27

28

1

## 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 7 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 10 SAN FRANCISCO BAYKEEPER; SAVE THE BAY; COMMITTEE FOR GREEN 11 No. C 19-05941 WHA (lead case) FOOTHILLS; CITIZENS' COMMITTEE TO COMPLETE THE REFUGE; and 12 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, by and through consolidated with XAVIER BECERRA, ATTORNEY 13 No. C 19-05943 WHA GENERAL, 14 Plaintiffs, 15 v. **QUESTIONS RE CROSS-MOTIONS** FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT 16 U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY AND ITS ADMINISTRATOR, 17 Defendants. 18 19 REDWOOD CITY PLANT SITE, LLC, 20 Intervenor-Defendant. 21 22 By next Thursday, September 10, at noon, each party shall please file a memorandum 23 limited to eight pages (double spaced, no footnotes, no attachments, no declarations), 24 answering the following questions: 25 To what extent did the decision maker or anyone advising 26 the decision maker on the matter consider the Region 9 proposed

give a forthright answer.

decision? The government so far has evaded answering this. Please

## Case 3:19-cv-05941-WHA Document 79 Filed 09/05/20 Page 2 of 2

rnia	
ct of Californ	
rthern District of	
δ	

United States District Court

2. If built immediately before the 1972 Act, would a
subdivision built on former wetlands but completely sealed off from
the Bay by strong, tall levees with no pipes or gates connecting to
the Bay have been subject to the Act (a) if the ground level in the
subdivision was one foot lower than the high water mark or (b) if i
was filled in and always one foot higher than the high water mark
Assume the ground has been dry at all relevant times except for rain
All parties must answer as to both contingencies and quote from
closest decisions on point. Provide contra authority as well.

3. What is the significance of the pipe at the Cargill facility that allows Bay water to flow into a cell (when the valve is open)? Quote from closest decisions on point.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 5, 2020.

WILLIAM ALSUP

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE