1	Theodore J. Boutrous, Jr. (SBN 132099)	Neal S. Manne (SBN 94101)	
2	tboutrous@gibsondunn.com	nmanne@susmangodfrey.com	
2	Andrea E. Neuman (SBN 149733) aneuman@gibsondunn.com	Johnny W. Carter (<i>pro hac vice</i>) jcarter@susmangodfrey.com	
3	William E. Thomson (SBN 187912) wthomson@gibsondunn.com	Erica Harris (<i>pro hac vice</i>) eharris@susmangodfrey.com	
4	Joshua D. Dick (SBN 268853)	Steven Shepard (pro hac vice)	
5	jdick@gibsondunn.com GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP	sshepard@susmangodfrey.com SUSMAN GODFREY LLP	
	333 South Grand Avenue	1000 Louisiana, Suite 5100	
6	Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: 213.229.7000	Houston, TX 77002 Telephone: 713.651.9366	
7	Facsimile: 213.229.7520	Facsimile: 713.654.6666	
8	Herbert J. Stern (pro hac vice)		
9	hstern@sgklaw.com Joel M. Silverstein (pro hac vice)		
10	jsilverstein@sgklaw.com STERN & KILCULLEN, LLC		
	325 Columbia Turnpike, Suite 110		
11	Florham Park, NJ 07932-0992 Telephone: 973.535.1900		
12	Facsimile: 973.535.9664		
13	Attorneys for Defendant Chevron Corporation		
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
15			
		RICT OF CALIFORNIA SISCO DIVISION	
16	SAN FRANC CITY OF OAKLAND, a Municipal		
	SAN FRANC CITY OF OAKLAND, a Municipal Corporation, and THE PEOPLE OF THE	ISCO DIVISION	
16	SAN FRANCE CITY OF OAKLAND, a Municipal Corporation, and THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, acting by and through Oakland City Attorney BARBARA J.	First Filed Case: No. 3:17-cv-6011-WHA	
16 17	SAN FRANCE CITY OF OAKLAND, a Municipal Corporation, and THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, acting by and through Oakland City Attorney BARBARA J. PARKER,	First Filed Case: No. 3:17-cv-6011-WHA Related Case: No. 3:17-cv-6012-WHA	
16 17 18	SAN FRANCE CITY OF OAKLAND, a Municipal Corporation, and THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, acting by and through Oakland City Attorney BARBARA J.	First Filed Case: No. 3:17-cv-6011-WHA	
16 17 18 19 20	SAN FRANCE CITY OF OAKLAND, a Municipal Corporation, and THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, acting by and through Oakland City Attorney BARBARA J. PARKER,	First Filed Case: No. 3:17-cv-6011-WHA Related Case: No. 3:17-cv-6012-WHA RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF	
16 17 18 19 20 21	CITY OF OAKLAND, a Municipal Corporation, and THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, acting by and through Oakland City Attorney BARBARA J. PARKER, Plaintiffs, v. BP P.L.C., a public limited company of	First Filed Case: No. 3:17-cv-6011-WHA Related Case: No. 3:17-cv-6012-WHA RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CITY OF OAKLAND, a Municipal Corporation, and THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, acting by and through Oakland City Attorney BARBARA J. PARKER, Plaintiffs, v. BP P.L.C., a public limited company of England and Wales, CHEVRON CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation,	First Filed Case: No. 3:17-cv-6011-WHA Related Case: No. 3:17-cv-6012-WHA RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY	
16 17 18 19 20 21	CITY OF OAKLAND, a Municipal Corporation, and THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, acting by and through Oakland City Attorney BARBARA J. PARKER, Plaintiffs, v. BP P.L.C., a public limited company of England and Wales, CHEVRON CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation, CONOCOPHILLIPS COMPANY, a Delaware	First Filed Case: No. 3:17-cv-6011-WHA Related Case: No. 3:17-cv-6012-WHA RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CITY OF OAKLAND, a Municipal Corporation, and THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, acting by and through Oakland City Attorney BARBARA J. PARKER, Plaintiffs, v. BP P.L.C., a public limited company of England and Wales, CHEVRON CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation, CONOCOPHILLIPS COMPANY, a Delaware corporation, EXXON MOBIL CORPORATION, a New Jersey corporation,	First Filed Case: No. 3:17-cv-6011-WHA Related Case: No. 3:17-cv-6012-WHA RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CITY OF OAKLAND, a Municipal Corporation, and THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, acting by and through Oakland City Attorney BARBARA J. PARKER, Plaintiffs, v. BP P.L.C., a public limited company of England and Wales, CHEVRON CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation, CONOCOPHILLIPS COMPANY, a Delaware corporation, EXXON MOBIL CORPORATION, a New Jersey corporation, ROYAL DUTCH SHELL PLC, a public limited company of England and Wales, and	First Filed Case: No. 3:17-cv-6011-WHA Related Case: No. 3:17-cv-6012-WHA RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	CITY OF OAKLAND, a Municipal Corporation, and THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, acting by and through Oakland City Attorney BARBARA J. PARKER, Plaintiffs, v. BP P.L.C., a public limited company of England and Wales, CHEVRON CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation, CONOCOPHILLIPS COMPANY, a Delaware corporation, EXXON MOBIL CORPORATION, a New Jersey corporation, ROYAL DUTCH SHELL PLC, a public	First Filed Case: No. 3:17-cv-6011-WHA Related Case: No. 3:17-cv-6012-WHA RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	CITY OF OAKLAND, a Municipal Corporation, and THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, acting by and through Oakland City Attorney BARBARA J. PARKER, Plaintiffs, v. BP P.L.C., a public limited company of England and Wales, CHEVRON CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation, CONOCOPHILLIPS COMPANY, a Delaware corporation, EXXON MOBIL CORPORATION, a New Jersey corporation, ROYAL DUTCH SHELL PLC, a public limited company of England and Wales, and	First Filed Case: No. 3:17-cv-6011-WHA Related Case: No. 3:17-cv-6012-WHA RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	CITY OF OAKLAND, a Municipal Corporation, and THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, acting by and through Oakland City Attorney BARBARA J. PARKER, Plaintiffs, v. BP P.L.C., a public limited company of England and Wales, CHEVRON CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation, CONOCOPHILLIPS COMPANY, a Delaware corporation, EXXON MOBIL CORPORATION, a New Jersey corporation, ROYAL DUTCH SHELL PLC, a public limited company of England and Wales, and DOES 1 through 10,	First Filed Case: No. 3:17-cv-6011-WHA Related Case: No. 3:17-cv-6012-WHA RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY	

Case 3:17-cv-06011-WHA Document 417 Filed 09/06/22 Page 2 of 7

1 2	CALIFORNIA, acting by and through the San Francisco City Attorney DENNIS J. HERRERA,
3	Plaintiffs,
4	v.
5	BP P.L.C., a public limited company of England and Wales, CHEVRON
6	CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation, CONOCOPHILLIPS COMPANY, a Delaware
7	corporation, EXXON MOBIL CORPORATION, a New Jersey corporation,
8	ROYAL DUTCH SHELL PLC, a public limited company of England and Wales, and
9	DOES 1 through 10,
10	Defendants.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP

Gibson, Dunn &

Defendants respectfully submit this response to Plaintiffs' notice of supplemental authority regarding *City of Hoboken v. Chevron Corp.*, __ F.4th __, 2022 WL 3440653 (3d Cir. Aug. 17, 2022). Contrary to Plaintiffs' assertions, this out-of-Circuit decision does not "support[] [their] Renewed Motion to Remand" for multiple reasons. Dkt. 414 at 1.

First, the Third Circuit's holding regarding the federal officer removal ground does not apply here because the facts and theories alleged in these Complaints are substantially different from those in the Hoboken complaints. The court in Hoboken did not disagree that the defendants produced substantial amounts of oil and gas under the direction of federal officers, but concluded that it could disregard this production because "[i]n their complaints, both Hoboken and Delaware insist that they are not suing over emissions caused by fuel provided to the federal government." 2022 WL 3440653, at *8. Indeed, the complaints in Hoboken and Delaware are unequivocal on this point: "This Complaint disclaims injuries . . . that arose from Fossil Fuel Company Defendants' provision of fossil fuel products to the federal government for military and national defense purposes." City of Hoboken v. Exxon Mobil Corp., No. 2:20-cv-14243 (D.N.J.), Dkt. 1-2 ("Hoboken Compl.") ¶ 222 n.202; see also State of Delaware v. BP America Inc., No. 1:20-cv-1429 (D. Del.), Dkt. 1-1 ("Delaware Compl.") ¶ 14 ("The State hereby disclaims injuries arising . . . from Defendants' provision of fossil fuel products to the federal government, and seeks no recovery or relief attributable to such injuries."). Here, by contrast, Plaintiffs do not, and cannot, make any such disclaimer.

Second, the allegations regarding petroleum production are central to the Complaints at issue before this Court. The Third Circuit correctly recognized that the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act ("OCSLA") does not require a causal connection between a defendant's operations on the Outer Continental Shelf ("OCS") and a plaintiff's claims. Hoboken, 2022 WL 3440653, at *4–5. But the Third Circuit nonetheless held that the plaintiffs' claims were "all too far away from Shelf oil production" to support OCSLA jurisdiction because the plaintiffs "[we]re upset, not by Shelf production, but by what oil companies did with their oil after it hit the mainland: sell it for people to burn." 2022 WL 3440653, at *7. Here, however, Plaintiffs' Complaints leave no question that their claims necessarily encompass

This Court has already found that several Defendants are not subject to personal jurisdiction. This is submitted subject to, and without waiver of, that jurisdictional finding.

Case 3:17-cv-06011-WHA Document 417 Filed 09/06/22 Page 4 of 7

production activities on the OCS. Indeed, Plaintiffs expressly allege that "[p]roduction of fossil fuels 2 causes global warming." Dkt. 199 ("Compl.") ¶ 74 (emphasis added); see also, e.g., id. ¶ 4 ("Defend-3 ants' planned production of fossil fuels into the *future* will exacerbate global warming, accelerate sea 4 level rise even further, and require greater and more costly abatement actions to protect Oakland.").² 5 And Plaintiffs have told this Court that "the primary conduct giving rise to liability remains defendants' 6 production and sale of fossil fuels." Dkt. 235 at 13 (emphasis added). 7 Third, Hoboken's rejection of Grable jurisdiction based on substantial and disputed First 8 9

Amendment issues is too cursory to carry any persuasive force. The Third Circuit offered just two sentences on this issue: "But though the First Amendment limits state laws that touch speech, those limits do not extend federal jurisdiction to every such claim. State courts routinely hear libel, slander, and misrepresentation cases involving matters of public concern." 2022 WL 3440653, at *4. But that is not Defendants' argument. In fact, Defendants acknowledge that "most state-law misrepresentation claims are not subject to removal because they do not implicate broader federal interests," and assert that "[h]ere, however, the federal interests are unquestionably substantial" given the uniquely significant policy and security implications of the subject matter of the relevant speech. Dkt. 408 at 17. The Third Circuit in *Hoboken* did not engage with these arguments, and thus its limited analysis on this issue is inapplicable in this case.

Fourth, and finally, the court in Hoboken did not address federal enclave jurisdiction, which provides an independent basis for removal here.

20

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

Dated: September 6, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

By: <u>/s/ Theodore J. Boutrous, Jr.</u>

Theodore J. Boutrous, Jr. GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 333 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90071-3197

Telephone: (213) 229-7000

Email: tboutrous@gibsondunn.com

All docket references are to City of Oakland v. BP P.L.C., No. 3:17-cv-0611-WHA (N.D. Cal.).

1 2 3 4 5		Andrea E. Neuman William E. Thomson GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 333 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: (213) 229-7000 Facsimile: (213) 229-7520 Email: aneuman@gibsondunn.com Email: wthomson@gibsondunn.com
6		Joshua D. Dick
7 8		GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 555 Mission Street, Suite 3000 San Francisco, CA 94105-0921
9		Telephone: 415.393.8331 Facsimile: 415.374.8451 Email: jdick@gibsondunn.com
10		Eman. Jaick@giosondumi.com
11		Neal S. Manne (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Johnny W. Carter (<i>pro hac vice</i>)
12		Erica Harris (pro hac vice)
13		Steven Shepard (<i>pro hac vice</i>) SUSMAN GODFREY LLP
14		1000 Louisiana, Suite 5100 Houston, TX 77002
		Telephone: (713) 651-9366
15		Facsimile: (713) 654-6666 Email: nmanne@susmangodfrey.com
16		Email: jcarter@susmangodfrey.com
17		Email: eharris@susmangodfrey.com Email: shepard@susmangodfrey.com
18		, ,
19		Herbert J. Stern (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Joel M. Silverstein (<i>pro hac vice</i>)
		STERN & KILCULLEN, LLC
20		325 Columbia Turnpike, Suite 110 Florham Park, NJ 07932-0992
21		Telephone: (973) 535-1900
22		Facsimile: (973) 535-9664 Email: hstern@sgklaw.com
23		Email: jsilverstein@sgklaw.com
24		Attorneys for Defendant CHEVRON CORPO- RATION
25		
26	By: **/s/Jonathan W. Hughes	By: **/s/ Raymond A. Cardozo Raymond A. Cardozo (SRN 172262)
27	Jonathan W. Hughes ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER	Raymond A. Cardozo (SBN 173263) T. Connor O'Carroll (SBN 312920)
28	LLP Three Embarcadore Contan 10th Elect	REED SMITH LLP 101 Second Street, Suite 1800
40	Three Embarcadero Center, 10th Floor	San Francisco, CA 94105-3659

1 2 3	San Francisco, California 94111-4024 Telephone: (415) 471-3100 Facsimile: (415) 471-3400 Email: jonathan.hughes@apks.com	Telephone: (415) 543-8700 Facsimile: (415) 391-8269 Email: rcardozo@reedsmith.com Email: cocarroll@reedsmith.com
4	Matthew T. Heartney John D. Lombardo	Jameson R. Jones (pro hac vice) Daniel R. Brody (pro hac vice)
5	ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER LLP	BARTLIT BECK LLP 1801 Wewatta Street, Suite 1200
6	777 South Figueroa Street, 44th Floor Los Angeles, California 90017-5844	Denver, CO 80202 Telephone: (303) 592-3100
7	Telephone: (213) 243-4000 Facsimile: (213) 243-4199	Facsimile: (303) 592-3140 Email: jameson.jones@bartlitbeck.com
8 9	E-mail: matthew.heartney@apks.com E-mail: john.lombardo@apks.com	Email: dan.brody@bartlitbeck.com
10	Nancy Milburn	Attorneys for Defendant CONOCOPHILLIPS
11	ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER LLP	
12	250 West 55th Street New York, NY 10019-9710	
13	Telephone: (212) 836-8383 Facsimile: (212) 715-1399	
14	Email: nancy.milburn@apks.com Attorneys for Defendant BP P.L.C.	
15 16	By: **/ <u>s/ Dawn Sestito</u> M. Randall Oppenheimer	By: **/s/ Gary T. Lafayette Gary T. Lafayette (SBN 88666)
17	Dawn Sestito O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP	LAFAYETTE KUMAGAI LLP 1300 Clay Street, Suite 810
18	400 South Hope Street Los Angeles, California 90071-2899	Oakland, California 94612 Telephone: (415) 357-3600
19	Telephone: (213) 430-6000 Facsimile: (213) 430-6407	Facsimile: (415) 357-4605 Email: glafayette@lkclaw.com
20	Email: roppenheimer@omm.com Email: dsestito@omm.com	David C. Frederick (pro hac vice)
21	Theodore V. Wells, Jr.	Daniel S. Severson (pro hac vice) KELLOGG, HANSEN, TODD, FIGEL &
22	Daniel J. Toal PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON &	FREDERICK, P.L.L.C. 1615 M Street, N.W., Suite 400
23	GARRISON LLP 1285 Avenue of the Americas	Washington, D.C. 20036 Telephone: (202) 326-7900
2425	New York, New York 10019-6064 Telephone: (212) 373-3000	Facsimile: (202) 326-7999 Email: frederick@kellogghansen.com
26	Facsimile: (212) 757-3990 Email: twells@paulweiss.com	Email: dseverson@kellogghansen.com Attorneys for Defendant SHELL PLC (F/K/A
27	Email: dtoal@paulweiss.com	ROYAL DUTCH SHELL PLC)
28	Kannon K. Shanmugam PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND,	

Case 3:17-cv-06011-WHA Document 417 Filed 09/06/22 Page 7 of 7

1	WHARTON & GARRISON LLP
2	2001 K Street NW Washington, DC 20006-1047
3	Telephone: (202) 223-7325 Email: kshanmugam@paulweiss.com
4	Attorneys for Defendant EXXON MOBIL CORPORATION
5	Elitor mobile control
6	** Pursuant to Civ. L.R. 5-1(i)(3), the electronic
7	signatory has obtained approval from this signatory
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	5

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP