1	BRIAN E. WASHINGTON (SBN 146807)		
2	bwashington@marincounty.org BRIAN C. CASE (SBN 254218)		
3	bcase@marincounty.org OFFICE OF THE COUNTY COUNSEL OF M	MADIN	
4	3501 Civic Center Drive Suite 275	VARIA	
5	San Rafael, CA 94903 Tel: (415) 473-6117		
6	Fax: (415) 473-3796		
7	VICTOR M. SHER (SBN 96197)		
	vic@sheredling.com		
8	MATTHEW K. EDLING (SBN 250940) matt@sheredling.com		
9	MARTIN D. QUIÑONES (SBN 293318)		
10	marty@sheredling.com KATIE H. JONES (SBN 300913)		
11	katie@sheredling.com		
12	SHER EDLING LLP 100 Montgomery Street, Ste. 1410		
13	San Francisco, CA 94104		
	Tel: (628) 231-2500 Fax: (628) 231-2929		
14	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
15	The County of Marin, Individually		
16	and on behalf of the People of the State of Californ	rnia	
17			
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
19	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
20			
21	THE COUNTY OF MARIN, individually and on behalf of THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE	Case No.: 3:17-cv-04935-VC	
22	OF CALIFORNIA	NOTICE OF DISMISSAL OF	
	Plaintiff,	DEFENDANT ARCH COAL, INC. WITH PREJUDICE	
23	v.	WITHINEGEDICE	
24	CHEVRON CORP., et al.,		
25	Defendants.		
26	Defendants.		
27			
,			

NOTICE OF DISMISSAL OF DEFENDANT ARCH COAL, INC. WITH PREJUDICE Case No. 3:17-cv-04935-VC

SHER EDLING LLP PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff the County of Marin, individually and on behalf of the People of the State of California, for the reasons stated below, for the reasons stated below, asks this Court to dismiss all claims in the above-captioned matter with prejudice as to Defendant Arch Coal, Inc. ("Arch") only.

Plaintiff was ordered by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of Missouri to dismiss its claims in the above-captioned matter as to defendant Peabody Energy Corp. with prejudice. *See In Re Peabody Energy Corp.*, No. 16-42529-399, Dkt.3514 (Bankr. E.D. Mo., Oct. 24, 2017) ("Peabody Bankruptcy Order"). Plaintiff agreed with Arch that the result of any motion to stay the Peabody Bankruptcy Order and/or appeal from it would govern and supersede a similar request for relief sought by Arch in its own bankruptcy proceeding in the Eastern District of Missouri. Upon stipulation, Arch agreed to withdraw its then-pending motion to the bankruptcy court seeking to enjoin Plaintiff from pursuing its claims against Arch, and Plaintiff agreed that upon entry of an order denying Plaintiff's motion to stay the Peabody Bankruptcy Order pending appeal, Plaintiff would "affirmatively dismiss with prejudice as to the [Arch] any counts ... included in Plaintiffs' appeal of the [Peabody Bankruptcy Order]." *See* Stipulation and Order, *In re: Arch Coal, Inc.*, No. 16-40120, Dkt. 1615 (Bankr. E.D. Mo., Nov. 21, 2017).

The United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit affirmed the Peabody Bankruptcy Order and denied Plaintiff's motion for a stay pending appeal on May 6, 2020. See In re Peabody Energy Corp., 958 F.3d 717 (8th Cir. 2020). The Court of Appeals denied Plaintiff's motion to stay the mandate on May 27, 2020, and the formal mandate issued that day. See In re Peabody Energy Corp., No. 18-3242, Entry ID Nos. 4916971 & 4916981 (8th Cir. May 27, 2020). Associate Justice Gorsuch of the United States Supreme Court, sitting as Circuit Justice for the Eighth Circuit, denied Plaintiff's application to recall the mandate and stay the Peabody Bankruptcy Order pending Plaintiff's anticipated petition for certiorari on June 24, 2020. See San Mateo Cty. et al. v. Peabody Energy Corp., No. 19A1051 (U.S. June 24, 2020). The Eighth Circuit's mandate affirming the Peabody Bankruptcy Order and denying the motion to stay therefore stands. Plaintiff filed a Notice of Dismissal asking this Court to dismiss Peabody Energy Corp. with prejudice on July 1, 2020. See Dkt. 242.

## Case 3:17-cv-04935-VC Document 243 Filed 07/10/20 Page 3 of 3

1	Plaintiff respectfully requests that the clerk of the court dismiss defendant Arch Coal, Inc.	
2	from the docket. Plaintiff reserves all rights to seek any available relief as to Arch Coal, Inc. fron	
3	this Court, or from any court of the State of California after remand thereto, in the event that the	
4	Peabody Bankruptcy Order is later reversed, vacated, or modified upon further proceedings.	
5		
6		
7	Dated: July 10, 2020	OFFICE OF THE COUNTY COUNSEL COUNTY OF MARIN
8	Dvv	
9	By.	/s/ Brian C. Case BRIAN E. WASHINGTON
		BRIAN C. CASE
10		
11		SHER EDLING LLP
12	By:	/s/ Matthew K. Edling
13		MATTHEW K. EDLING
14		VICTOR M. SHER
		MARTIN D. QUIÑONES KATIE H. JONES
15		MITTE II. VOIVES
16		Attorneys for The County of Marin,
17		individually and on behalf of the People of the State of California
18		sione of canyonna
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

SHER EDLING LLP