

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 22-1080**September Term, 2021****NHTS-87FR25710****Filed On: August 3, 2022** [1957750]

Natural Resources Defense Council,

Petitioner

v.

National Highway Traffic Safety
Administration, et al.,

Respondents

Consolidated with 22-1144, 22-1145**ORDER**

Upon consideration of the motions for leave to intervene filed by:

National Coalition for Advanced Transportation, Zero Emission Transportation Association, Natural Resources Defense Council, Public Citizen, Environmental Defense Fund, Sierra Club, Union of Concerned Scientists, Environmental Law and Policy Center, State of Washington, District of Columbia, State of New Jersey, State of Maine, City of San Francisco, State of Hawaii, State of Illinois, State of Maryland, State of Colorado, State of Nevada, State of New York, State of Michigan, State of Connecticut, State of Vermont, State of North Carolina, State of California, State of New Mexico, State of Minnesota, State of Delaware, State of Oregon, City of New York, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Commonwealth of Massachusetts, State of Wisconsin, City of Los Angeles, and City and County of Denver,

it is **ORDERED** that the motions be granted.

Circuit Rules 28(d) and 32(e)(2) govern the filing of briefs by intervenors. A schedule for the filing of briefs will be established by future order. That order will automatically provide briefing only for intervenors on the side of respondents. Any intervenor(s) intending to participate in support of petitioners must so notify the court, in writing, within 14 days of the date of this order. Such notification must include a statement of the issues to be raised by the intervenor(s). This notification will allow tailoring of the briefing schedule to provide time for a brief as intervenor on the side of

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 22-1080**September Term, 2021**

petitioners. Failure to submit notification could result in an intervenor being denied leave to file a brief.

Intervenors supporting the same party are reminded that they **must** file a joint brief or certify to the court why a separate brief is necessary. Intervenors' attention is particularly directed to D.C. Circuit Handbook of Practice and Internal Procedures 39 (2021), which describes "unacceptable" grounds for filing separate briefs. Failure to comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions. See D.C. Cir. Rule 39.

FOR THE COURT:

Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/
Laura M. Morgan
Deputy Clerk