBN 269303) Chief of Complex and Affirmative Litigation 7 RONALD H. LEE (SBN 238720) **ROBB W. KAPLA (SBN 238896)** 8 ALEXANDER J. HOLTZMAN (SBN 311813) 9 Deputy City Attorneys City Hall, Room 234 10 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, California 94102-4602 11 Tel.: (415) 554-4748 Fax: (415) 554-4715 12 Email: ronald.lee@sfcityatty.org 13 \* Pursuant to Civ. L.R. 5-1(i)(3), the electronic filer has obtained approval from this signatory. 14 15 SHER EDLING LLP VICTOR M. SHER (State Bar #96197) 16 MATTHEW K. EDLING (State Bar #250940) 17 MARTIN D. QUIÑONES (State Bar #293318) KATIE H. JONES (State Bar #300913) 18 QUENTIN C. KARPILOW (pro hac vice) 19 100 Montgomery St. Ste. 1410 San Francisco, CA 94104 20 Tel.: (628) 231-2500 21 vic@sheredling.com matt@sheredling.com 22 marty@sheredling.com katie@sheredling.com 23 quentin@sheredling.com 24 ALTSHULER BERZON LLP 25 MICHAEL RUBIN (State Bar #80618) 26 BARBARA J. CHISHOLM (State Bar #224656) CORINNE F. JOHNSON (State Bar #287385) 27 177 Post Street, Suite 300 28 San Francisco, CA 94108

1	Tel: (415) 421-7151
2	mrubin@altber.com bchisholm@altber.com
3	cjohnson@altber.com
4	Attorneys for The People
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

4

THE PEOPLE'S NOTICE OF RECENT DECISION;

CASE NOS. 3:17-CV-6011-WHA AND 3:17-CV-6012-WHA

Case 3:17-cv-06011-WHA Document 426 Filed 10/03/22 Page 6 of 6