

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Cristopher M. Wolpert Clerk of the Court United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit Byron White Court House 1823 Stout Street Denver, CO 80257

March 31, 2020

Re: Rule 28(j) letter - Boulder Cty. Commissioners, et al v. Suncor Energy et al, No. 19-1330

Dear Mr. Wolpert,

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 28(j), Plaintiffs-Appellees submit Rodriguez v. FDIC, 140 S. Ct. 713 (2020) (Ex. A), as supplemental authority in support of their argument that their claims are not "governed by" federal common law and therefore cannot be removed. See Plaintiffs-Appellees' Br. (Pls.' Br.) at 24-34.

In *Rodriguez*, the Supreme Court (Gorsuch, J.) unanimously held that a dispute over how to distribute a tax refund between affiliated corporations that filed a consolidated tax return must be decided under state law – not federal common law. 140 S. Ct. at 717. *Rodriguez* confirmed the "necessarily modest role" of federal common law and that "before federal judges may claim a new area for common lawmaking, strict conditions must be satisfied . . . the most basic being" that federal common law must be "necessary to protect uniquely federal interests." *Id.*; *accord* Pls.' Br. at 31-34.

Rodriguez supports Plaintiffs' position that before applying federal common law, courts must home in on the specific dispute between the parties and determine whether that dispute implicates uniquely federal interests. Even though Rodriguez arose in a predominately federal area – federal bankruptcy and tax proceedings – it was ultimately irrelevant that the federal government had an interest in "regulating how it receives taxes from corporate groups . . . or in regulating the delivery of any tax refund due to a corporate group . . . [or in] ensur[ing] that others in the group have no recourse against federal coffers once it pays the group's designated agent." 140 S. Ct. at 717. The specific dispute between the specific parties – "determining how a consolidated corporate tax

Southeast Asia Office PO Box 123 Chiang Mai University Chiang Mai 50202 Thailand +66-81-531-1256 infoasia@earthrights.org Amazon Office Casilla Postal 45 Barranco, Lima 4, Peru +51-1-447- 9076 infoperu@earthrights.org

US Office 1612 K Street, NW, Suite 800 Washington, DC 20006 Tel: +1 (202) 466-5188 Fax: +1 (202) 466-5189 infousa@earthrights.org Page 2 of 5

refund, once paid to a designated agent, is *distributed* among group members" – did not implicate uniquely federal interests. *Id.* at 717-18.

Thus, even if Defendants had shown a unique federal interest in controlling emissions *at their source*, there would still be no federal jurisdiction: the issue here is Defendants' liability for *selling* and *promoting* fossil fuels at levels that harmed Plaintiffs; and they have failed to show a unique federal interest in that subject. Pls.' Br. at 25-29, 31-34.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Sean Powers

Sean Powers

EarthRights International

Counsel for Plaintiffs-Appellees

cc: All Counsel of Record (via ECF)

Appellate Case: 19-1330 Document: 010110327631 Date Filed: 03/31/2020 Page: 3

Page **3** of **5**

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE WITH TYPEFACE AND WORD-COUNT LIMITATIONS

I, Sean Powers, counsel for appellees – Board of County Commissioners of Boulder County, Board of County Commissions of San Miguel County, and the City of Boulder – and a member of the Bar of this Court, certify, pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 28(j), that the body of the attached letter contains 348 words.

March 31, 2020

/s/ Sean Powers

Sean Powers

Appellate Case: 19-1330 Document: 010110327631 Date Filed: 03/31/2020 Page: 4

Page 4 of 5

CERTIFICATE OF DIGITAL SUBMISSION, ANTIVIRUS SCAN, AND PRIVACY REDACTIONS

I hereby certify, pursuant to the Tenth Circuit CM/ECF User's Manual that the attached Letter, as submitted in digital form via the Court's electronic-filing system, has been scanned for viruses using McAfee LiveSafe (Version 16.0, updated Mar. 1, 2010) and, according to that program, is free of viruses.

March 31, 2020

/s/ Sean Powers

Sean Powers

Page 5 of 5

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Sean Powers, counsel for appellees – Board of County Commissioners of Boulder County,

Board of County Commissions of San Miguel County, and the City of Boulder - and a member of

the Bar of this Court, certify, that, on March 31, 2020, the attached Letter was filed with the Clerk of

the Court through the electronic-filing system. I further certify that all parties required to be served

have been served.

March 31, 2019

/s/ Sean Powers

Sean Powers