### 1 Hon. Robert S. Lasnik 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 10 KING COUNTY, 11 Plaintiff, 12 13 v. Case No. 2:18-cv-00758RSL 14 BP P.L.C., a public limited company of England and Wales, CHEVRON JOINT STATUS REPORT CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation, 15 CONOCOPHILLIPS, a Delaware **NOTED: August 26, 2020** 16 corporation, EXXON MOBIL CORPORATION, a New Jersey corporation, ROYAL DUTCH SHELL PLC, a public 17 limited company of England and Wales, and DOES 1 through 10, 18 19 Defendants. 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 JOINT STATUS REPORT GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP

### **JOINT STATUS REPORT**

The Court's order dated October 17, 2018, requires the parties "to inform the Court by letter of their position on how to proceed based upon the outcome of the appeal in City of Oakland . . . within 10 business days of (a) the deadline for filing a petition for rehearing and/or rehearing en banc expires in City of Oakland without any party filing a petition; (b) the date on which any such petition is denied; or (c) the date on which the Ninth Circuit issues an opinion after rehearing or rehearing en banc." Dkt. No. 138. On May 26, 2020, the Ninth Circuit vacated the district court order denying the motion to remand in City of Oakland and remanded the case to the district court to consider additional grounds for removal asserted by Defendants that were not addressed by the Ninth Circuit's opinion. See City of Oakland v. BP PLC, 960 F.3d 570 (9th Cir. 2020). The Ninth Circuit did not address the district court's orders granting Defendants' Rule 12(b)(6) motion for failure to state a claim and certain Defendants' Rule 12(b)(2) motions to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction. On August 12, 2020, the Ninth Circuit denied Defendants' petition for panel rehearing and/or rehearing en banc in City of Oakland, after modifying the panel's opinion in one respect. See City of Oakland v. BP PLC, 2020 WL 4678380 (9th Cir. Aug. 12, 2020). Accordingly, the parties hereby submit the following joint status report and respectfully request an additional 14 days to submit a further joint status report informing the Court of their position on how they would propose to proceed in this action.<sup>2</sup>

Procedural history and developments in the Ninth Circuit and Supreme Court. On May 9, 2018, Plaintiff King County filed an action against five defendants, BP P.L.C., Chevron Corporation, ConocoPhillips, Exxon Mobil Corporation, and Royal Dutch Shell plc (collectively, "Defendants"), in the Superior Court of the State of Washington in and for King County. Defendant Chevron Corporation removed the action to this Court on May 25, 2018.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

27

<sup>24</sup> 

The Ninth Circuit stated that, "[i]f, on remand, the district court determines that the cases must proceed in state court, the Cities [of Oakland and San Francisco] are free to move the district court to vacate its personal-jurisdiction ruling." *Id.* at 585 n.13.

<sup>26</sup> 

This submission does not operate as an admission of any factual allegation or legal conclusion and is submitted subject to and without waiver of any right, defense, affirmative defense, claim, or objection, including lack of personal jurisdiction, insufficient process, or insufficient service of process.

5

6

17 18

19

20

16

21

22

23 24

25 26

27

28

Dkt. No. 1. Plaintiff did not file a motion to remand. On July 27, 2018, Defendants filed motions to dismiss the Complaint pursuant to Rules 12(b)(2) and 12(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Dkt. Nos. 106–112. On August 17, 2018, Plaintiff filed a First Amended Complaint. Dkt. No. 113. Defendants then filed motions to dismiss the First Amended Complaint pursuant to Rules 12(b)(2) and 12(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Dkt. Nos. 115–120.

On September 13, 2018, Plaintiff filed a partially unopposed motion to stay proceedings pending the Ninth Circuit's decision in two similar cases brought by the City of Oakland and the City and County of San Francisco. Dkt. No. 125. On October 17, 2018, the Court granted the partially unopposed motion to stay proceedings, ordering this action stayed pending the appeal in City of Oakland v. BP PLC, No. 18-16663. Dkt. No. 138. The Court ordered that "[a]ll parties to this action shall inform the Court by letter of their position on how to proceed based upon the outcome of the appeal in City of Oakland." Id. The Court further ordered that "[t]his letter shall be filed within 10 business days of (a) the deadline for filing a petition for rehearing and/or rehearing en banc expires in City of Oakland without any party filing a petition; (b) the date on which any such petition is denied; or (c) the date on which the Ninth Circuit issues an opinion after rehearing or rehearing en banc." Id.

On May 26, 2020, the Ninth Circuit issued a decision in City of Oakland v. BP PLC, No. 18-16663 (9th Cir.), and Defendants timely filed a petition for panel rehearing and/or rehearing en banc. On August 12, 2020, the Ninth Circuit modified the panel opinion in one respect and otherwise denied the petition. Defendants intend to file petition for writ of certiorari in the Supreme Court of the United States.

**Plan for next steps in this action.** In light of the foregoing developments, the parties have been discussing next steps for proceedings in this case and are hopeful to reach an agreement soon. The parties believe and respectfully submit that a joint proposal on next steps would be more helpful to the Court than receiving separate positions from the parties. As noted above, the parties are optimistic that they can reach an agreement and submit a joint proposal relatively soon. Accordingly, the parties respectfully request that the Court continue the stay in

# Case 2:18-cv-00758-RSL Document 155 Filed 08/26/20 Page 4 of 7

1	this matter and direct the parties to submit a further joint status report, including a proposal for
2	next steps, to the Court within 14 days—by September 9, 2020.
3	
4	DATED this 26th day of August, 2020.
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	By: /s/ Kevin Wright	By: **/s/ Theodore J. Boutrous, Jr.
	/s/ Jennifer Stacy	/s/ Joshua S. Lipshutz
2	/s/ Steve W. Berman	/s/ Robert M. McKenna
	/s/ Barbara A. Mahoney	/s/ Amanda M. McDowell
3	/s/ Matthew F. Pawa	<u>/s/ Herbert J. Stern</u>
	/s/ Benjamin A. Krass	/s/ Joel M. Silverstein
4	/s/ Wesley Kelman	<u>/s/ Neal S. Manne</u>
_	77 ' 377 ' 1 .	/s/ Erica Harris
5	Kevin Wright	
	Jennifer Stacy	Theodore J. Boutrous, Jr. (pro hac vice)
6	Senior Deputy Prosecuting Attorneys	Joshua S. Lipshutz (pro hac vice)
7	KING COUNTY PROSECUTING	GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
′	ATTORNEY'S OFFICE CIVIL DIVISION	333 South Grand Avenue
8	516 Third Avenue, Suite 400	Los Angeles, CA 90071
0	Seattle, WA 98104	Telephone: +213 229 7000
9	Telephone: (206) 477-1120	Facsimile: +213 229 7520
	Facsimile: (206) 296-0191	E-mail: tboutrous@gibsondunn.com
10	1 desimile: (200) 250 0151	E-mail: jlipshutz@gibsondunn.com
	Steve W. Berman (WSBA No. 12536)	D 1 (M M IZ (WODAN 10227)
11	Barbara A. Mahoney (WSBA No. 31845)	Robert M. McKenna (WSBA No. 18327)
	HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO	Amanda M. McDowell (WSBA No. 52312) ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE
12	LLP	LLP
	1918 Eighth Ave. Suite 3300	701 Fifth Ave., Suite 5600
13	Seattle, WA 98101	Seattle, WA 98104
	Telephone: (206) 623-7292	Telephone: +1 206 839 4300
14	Facsimile: (206) 623-0594	Facsimile: +1 206 839 4301
1.	E-mail: steve@hbsslaw.com	E-mail: rmckenna@orrick.com
15	E-mail: barbara@hbsslaw.com	E-mail: atabor@orrick.com
16	Motthey E. Dowe (pro has vise)	
10	Matthew F. Pawa ( <i>pro hac vice</i> ) Benjamin A. Krass ( <i>pro hac vice</i> )	Herbert J. Stern (pro hac vice)
17	Wesley Kelman (pro hac vice)	Joel M. Silverstein (pro hac vice)
1 /	HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO	STERN & KILCULLEN, LLC
18	LLP	325 Columbia Turnpike, Suite 110
	1280 Centre Street, Suite 230	P.O. Box 992
19	Newton Centre, MA 02459	Florham Park, NJ 07932-0992
	Telephone: (617) 641-9550	Telephone: +973 535 1900 Facsimile: +973 535 9664
20	Facsimile: (617) 641-9551	E-mail: hstern@sgklaw.com
	E-mail: mattp@hbsslaw.com	E-mail: isilverstein@sgklaw.com
21	E-mail: benk@hbsslaw.com	L man. jshverstem@sgkiaw.com
	E-mail: wesk@hbsslaw.com	Neal S. Manne (pro hac vice)
22		Erica Harris (pro hac vice)
22	Attorneys for Plaintiff KING COUNTY	SUSMAN GODFREY LLP
23		1000 Louisiana, Suite 5100
24		Houston, TX 77002
24		Telephone: 713.651.9366
25		Facsimile: 713.654.6666
۷٥		E-mail: nmanne@susmangodfrey.com
26		E-mail: eharris@susmangodfrey.com
20		A D A A GYPTYD OY
27		Attorneys for Defendant CHEVRON
		CORPORATION
28		

# Case 2:18-cv-00758-RSL Document 155 Filed 08/26/20 Page 6 of 7

1		** Pursuant to this Court's Electronic Filing
2		Procedure III.L, the electronic signatory has obtained approval from all other signatories
3		
4	By: /s/ Angelo J. Calfo	By: /s/ Casey T. Clausen
5	/s/ Theodore V. Wells, Jr. /s/ Daniel J. Toal	Casey T. Clausen (WSBA No. 54360)
6	Angelo J. Calfo, WSBA No. 27079	BEVERIDGE & DIAMOND, P.C. 600 University Street, Suite 1601
7	CALFO EAKES LLP	Seattle, WA 98101
8	1301 Second Avenue, Suite 2800 Seattle, WA 98101	Telephone: (206) 315-4808 E-mail: cclausen@bdlaw.com
9	Telephone: (206) 407-2200 Facsimile: (206) 407-2224	David C. Frederick (pro hac vice)
10	E-mail: angeloc@calfoeakes.com	Brendan J. Crimmins (pro hac vice) KELLOGG, HANSEN, TODD, FIGEL &
11	Theodore V. Wells, Jr. ( <i>pro hac vice</i> ) Daniel J. Toal ( <i>pro hac vice</i> )	FREDERICK, P.L.L.C. 1615 M Street NW, Suite 400
12	forthcoming) PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON &	Washington, DC 20036 Telephone: (202) 326-7900
13	GARRISON LLP 1285 Avenue of the Americas	E-mail: dfrederick@kellogghansen.com E-mail: bcrimmins@kellogghansen.com
14	New York, NY 10019-6064 Telephone: (212) 373-3000	
15	Facsimile: (212) 757-3990 E-Mail: twells@paulweiss.com	Attorneys for Defendant ROYAL DUTCH SHELL PLC
16	E-Mail: dtoal@paulweiss.com	
17	Attorneys for Defendant EXXON MOBIL	
18	CORPÓRATION	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
21		

# Case 2:18-cv-00758-RSL Document 155 Filed 08/26/20 Page 7 of 7

1	By: /s/ Jonathan W. Hughes	By: /s/ Daniel A. Brown
_	/s/ Matthew T. Heartney	/s/ Sean C. Grimsley
2	/s/ John D. Lombardo	/s/ Jameson R. Jones
3	<u>/s/ Phillip H. Curtis</u> /s/ Nancy Milburn	Daniel A. Brown, WSBA No. 22028
3	/s/ Ivancy Willouth	WILLIAMS, KASTNER & GIBBS PLLC
4	Jonathan W. Hughes	601 Union Street, Suite 4100
	ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER	Seattle, WA 98101-2380
5	LLP	Telephone: (206) 628-6600
	Three Embarcadero Center, 10th Floor	Fax: (206) 628-6611
6	San Francisco, California 94111-4024	dbrown@williamskastner.com
7	Telephone: (415) 471-3100 Facsimile: (415) 471-3400	Sean C. Grimsley (pro hac vice)
,	E-mail: jonathan.hughes@apks.com	Jameson R. Jones (pro hac vice)
8	J	BARTLIT BECK LLP
	Matthew T. Heartney	1801 Wewatta St., Suite 1200
9	John D. Lombardo	Denver, Colorado 80202
10	ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER LLP	Telephone: 303-592-3123 Facsimile: 303-592-3140
10	777 South Figueroa Street, 44th Floor	Email: sean.grimsley@bartlit-beck.com
11	Los Angeles, California 90017-5844	Email: jameson.jones@bartlit-beck.com
	Telephone: (213) 243-4000	, and the second
12	Facsimile: (213) 243-4199	Attorneys for Defendant CONOCOPHILLIPS
12	E-mail: matthew.heartney@apks.com	
13	E-mail: john.lombardo@apks.com	
14	Philip H. Curtis	
	Nancy Milburn	
15	ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER	
16	LLP 250 West 55th Street	
10	New York, NY 10019-9710	
17	Telephone: (212) 836-8383	
	Facsimile: (212) 715-1399	
18	E-mail: philip.curtis@apks.com	
19	E-mail: nancy.milburn@apks.com	
19	Attorneys for Defendant BP P.L.C.	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
24		
25		
26		
27		
20	II	