MARK STEGER SMITH Assistant U.S. Attorney U.S. Attorney's Office 2601 Second Avenue North, Suite 3200 Billings, MT 59101 Ph: (406) 247-4667; Fax: (406) 657-6058 mark.smith3@usdoj.gov

JEAN E. WILLIAMS Acting Assistant Attorney General Environment and Natural Resources Division

SETH M. BARSKY, Chief
JAY GOVINDAN, Assistant Chief
BRIDGET KENNEDY McNEIL, Senior Trial Attorney (CO Bar 34299)
Wildlife and Marine Resources Section
LUTHER L. HAJEK (CO Bar 44303)
Natural Resources Section
999 18th St., South Terrace, Suite 370
Denver, CO 80202
Ph: (303) 844-1484, (303) 844-1376; Fax: (303) 844-1350
bridget.mcneil@usdoj.gov
luke.hajek@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for Federal Defendants

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MONTANA GREAT FALLS DIVISION

BOLD ALLIANCE, et al., Plaintiffs,	CV 20-59-BMM
v. THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, et al.,	JOINT THIRD MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF THE SUMMARY JUDGMENT BRIEFING SCHEDULE
Defendants,	
and	
TRANSCANADA KEYSTONE PIPELINE, LP, et al.,	
Defendant-Intervenors.	

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(b) and District of Montana Local Civil Rule 7.1, Defendants U.S. Department of the Interior *et al.* ("Defendants"), Plaintiffs Bold Alliance et al., and Defendant-Intervenors TC Energy et al. jointly move for a third extension of the summary judgment briefing schedule. Plaintiffs filed their opening summary judgment brief on January 20, 2021. The Court previously granted two extensions of the schedule, and Defendants' opening summary judgment brief is currently due May 7, 2021. See Order, ECF No. 63. The parties request that this deadline and the remaining briefing deadlines be extended by an additional thirty days, with a hearing held at the Court's earliest convenience following the close of briefing. The parties request this extension in light of the President's revocation of the Presidential permit for the Keystone XL Pipeline on January 20, 2021, and the agencies' ongoing efforts to evaluate their existing authorizations for the pipeline.

This case involves the U.S. Bureau of Land Management's ("BLM") approval of a right-of-way for the Keystone XL Pipeline and actions taken by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service ("FWS") regarding the pipeline. On January 20, 2021, President Joseph R. Biden revoked the March 29, 2019 Presidential Permit granted to TransCanada Keystone Pipeline, L.P., for the construction, connection, operation, and maintenance of pipeline facilities at the international border of the United States and Canada. *See* Protecting Public Health and the Environment and

Restoring Science to Tackle the Climate Crisis, 86 Fed. Reg. 7,037, 7,041 (Jan. 20, 2021).

The requested extension will allow incoming officials within the U.S.

Department of the Interior and other applicable agencies to become familiar with the litigation and to evaluate the previously issued authorizations for the pipeline in light of the President's action revoking the cross-border permit. BLM and FWS will evaluate whether the authorizations should be rescinded or suspended in light of the President's action or for other reasons. It likely will take new officials several more weeks to evaluate the agencies' prior authorizations and the litigation surrounding the Keystone XL Pipeline and to make decisions regarding the authorizations.

Plaintiffs agree that the requested extension is reasonable given both these developments and the following representations from Defendants and Defendant-Intervenors. TC Energy does not intend to conduct any further construction of the pipeline or construct new pump stations over the next sixty days. TC Energy intends to consider the impact of the President's decision on the project and does not intend to move forward with pipeline construction while it conducts that evaluation. If that changes and TC Energy opts to move forward with pipeline construction or construction of new pump stations, it will notify the parties and the Court of those plans sixty days in advance of moving ahead with construction. The

notice provision does not apply to actions taken by TC Energy in response to an order from the government, dismantling facilities or movement of equipment, required environmental protection measures, or the maintenance of existing infrastructure.

In addition, in order for any construction activity to occur on federal lands under the authority of BLM and the U.S. Army Corp of Engineers ("Corps"), TC Energy must request a notice to proceed from BLM. *See* U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Record of Decision, Keystone XL Pipeline Project, Decision to Grant Right-of-Way and Temporary Use Permit on Federal Land at 7 (Jan. 20, 2020). *See* BLM-00149.¹ If such a request were submitted, BLM would need to evaluate it to ensure that TC Energy will comply with the required terms of the right-of-way grant, and that evaluation would likely take at least several weeks. To date, no such application has been submitted, and if an application is submitted, Defendants will notify the parties and the Court.

Further, in order for BLM to approve a notice to proceed, TC Energy needs to have in place all permits that are necessary for the construction of the particular segment of the pipeline. The Corps 2017 Nationwide Permit ("NWP") 12 is enjoined for use for the Keystone XL Pipeline. *See U.S. Army Corps of Engineers*

¹ Citations to "BLM-xxxxx" refer to the documents in BLM's administrative record. *See* ECF No. 48.

v. N. Plains Res. Council, 141 S. Ct. 190 (2020). Given that relief, Plaintiffs do not concede that the Keystone XL pipeline can be authorized under the new NWP 12, which took effect on March 15, 2021. Nonetheless, TC Energy agrees that if it attempts to rely on the new NWP 12, TC Energy will provide thirty days advance notice to the parties and the Court prior to the submission of a preconstruction notification to the Corps. Submission of a preconstruction notification alone does not mean that the Corps will verify that the proposed activities qualify for NWP 12.

Otherwise, in order for portions of the pipeline to be constructed, TC Energy would need to obtain approval from the Corps for an individual permit under the Clean Water Act section 404, 33 U.S.C. § 1344(a), and Rivers and Harbors Act section 10, 33 U.S.C. § 403. An application for an individual permit is pending, but the Corps expects that it will not make a decision on whether to approve the permit for several months. Without section 404 authorization, TC Energy currently does not have all of the permits that it needs to construct the segments of pipeline that cross federal land in Montana. Until TC Energy has all of the requisite permits for the applicable pipeline segments, BLM will not approve a notice to proceed across federal land.

In addition, TC Energy is required to schedule a preconstruction conference with BLM prior to commencing any construction or ground-disturbing activities on

federal land and must notify BLM at least thirty days in advance of such preconstruction conference. *See* U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Right-of-Way Grant MTM-98191, Temporary Use Permit MTM-98191-01, at Exhibit B, stipulation 4. *See* BLM-00022-23. To date, TC Energy has not provided BLM notice of a preconstruction conference, but if TC Energy does provide notice, Defendants will inform the parties and the Court.

Based on similar filings in other cases involving the Keystone XL Pipeline, the Court has granted continued stays in two of those cases. *See* Order Continuing Stay, *Assiniboine and Sioux Tribes of the Fort Peck Indian Reservation v. U.S.*Department of the Interior, No. 4:20-cv-44-BMM, ECF No. 79; Order Continuing Stay, *Rosebud Sioux Tribe v. U.S. Department of the Interior*, No. 4:20-cv-109-BMM, ECF No. 14. And in a third case, the Court recently granted an additional thirty-day extension of the deadline to respond to the complaint. *See* Order Granting Defs.' Third Mot. for an Extension of Time to File a Response to Pls.' Compl., *Indigenous Environmental Network*, No. 4:20-cv-115-BMM, ECF No. 11.

Therefore, the parties request that the Court grant an approximately thirty-day extension of the summary judgment briefing schedule and hearing date, as set forth in the attached proposed order. The parties reserve the right to seek further modifications to this schedule as necessary and appropriate, given the circumstances described above.

Respectfully submitted, DATED: May 4, 2021

> MARK STEGER SMITH Assistant U.S. Attorney U.S. Attorney's Office 2601 Second Avenue North, Suite 3200 Billings, MT 59101 Ph: (406) 247-4667; Fax: (406) 657-6058

mark.smith3@usdoj.gov

JEAN E. WILLIAMS Acting Assistant Attorney General Environment & Natural Resources Division

SETH M. BARSKY, Chief JAY GOVIDAN, Assistant Chief

/s/ Bridget Kennedy McNeil

BRIDGET KENNEDY MCNEIL CO Bar No. 34299 Senior Trial Attorney United States Department of Justice Environment and Natural Resources Div. Wildlife and Marine Resources Section 999 18th Street, South Terrace, Suite 370 Denver, Colorado 80202-2413

Telephone: (303) 844-1484 Facsimile: (303) 844-1350

E-mail: Bridget.Mcneil@usdoj.gov

/s/ Luther L. Hajek

LUTHER L. HAJEK (CO Bar 44303) Trial Attorney, Natural Resources Section United States Department of Justice Environment and Natural Resources Div. 999 18th St., South Terrace, Suite 370 Denver, CO 80202

Tel: (303) 844-1376 Fax: (303) 844-1350 E-mail: <u>luke.hajek@usdoj.gov</u>

Attorneys for Defendants

CROWLEY FLECK PLLP

/s/ Jeffery J. Oven
Jeffery J. Oven
Jeffrey M. Roth
490 North 31st Street, Ste. 500
Billings, MT 59103-2529
Telephone: 406-252-3441
Email: joven@crowleyfleck.com
jroth@jcrowleyfleck.com

SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP

/s/ Peter C. Whitfield
Peter C. Whitfield
Joseph R. Guerra
1501 K Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20005
Telephone: 202-736-8000
Email: pwhitfield@sidley.com
jguerra@sidley.com

Attorney for Defendant-Intervenors TC Energy Corp. et al.

/s/ Jaclyn H. Prange
Jaclyn H. Prange (pro hac vice)
/s/ Cecilia D. Segal
Cecilia D. Segal (pro hac vice)
/s/ Alexander Tom
Alexander Tom (pro hac vice)
Natural Resources Defense Council
111 Sutter Street, Floor 21
San Francisco, CA 94104
(415) 875-6100
jprange@nrdc.org
csegal@nrdc.org
atom@nrdc.org

Attorneys for Bold Alliance and Natural

Resources Defense Council

/s/ Jared Margolis
Jared Margolis (pro hac vice)
Center for Biological Diversity
2852 Willamette Street #171
Eugene, OR 97405
(917) 717-6401
jmargolis@biologicaldiversity.org

/s/ Eric R. Glitzenstein

Eric R. Glitzenstein (pro hac vice) Center for Biological Diversity 1411 K Street, NW, Suite 1300 Washington, DC 20005 (202) 849-8401 ext. 109 eglitzenstein@biologicaldiversity.org

Attorneys for Center for Biological Diversity and Friends of the Earth

/s/ Doug Hayes
Doug Hayes (pro hac vice)
/s/ Eric Huber
Eric Huber (pro hac vice)
Sierra Club Environmental Law Program
1650 38th Street, Suite 102W
Boulder, CO 80301
(303) 449-5595
doug.hayes@sierraclub.org
eric.huber@sierraclub.org

Attorneys for Sierra Club

/s/ Timothy M. Bechtold Timothy M. Bechtold Bechtold Law Firm, PLLC P.O. Box 7051 Missoula, MT 59807 (406) 721-1435 tim@bechtoldlaw.net

Attorney for all Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

Pursuant to Local Rule 7.1(d)(2)(E), the foregoing brief is proportionately spaced, has a typeface of 14 points, and contains 1,167 words, excluding the tables, caption, signature, certificate of compliance, and certificate of service.

/s/ Luther L. Hajek_ LUTHER L. HAJEK U.S. Department of Justice

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on May 4, 2021, a copy of the foregoing was served on all counsel of record via the Court's CM/ECF system.

/s/ Luther L. Hajek
LUTHER L. HAJEK
U.S. Department of Justice