This is an automatic e-mail message generated by the CM/ECF system. Please DO NOT RESPOND to this e-mail because the mail box is unattended.

NOTE TO PUBLIC ACCESS USERS Judicial Conference of the United States policy permits attorneys of record and parties in a case (including pro se litigants) to receive one free electronic copy of all documents filed electronically, if receipt is required by law or directed by the filer. PACER access fees apply to all other users. To avoid later charges, download a copy of each document during this first viewing. However, if the referenced document is a transcript, the free copy and 30 page limit do not apply.

U.S. District Court

District of Oregon

Notice of Electronic Filing

The following transaction was entered on 6/14/2018 at 2:24 PM PDT and filed on 6/14/2018

Case Name: Juliana, et al v United States of America, et al

Case Number: <u>6:15-cv-01517-TC</u>

Filer:

Document Number: 238(No document attached)

Docket Text:

ORDER: As the Government notes in its motion, in order to stay discovery pending resolution of objections to a discovery order, the movant must show that (1) it is likely it will succeed on the merits of the appeal, (2) it will suffer irreparable injury in the absence of a stay, (3) other parties will not be substantially injured by a stay, and (4) the stay will not harm public interest. See NML Capital, Ltd. v. Republic of Argentina, No. 2:14-cv- 492-RFB-VCF, 2015 WL 3489684, at *4 (D. Nev. June 3, 2015). In briefing the motion, the Government does not clearly explain what "irreparable harm" it will suffer in the absence of a stay pending resolution of the Government's objections, Nor does the Court find irreparable harm likely under the circumstances. To the extent that the Government does address the "balance of hardships," formulation of the relevant test, NML Capital, 2015 WL 3489684, at *4, its concerns would seem to be better addressed by specific objections to specific discovery requests, rather than by a blanket stay of all discovery pending this Court's review of the Government's objections. Accordingly, the motion, [216] is DENIED. Ordered by Judge Ann L. Aiken. (ck)

6:15-cv-01517-TC Notice has been electronically mailed to:

Andrea K. Rodgers andrearodgers42@gmail.com

Benjamin E. Tannen btannen@sidley.com, ben-tannen-8259@ecf.pacerpro.com, dcefilingnotice@sidley.com

C. Marie Eckert marie.eckert@millernash.com, jeannie.peurasaari@millernash.com

Charles M. Tebbutt charlie@tebbuttlaw.com, dan@tebbuttlaw.com

Clare Boronow clare.boronow@usdoj.gov, efile_nrs.enrd@usdoj.gov

Courtney B. Johnson courtney@crag.org

Frank J. Singer frank.singer@usdoj.gov

Frank R. Volpe fvolpe@sidley.com

Julia A. Olson juliaaolson@gmail.com, eliza.brown@gmail.com

Marissa Piropato marissa.piropato@usdoj.gov

Mark D Hopson mhopson@sidley.com

Michelle A. Blackwell mblackwell@blackwell.law

Peter Kryn Dykema peter.dykema@usdoj.gov

Philip L. Gregory pgregory@gregorylawgroup.com

Sean C. Duffy sean.c.duffy@usdoj.gov, efile_nrs.enrd@usdoj.gov

6:15-cv-01517-TC Notice will <u>not</u> be electronically mailed to: