United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 20-1145

September Term, 2020

EPA-83FR16077 NHTS-85FR24174

Filed On: October 19, 2020

Competitive Enterprise Institute, et al.,

Petitioners

٧.

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, et al..

Respondents

Alliance for Automotive Innovation, et al., Intervenors

Consolidated with 20-1167, 20-1168, 20-1169, 20-1173, 20-1174, 20-1176, 20-1177, 20-1230

> **BEFORE:** Henderson, Rogers, and Walker, Circuit Judges

<u>ORDER</u>

Upon consideration of the motion of American Honda Motor Company, et al., for leave to intervene, the opposition thereto, and the reply; the motion of Competitive Enterprise Institute, et al., to complete the record, the opposition thereto, and the reply; the motion of the State of California, et al., to complete and supplement the record, the opposition thereto, and the reply; and the motion of petitioners and certain intervenors to establish briefing schedule and format, the responses of American Honda Motor Company, et al., and the Alliance for Automotive Innovation, respondents' opposition and motion to establish briefing schedule and format in the alternative, the reply in support of petitioners' and intervenors' motion and opposition to respondents' motion, and the reply in support of respondents' motion, it is

Brief of Petitioners Competitive

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 20-1145

September Term, 2020

January 14, 2021

ORDERED that the motion of American Honda Motor Company, <u>et al.</u>, for leave to intervene be granted. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that the motion of Competitive Enterprise Institute, <u>et al.</u>, to complete the record be granted to the extent that it concerns EPA's December 2019 Integrated Science Assessment for Particulate Matter. Respondents agree that this document is part of the administrative records for the challenged rulemaking. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that the remaining aspects of the motion of the Competitive Enterprise Institute, et al., to complete the record and the motion of the State of California, et al., to complete and supplement the record be referred to the merits panel to which these consolidated cases are assigned. The parties are directed to address in their briefs the issues presented in the motions rather than incorporate those arguments by reference. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that the following briefing format and schedule will apply in these consolidated cases:

Enterprise Institute, et al. (not to exceed 10,400 words)	Canaa, 7 1 1, 202 1
Brief of Petitioners Clean Fuels Development Corporation, et al. (not to exceed 10,400 words)	January 14, 2021
Brief of Coordinating Petitioners (no more than three briefs, not to exceed a combined total of 36,800 words)	January 14, 2021
Brief(s) of Amici Curiae in Support of Petitioners or No Party (each brief not to exceed 6,500 words)	January 21, 2021
Brief of Respondents (not to exceed 57,600 words)	April 14, 2021
Brief(s) of Amici Curiae in Support of Respondents (each brief not to exceed 6,500 words)	April 21, 2021

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 20-1145 September Term, 2020

Briefs of Coordinating Intervenors April 28, 2021 (no more than two briefs, not to exceed a combined total of 6,400 words) Brief of Intervenor Alliance for April 28, 2021 Automotive Innovation (not to exceed 7,280 words) Brief of Intervenor Ingevity Corporation April 28, 2021 (not to exceed 7,280 words) Brief of Intervenors American Honda April 28, 2021 Motor Company, et al. (not to exceed 3,000 words) Reply Brief of Competitive Enterprise June 1, 2021 Institute, et al. (not to exceed 5,200 words) Reply Brief of Clean Fuels Development June 1, 2021 Corporation, et al. (not to exceed 5,200 words) Reply Brief of Coordinating Petitioners June 1, 2021 (no more than three briefs, not to exceed a combined total of 18,400 words) Deferred Appendix June 8, 2021

The parties will be informed later of the date of oral argument and the composition of the merits panel.

June 15, 2021

All issues and arguments must be raised by appellants in the opening brief. The court ordinarily will not consider issues and arguments raised for the first time in the reply brief.

The court reminds the parties that

Final Briefs

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 20-1145

September Term, 2020

in cases involving direct review in this court of administrative actions, the brief of the appellant or petitioner must set forth the basis for the claim of standing. . . . When the appellant's or petitioner's standing is not apparent from the administrative record, the brief must include arguments and evidence establishing the claim of standing.

See D.C. Cir. Rule 28(a)(7).

To enhance the clarity of their briefs, the parties are urged to limit the use of abbreviations, including acronyms. While acronyms may be used for entities and statutes with widely recognized initials, briefs should not contain acronyms that are not widely known. See D.C. Circuit Handbook of Practice and Procedures 42 (2019); Notice Regarding Use of Acronyms (D.C. Cir. Jan. 26, 2010).

Parties are strongly encouraged to hand deliver the paper copies of their briefs to the Clerk's office on the date due. Filing by mail may delay the processing of the brief. Additionally, counsel are reminded that if filing by mail, they must use a class of mail that is at least as expeditious as first-class mail. <u>See</u> Fed. R. App. P. 25(a). All briefs and appendices must contain the date that the case is scheduled for oral argument at the top of the cover. See D.C. Cir. Rule 28(a)(8).

Per Curiam

FOR THE COURT:

Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/

Manuel J. Castro Deputy Clerk