Catherine Kilduff (Bar No. 256331) 1 email: ckilduff@biologicaldiversity.org 2 Brian Segee (Bar No. 200795) email: bsegee@biologicaldiversity.org 3 Center for Biological Diversity 4 660 S. Figueroa St., Suite 1000 Los Angeles, CA 90017 5 Tel (Kilduff): (202) 780-8862 6 Tel (Segee): (805) 750-8852 7 Attorneys for Plaintiff 8 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA WESTERN DIVISION 11 12 CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY; Civil Case No. 13 COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY Plaintiff, 14 AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF VS. 15 (Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. NATIONAL OCEANIC ATMOSPHERIC 16 ADMINISTRATION; § 552) 17 Defendant. 18 JURISDICTION AND VENUE 19 This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to the Freedom of 1. 20 Information Act ("FOIA"), 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B), and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal 21 question jurisdiction). 22 Venue is properly vested in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 2. 23 § 1391(e), because a substantial part of the events and omissions giving rise to the 24 claim occurred in this District and because a substantial number of the records are 25 likely located in this District. 26 3. Jurisdiction and venue are also proper under 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B) 27 because a substantial number of the records are likely located in this District. 28

INTRODUCTION

- 4. In this action, Plaintiff Center For Biological Diversity ("Center")— an environmental conservation organization that works to protect native wildlife species and their habitats—challenges the failure of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration ("NOAA") to comply with FOIA in order to compel NOAA to disclose records that have been unlawfully withheld after a FOIA request.
- 5. FOIA requires that federal agencies respond to public requests for records in order to increase public understanding of the workings of government and provide access to agency records. The records that the Center seeks concern NOAA's decision to deny the Center's petition to list the Pacific bluefin tuna as threatened or endangered under the Endangered Species Act ("ESA"), 16 U.S.C. § 1531 *et seq.*, and to designate critical habitat concurrently with its listing.
- 6. Pacific bluefin tuna are at a population level of less than 4 percent than the estimated population before fishing. Because Pacific bluefin tuna ranges from north of Japan to New Zealand in the western Pacific, and off California and Mexico in the eastern Pacific, it is highly vulnerable to excessive fishing by various nations. The information sought is of public interest because it relates to the federal management of the nation's most imperiled wildlife and the implementation of the Endangered Species Act.
- 7. On December 18, 2017, the Center submitted a FOIA request to NOAA for all records generated in connection with the denial of the Pacific bluefin tuna listing petition. On April 10, 2018, NOAA sent its first interim response to the Center's FOIA request. On June 18, 2018, NOAA sent its second and final response, while partially or fully withholding 257 records under FOIA Exemptions 4, 5, 6, or 7.
- 8. On September 11, 2018, the Center filed an appeal of NOAA's FOIA response. The Center's appeal asserted that NOAA has conducted an inadequate

search for records and challenged the agency's exemption 5 withholdings.

- 9. FOIA requires agencies to make a determination on any administrative appeal within 20-working days after the agency receives the appeal. 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)(ii).
- 10. NOAA has violated FOIA's statutory deadline for making a decision on the Center's appeal.
- 11. The Center seeks an order declaring NOAA in violation of FOIA, requiring NOAA to make a lawful determination on the Center's administrative appeal, and directing NOAA to conduct an adequate search for records and to release all records and portions of records that were improperly withheld pursuant to Exemption 5.

PARTIES

- 12. Plaintiff CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY ("the Center") is a national, nonprofit conservation organization that works through science, law, and policy to secure a future for all species, great or small, hovering on the brink of extinction. The Center is dedicated to the preservation, protection, and restoration of biodiversity and ecosystems throughout the world. The Center has more than 69,500 members.
- 13. The Center informs, educates, and counsels the public regarding environmental issues, policies, and laws relating to environmental issues. Specifically, the Center works to provide its members and the public with a better understanding of the government's management of protected species of wildlife, and in particular, its implementation of the Endangered Species Act. The Center has been substantially involved in the management activities of numerous government agencies for decades and has consistently displayed its ability to disseminate information granted to it through FOIA. Informing the public is central to the Center's mission. The Center educates and informs the public through media advocacy, its webpage, and other widely distributed publications.

- 14. The Center and its members are harmed by NOAA's violations of FOIA. Such violations preclude the Center from gaining a full understanding of the management of Pacific bluefin tuna and NOAA's implementation of the Endangered Species Act, or disseminating that information to the public. These injuries would be redressed by a favorable decision granting the Center its requested relief.
- 15. Defendant NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION is an agency within the Department of Commerce. NOAA is the agency to which the Secretary of Commerce has delegated the authority to implement the Endangered Species Act for most threatened and endangered marine species, and is in possession and control of the records that the Center seeks, and as such, it is subject to FOIA pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(f).

STATUTORY BACKGROUND

- 16. FOIA's basic purpose is government transparency. It establishes the public's right to access all federal agency records unless such records may be withheld pursuant to one of nine, narrowly construed FOIA exemptions. 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(1)-(9). Any inquiry under FOIA brings with it a strong presumption in favor of disclosure.
- 17. FOIA imposes strict deadlines on federal agencies when they receive a request for records pursuant to FOIA. Specifically, an agency must determine whether to disclose responsive records and notify the requester of its determination within 20-working days of receiving a FOIA request, and it must make records "promptly" available, unless it can establish that certain unusual circumstances are present and/or that it may lawfully withhold records, or portions thereof, from disclosure. *Id.* § 552(a)(3)(A), (a)(6). Also within 20-working days, the agency must inform the requester that it has a right to appeal the agency's determination. *Id.* § 552(a)(6)(A)(i). If an administrative appeal is filed, the agency has 20-working days to respond to that appeal. 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)(ii).

- 18. FOIA places the burden on the agency to prove that it may withhold responsive records from a requester. *Id.* § 552(a)(4)(B).
- 19. FOIA requires each agency to make reasonable efforts to search for records in a manner that is reasonably calculated to locate all records that are responsive to the FOIA request. *Id.* § 552(a)(3)(C)-(D).
- 20. Congress recognized that in certain, limited instances, records may be withheld as exempt from FOIA's broad disclosure mandate, and thus created nine categories of exemptions. *Id.* § 552(b). These exemptions, however, are narrowly construed in light of FOIA's dominant objective of disclosure, not secrecy.
- 21. The U.S. district courts have jurisdiction "to enjoin the agency from withholding agency records and to order the production of any agency records improperly withheld from the complainant." *Id.* § 552(a)(4)(B).
- 22. FOIA permits the Court to assess "reasonable attorney fees and other litigation costs reasonably incurred in any case . . . in which the complainant has substantially prevailed." 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(E)(i).

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- A. The Imperiled Pacific Bluefin Tuna and Petition to List Under the Endangered Species Act
- 23. The Pacific bluefin tuna (*Thunnus orientalis*), one of three bluefin tuna species, are slow-growing, long-lived, endothermic fish. Pacific bluefin are renowned for their large size, unique physiology and biomechanics, and capacity to swim great distances. The species migrates tens of thousands of miles across the largest ocean to feed and spawn, ranging from waters north of Japan to New Zealand in the western Pacific, and off California and Mexico in the eastern Pacific.
- 24. Commercial fishing is the primary threat to the survival of the Pacific bluefin tuna. Since the start of heavy fishing, around the early 1930s, Pacific

bluefin tuna have suffered a more than 96% population decline. Despite severe overfishing for decades, commercial catch in the eastern Pacific was not limited by international agreement until 2012, and in the western Pacific until 2013. Recent catch remains significantly higher than the catch limits based on scientific advice.

- 25. Pacific bluefin tuna are also threatened by water and plastic pollution, oil and gas development, renewable energy projects, large-scale aquaculture, forage fish depletion, and climate change.
- 26. In an effort to address these threats, on June 20, 2016, the Center (along with individual scientists and other organizations) petitioned NOAA to list the Pacific bluefin tuna as a threatened or endangered species under the Endangered Species Act.
- 27. On October 11, 2016, NOAA published a "positive 90-day finding" pursuant to the ESA, finding that the petition presented substantial scientific or commercial information indicating that the listing of the Pacific bluefin tuna may be warranted, and announcing the initiation of a status review of the species. 81 Fed. Reg. 70,074.
- 28. Subsequently, on August 8, 2017, NOAA issued a "negative 12-month finding" pursuant to the ESA, concluding that listing of the Pacific bluefin tuna is not warranted and that the species "faces an overall low risk of extinction." 82 Fed. Reg. at 37,079.

B. The Center's FOIA Request to NOAA

- 29. On December 18, 2017, the Center submitted a FOIA request to NOAA seeking all records generated in connection with the denial of the Pacific bluefin tuna listing petition under the Endangered Species Act.
- 30. On January 12, 2018, NOAA sent the Center a letter acknowledging the FOIA request and invoking a 10-workday extension "[d]ue to the need to search for and collect the requested records from field facilities or other establishments separate from the office processing the request."

- 31. On February 2, 2018, NOAA sent the Center electronic mail seeking clarification of its request. In that email, NOAA stated that the Center's request lacked a date range and thus, the agency considered "the date range for responsive records from the date of the petition (June 20, 2016) to the date of the agency final decision (August 8, 2017)." NOAA added that "[i]f that is not correct we will need further clarification," and that it needed clarification on what is considered a "responsive document."
- 32. On February 9, 2018, the Center sent NOAA electronic mail confirming that its request sought all records comprising "the decision file for the decision to not list Pacific bluefin tuna" including "correspondence related to the denial of the petition," but not "the rollout plan." The Center further stated that it did not seek background records "associated with the report [that] came after the decision not to list Pacific bluefin tuna" (e.g., a summary fact sheet).
- 33. On February 23, 2018, NOAA and the Center participated in a telephone call. On February 28, 2018, NOAA sent the Center a letter memorializing that telephone conversation. That letter stated:

In that conversation, I provided you with a status update of our response and requested an extension of the FOIA time limits. We have tasked your request to the West Coast Region's Protected Resources Division and Sustainable Fisheries Divisions, the NOAA Office of Protected Resources (O/PR) and the Southwest Fisheries Science Center to search for responsive records. At this time, we are in the process of collection and review of records submitted by the responding offices. At the time of our conversation last Friday there were over 1,000 separate record items, but this number has since grown as additional records have been collected. Due to the volume and complexity of the responsive material and our limited resources, we will need additional time to complete our processing of your request. Thank you for the Center's willingness to receive records on a rolling basis (as indicated on your request letter). We anticipate providing you with an interim release on or about March 20, 2018. We hope to complete our final response to you by September 20, 2018.

- 34. On March 12, 2018, the Center sent NOAA electronic mail stating that it agreed to the date range to be "from the date of the petition (June 20, 2016) to the date of the agency final decision (August 8, 2017)." The Center's email further stated that we are seeking "documents about the decision not to list Pacific bluefin tuna," and that "we want correspondence related to the denial of the petition," but "do not want the rollout plan." The email further stated that "[w]e are not clear as to what a background document associated with the report would be, but if it came after the decision not to list Pacific bluefin tuna (like a summary fact sheet), then no, we do not want it."
- 35. On March 19, 2018, NOAA sent the Center electronic mail stating that the agency is reviewing records and expects to provide its "first interim response by next week (March 27, 2018) with our final response completed no later than September 20, 2018."
- 36. On March 30, 2018, NOAA sent the Center a status update letter. That letter stated that NOAA's searches "will produce over 3,500 records," and that it is "in the process of producing approximately 3,000 records and anticipate providing you with this material within the next 7 to 10 business days...We expect to apply exemptions (b)(5), (b)(6), and possibly (b)(7) to some of the documents in our final response. We will send you our final response no later than September 20, 2018, but we anticipate completion much sooner."
- 37. On April 10, 2018, NOAA sent the Center its First Interim Response Letter, which stated that it "located 3,226 records responsive to your request," granted the Center granted full access to those records, and provided an electronic copy.
- 38. On April 24, 2018, NOAA sent the Center electronic mail stating that it "provided [the Center] with an interim release of 3,226 records. We expect to complete our final response within the next 30-60 days (significantly sooner than our initial estimated completion date of 9/20/2018.)"

- 39. On June 18, 2018, NOAA sent the Center its Final Determination Letter, which stated that the agency "identified an additional 458 documents that are fully releasable and this material is being released to you in their entirety." That letter further stated that NOAA was "releasing 257 documents responsive to your request that contain redactions under exemptions 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(5)," and that Exemption 5 "exempts from disclosure inter-agency or intra-agency memorandums or letters which would not be available by law to a party other than an agency in litigation with the agency. The records are exempted from disclosure under the deliberative process privilege, attorney client communication and/or attorney work product." NOAA's letter went on to explain that the agency withheld records under Exemptions 4, 6, and 7.
- 40. On July 27, 2018, the Center received an electronic mail with NOAA's Final Determination Letter dated June 18, 2018. On August 1, 2018, the Center sent NOAA electronic mail stating that the Center "received this letter in NOAA's email on July 27, 2018. Please update the date on the letter and resend to us for our records."
- 41. On August 13, 2018, the Center sent electronic mail again asking for an updated Final Determination Letter with corrected date.
- 42. To date, the Center has not received a response to neither its August 1, 2018, nor its August 13, 2018, emails seeking an updated Final Determination Letter.
- 43. On September 11, 2018, the Center submitted an administrative appeal to NOAA asserting that NOAA had improperly applied Exemption 5's attorney-client privilege to its withheld records, and had failed to conduct an adequate search for all records.
- 44. Under FOIA, NOAA had 20-working days to make a decision on the Center's administrative appeal. 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)(ii). Thus, a decision was due by October 9, 2018. NOAA has not yet issued this required decision.

3

4 5

> 6 7

8

11

12

10

13

1415

1617

18

19 20

21

2223

2425

26

27

28

CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

VIOLATION OF THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT

(Failure to Comply with FOIA's Mandatory Administrative Appeal Deadline)

- 45. The Center realleges and incorporates by reference all the allegations set forth in this Complaint, as if set forth fully below.
- 46. NOAA's failure to respond and make a decision on the Center's administrative appeal within the 20-working day deadline is a violation of FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)(i).
- 47. Based on the nature of the Center's organizational activities, it will undoubtedly continue to employ FOIA's provisions in record requests to NOAA in the foreseeable future.
- 48. The Center's organizational activities will be adversely affected if NOAA continues to violate FOIA's administrative appeal deadline as it has in this case.
- 49. Unless enjoined and made subject to a declaration of the Center's legal rights by this Court, NOAA will continue to violate the Center's rights to receive public records under FOIA.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

VIOLATION OF THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT

(Failure to Conduct an Adequate Search for All Responsive Records)

- 50. The Center realleges and incorporates by reference all the allegations set forth in this Complaint, as if set forth fully below.
- 51. The Center has a statutory right to have NOAA process its FOIA requests in a manner that complies with FOIA. 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(3). NOAA violated the Center's rights in this regard when it unlawfully failed to undertake a search that is reasonably calculated to locate all records that are responsive to the Center's FOIA Request.

- 52. Based on the nature of the Center's organizational activities, it will undoubtedly continue to employ FOIA's provisions in record requests to NOAA in the foreseeable future.
- 53. The Center's organizational activities will be adversely affected if NOAA continues to violate FOIA's requirement to undertake a search that is reasonably calculated to locate records that are responsive to the Center's FOIA requests.
- 54. Unless enjoined and made subject to a declaration of the Center's legal rights by this Court, NOAA will continue to violate the Center's rights to receive public records under FOIA.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

VIOLATION OF THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT

(Failure to Disclose All Responsive Records/Unlawful Exemptions)

- 55. The Center realleges and incorporates by reference all the allegations set forth in this Complaint, as if set forth fully below.
- 56. The Center has a statutory right to the records it seeks, and there is no legal basis for NOAA to assert that any of FOIA's nine exemptions to mandatory disclosure apply to withhold records from the Center. *See* 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(1)-(9).
- 57. NOAA has violated the Center's rights in this regard by withholding records that are responsive to the Center's FOIA request.
- 58. Based on the nature of the Center's organizational activities, it will undoubtedly continue to employ FOIA's provisions in FOIA requests to NOAA in the foreseeable future.
- 59. The Center's organizational activities will be adversely affected if NOAA continues to violate FOIA's disclosure provisions as it has in this case.

60. Unless enjoined and made subject to a declaration of the Center's legal rights by this Court, NOAA will continue to violate the Center's rights to receive public records under FOIA.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF VIOLATION OF THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT

(<u>Failure to Provide Reasonably Segregable Portions of Any Lawfully Exempt Records</u>)

- 61. The Center realleges and incorporates by reference all the allegations set forth in this Complaint, as if set forth fully below.
- 62. The Center has a statutory right to any reasonably segregable portion of a record that contains information that is subject to any of FOIA's exemptions. 5 U.S.C. § 552(b).
- 63. NOAA violated the Center's rights in this regard by unlawfully withholding reasonably segregable portions of any lawfully exempt records that are responsive to the Center's FOIA Request.
- 64. Based on the nature of the Center's organizational activities, it will continue to employ FOIA's provisions in record requests to NOAA in the foreseeable future.
- 65. The Center's organizational activities will be adversely affected if NOAA is allowed to continue violating FOIA's disclosure provisions as it has in this case.
- 66. Unless enjoined and made subject to a declaration of the Center's legal rights by this Court, NOAA will continue to violate the Center's rights to receive public records under FOIA.

RELIEF REQUESTED

For the foregoing reasons, the Center respectfully requests that the Court:

- A. Declare that NOAA's failure to timely make a determination on the Center's FOIA appeal is unlawful under FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)(ii).
- B. Order NOAA to issue a decision on the Center's September 11, 2018, administrative appeal by a date certain.
- C. Declare that NOAA's failure to undertake a search for and disclose to the Center all records that are responsive to the Center's FOIA request is unlawful under FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)(i).
- D. Order NOAA to conduct a search that is reasonably calculated to locate all records responsive to the Center's FOIA request, with the cut-off date for such searches being the date that the searches are conducted, and to provide the Center, by date certain from the Court's order, with all responsive records and reasonably segregable portions of lawfully exempt records sought in this action.
- E. Declare that NOAA's failure to properly apply FOIA exemptions, 5 U.S.C. § 552(b), is unlawful under FOIA.
- F. Order NOAA to provide all records or portions of records that were unlawfully withheld pursuant to FOIA Exemption 5 by date certain.
- G. Award the Center its costs and reasonable attorney fees pursuant to FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(E), or 28 U.S.C. § 2412.
- H. Maintain jurisdiction over this action until NOAA is in compliance with FOIA and every order of this Court;
- I. Grant the Center such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.
 Respectfully submitted this 13th day of February, 2019.

/s/ Catherine Kilduff

Catherine Kilduff (Bar No. 256331) email: ckilduff@biologicaldiversity.org Brian Segee (Bar No. 200795)

email: bsegee@biologicaldiversity.org

Center for Biological Diversity 660 S. Figueroa St., Suite 1000 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Tel (Kilduff): (202) 780-8862 Tel (Segee): (805) 750-8852 Attorneys for Plaintiff

Case 2:19-Quanted States district to durf, iden trale bistrict age calutornia age ID #:15 civil cover sheet

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check	k box if you are repre	senting yourself ()	DEFENDANTS	(Check box if you are re	presenting yourself [])		
Center for Biological Diversity			National Oceanic At	National Oceanic Atmospheric Administration			
(b) County of Residence of	of First Listed Plain	tiff Pima County, A	Z County of Reside	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant			
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES))		(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA.	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A representing yourself, provide Center for Biological Diversity 660 S. Figueroa St., Suite 1000 Los Angeles, CA 90017 805-750-8852	•			Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number) If you are representing yourself, provide the same information.			
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTI	ON (Place an X in or	RINCIPAL PARTIES-For D	Piversity Cases Only				
1. U.S. Government			(Place an X in one bo	Place an X in one box for plaintiff and one for defendant) PTF DEF Incorporated or Principal Place of Business in this State of Another State 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			
Defendant	of Parties in I	tem III)	Foreign Country] 3 [] 3 3			
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in one box only.) 1. Original Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Appellate Court State Court State Court Appellate Court State Court State Court Appellate Court Appellate Court State Court State Court State Court Appellate Court State Court S							
V. REQUESTED IN COMP	PLAINT: JURY DE	MAND: 🗌 Yes 🔀] No (Check "Yes" o	nly if demanded in comp	plaint.)		
CLASS ACTION under F.	R.Cv.P. 23:	′es ⊠ No	MONEY DEMA	NDED IN COMPLAINT:	\$		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing and write a brief statement of cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.) Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552: failure to respond to appeal, to search adequately to locate all records, and to release segregable records; improper record withholding.							
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Pl	ace an X in one bo	x only).					
OTHER STATUTES	CONTRACT	REAL PROPERTY CONT	. IMMIGRATION	PRISONER PETITIONS	PROPERTY RIGHTS		
375 False Claims Act	110 Insurance	240 Torts to Land	462 Naturalization Application	Habeas Corpus:	820 Copyrights		
376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment	120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument	245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property TORTS	465 Other Immigration Actions TORTS PERSONAL PROPERTY	463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty	830 Patent 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application 840 Trademark		
410 Antitrust	150 Recovery of ☐ Overpayment &	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane	370 Other Fraud	Other:	SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff)		
430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/Etc. 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced & Corrupt Org. 480 Consumer Credit	Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loan (Excl. Vet.)	315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Fed. Employers' Liability 340 Marine	371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28	540 Mandamus/Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee Conditions of Confinement FORFEITURE/PENALTY	862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405 (g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405 (g))		
490 Cable/Sat TV	153 Recovery of Overpayment of	☐ 345 Marine Product Liability	USC 158	625 Drug Related	FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or		
850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters	Vet. Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability	□ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle □ Product Liability □ 360 Other Personal Injury □ 362 Personal Injury Med Malpratice	442 Employment	Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 690 Other LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations	Defendant) 871 IRS-Third Party 26 USC 7609		
× Act	196 Franchise	☐ 365 Personal Injury- Product Liability	☐ Accommodations	740 Railway Labor Act			
896 Arbitration 899 Admin. Procedures Act/Review of Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability	445 American with Disabilities- Employment 446 American with Disabilities-Other 448 Education	751 Family and Medical Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Employee Ret. Inc. Security Act			

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Case Number:

Case 2:19-Ountied States district Courficentral Bistrict Offical Fraction Rage ID #:16 CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII. VENUE: Your answers to the questions below will determine the division of the Court to which this case will be initially assigned. This initial assignment is subject to change, in accordance with the Court's General Orders, upon review by the Court of your Complaint or Notice of Removal.

QUESTION A: Was this case removed from state court? Yes No	STATE CASE WAS PENDING IN THE COUNTY OF:			INITIAL DIV	INITIAL DIVISION IN CACD IS:	
	Los Angeles, Ventura, Santa Barbara,	V	Western			
If "no, " skip to Question B. If "yes," check the box to the right that applies, enter the	Orange	S	Southern			
corresponding division in response to Question E, below, and continue from there.	Riverside or San Bernardino			!	Eastern	
QUESTION B: Is the United States, or one of its agencies or employees, a PLAINTIFF in this action?	B.1. Do 50% or more of the defendants who reside in the district reside in Orange Co.? check one of the boxes to the right		YES. Your case will initially be assigned to the Southern Division. Enter "Southern" in response to Question E, below, and continue from there.			
☐ Yes 区 No			NO. Continue to Question B.2.			
If "no, " skip to Question C. If "yes," answer Question B.1, at right.	B.2. Do 50% or more of the defendants who reside in the district reside in Riverside and/or San Bernardino Counties? (Consider the two counties together.)		YES. Your case will initially be assigned to the Eastern Division. Enter "Eastern" in response to Question E, below, and continue from there.			
	check one of the boxes to the right	•		ase will initially be assigned tern" in response to Questi		
OUESTION C: Is the United States, or	C.1. Do 50% or more of the plaintiffs who	reside in the	VEC Value	ann an cuitt in it in the change of	d to the Cauthau Division	
one of its agencies or employees, a DEFENDANT in this action?	district reside in Orange Co.? check one of the boxes to the right		YES. Your case will initially be assigned to the Southern Division. Enter "Southern" in response to Question E, below, and continue from there.			
X Yes ☐ No	j		× NO. Contir	ue to Question C.2.		
If "no, " skip to Question D. If "yes," answer Question C.1, at right.	C.2. Do 50% or more of the plaintiffs who reside in the district reside in Riverside and/or San Bernardino Counties? (Consider the two counties together.)		YES. Your case will initially be assigned to the Eastern Division. Enter "Eastern" in response to Question E, below, and continue from there.			
	check one of the boxes to the right	•		ase will initially be assigned tern" in response to Questi		
QUESTION D: Location of plaintiff	s and defendants?	Oran	A. age County	B. Riverside or San Bernardino County	C. Los Angeles, Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San Luis Obispo County	
Indicate the location(s) in which 50% or reside. (Check up to two boxes, or leave	more of <i>plaintiffs who reside in this dist</i> blank if none of these choices apply.)	rict				
Indicate the location(s) in which 50% or district reside. (Check up to two boxes, capply.)	more of <i>defendants who reside in this</i> or leave blank if none of these choices				\boxtimes	
D.1. Is there at least one	answer in Column A?		D.2. Is there a	t least one answer in C	Column B?	
Yes	⊠ No			Yes X No		
If "yes," your case will initia	ally be assigned to the	If "yes," your case will initially be assigned to the				
SOUTHERN D	EASTERN DIVISION.					
Enter "Southern" in response to Question	Enter "Eastern" in response to Question E, below.					
lf "no," go to questio	n D2 to the right.	If	•	ill be assigned to the WEST n" in response to Question		
QUESTION E: Initial Division?			INI	TIAL DIVISION IN CACD		
Enter the initial division determined by (Western			
QUESTION F: Northern Counties?						
Do 50% or more of plaintiffs or defendar	nts in this district reside in Ventura, Sar	nta Barbara, o	or San Luis Obis	po counties?	Yes $\overline{\times}$ No	

CV-71 (05/17) CIVIL COVER SHEET Page 2 of 3

Case 2:19-ONNEDSTATES DISTRICT COURF, CENTRAL BISTRICT COPE ALIFORNIA GE ID #:17 CIVIL COVER SHEET

a). IDENTICAL CASES: Has this action been previously filed in this court?	\bowtie NO	YES
If yes, list case number(s):		
b). RELATED CASES: Is this case related (as defined below) to any civil or criminal case(s) previously filed	in this court?	
If yes, list case number(s):	\boxtimes NO	YES
ii yes, list case number(s):		
Civil cases are related when they (check all that apply):		
A. Arise from the same or a closely related transaction, happening, or event;		
B. Call for determination of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and for	act; or	
C. For other reasons would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges		
Note: That cases may involve the same patent, trademark, or copyright is not, in itself, sufficient to dee	m cases related.	
A civil forfeiture case and a criminal case are related when they (check all that apply):		
A. Arise from the same or a closely related transaction, happening, or event;		
B. Call for determination of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and for	act; or	
C. Involve one or more defendants from the criminal case in common and would entail substallabor if heard by different judges.	tantial duplication of	
SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY R SELF-REPRESENTED LITIGANT): /s/ Catherine Kilduff	DATE: February 13	3, 2019

neither replaces nor supplements the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. For more detailed instructions, see separate instruction sheet (CV-071A).

Key to Statistical codes relating to Social Security Cases:

Nature of Suit Code 861	Abbreviation HIA	Substantive Statement of Cause of Action All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405 (g))
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405 (g))
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405 (g))

CV-71 (05/17) CIVIL COVER SHEET Page 3 of 3