Chris M. Bromley, ISB 6530 McHugh Bromley, PLLC 380 S. 4th Street, Suite 103 Boise, ID 83702 Telephone: (208) 287-0991 Facsimile: (208) 287-0864 cbromley@mchughbromley.com

Julie A. Weis, OSB No. 974320, pro hac vice Haglund Kelley LLP 2177 SW Broadway Portland, Oregon 97201 Telephone: (503) 225-0777 Facsimile: (503) 225-1257 weis@hk-law.com

Sara Ghafouri, OSB No. 111021, *pro hac vice* American Forest Resource Council 700 N.E. Multnomah, Suite 320 Portland, Oregon 97232 Telephone: (503) 222-9505 Fax: (503) 222-3255 sghafouri@amforest.org

Attorneys for Defendant-Intervenor American Forest Resource Council

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## FOR THE DISTRICT OF IDAHO

## FRIENDS OF THE CLEARWATER,

Plaintiff,

v.

CHERYL F. PROBERT, et al.,

Defendants,

and

AMERICAN FOREST RESOURCE COUNCIL,

**Defendant-Intervenor.** 

Page 1 – INTERVENOR'S JOINDER

Case No. 3:21-cv-00189-CWD

INTERVENOR'S JOINDER IN FEDERAL DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO ALTER OR AMEND JUDGMENT

### Case 3:21-cv-00189-CWD Document 51 Filed 07/22/22 Page 2 of 4

Defendant-Intervenor the American Forest Resource Council (AFRC) hereby joins in the federal defendants' Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment, which asks that the Court remand the End of the World Decision Notice and Finding of No Significant Impact to the Forest Service for further action consistent with the Court's June 24 Order *without* ordering the preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). *Cf.* Judgment (ECF No.49) at 2.

Federal defendants correctly observe that the Court failed to follow Ninth Circuit precedent when it concluded "the Forest Service must prepare an Environmental Impact Statement under the National Environmental Policy Act" for the End of the World Project. *Id.* The Ninth Circuit has held that "preparation of an EIS is not mandated in all cases simply because an agency has prepared a deficient EA or otherwise failed to comply with NEPA." *Ctr. for Biological Diversity v. Nat'l Highway Traffic Safety Admin.*, 538 F.3d 1172, 1225 (9th Cir. 2008). Because the decision as to how best to proceed in light of the Court's decision is left to the agency's discretion, the appropriate course in this case is for the Court to remand the matter to the Forest Service "for further proceedings consistent with this opinion." *Native Ecosystems Council v. U.S. Forest Serv.*, 418 F.3d 953, 965-66 (9th Cir. 2005). Thus, for the reasons set forth in federal defendants' motion, in which AFRC joins, the Court should grant federal defendants' requested relief.

DATED this 22nd day of July, 2022.

#### HAGLUND KELLEY LLP

By: /s/ Julie A. Weis Julie A. Weis, OSB No. 974320, pro hac vice

MCHUGH BROMLEY, PLCC Chris M. Bromley, ISB 6530

### Page 2 – INTERVENOR'S JOINDER

# AMERICAN FOREST RESOURCE COUNCIL

Sara Ghafouri, OSB No. 111021, pro hac vice

Attorneys for Defendant-Intervenor American Forest Resource Council

Page 3 – INTERVENOR'S JOINDER

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on the 22<sup>nd</sup> day of July, 2022, I served the foregoing

# INTERVENOR'S JOINDER IN FEDERAL DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO ALTER OR

AMEND JUDGMENT with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send

notification of this filing to the attorneys of record and all registered participants.

<u>/s/ Julie A. Weis</u> Julie A. Weis