24

25

26

27

28

| 1 | | |
|----|---|----------------------------------|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 5 | FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | CITY OF OAKLAND, a Municipal Corporation, and | |
| 9 | THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, acting by and through Oakland City Attorney | |
| 10 | BARBARA J. PARKER, | No. C 17-06011 WHA |
| 11 | Plaintiffs, | and |
| 12 | v. | No. C 17-06012 WHA |
| 13 | BP P.L.C., a public limited company of England and Wales, CHEVRON CORPORATION, a Delaware | |
| 14 | corporation, CONOCOPHILLIPS, a Delaware corporation, EXXON MOBIL CORPORATION, a | REQUEST FOR JOINT STATEMENT RE |
| 15 | New Jersey corporation, ROYAL DUTCH SHELL PLC, a public limited company of England and | PENDING FRCP 12(b)(2) MOTIONS |
| 16 | Wales, and DOES 1 through 10, | |
| 17 | Defendants / | |
| 18 | AND RELATED CASE. | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | In light of today's order granting defendants' motions to dismiss pursuant to FRCP | |
| 21 | 12(b)(6), by JULY 2 AT NOON , the parties shall submit a joint statement regarding whether it | |
| 22 | remains necessary to reach the narrowed FRCP 12(b)(2) motions (which narrowing is | |
| 22 | (1)() | |

appreciated). While the Court remains willing to decide the FRCP 12(b)(2) issue, counsel may prefer to postpone such a ruling until after appellate review of the FRCP 12(b)(6) and noremand orders.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 25, 2018.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE