27

28

1		
2		
3		
4		
5	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7		
8		
9	CITY OF OAKLAND, a Municipal	No. C 17-06011 WHA
10	Corporation, and THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, acting by and	and
11	through Oakland City Attorney BARBARA J. PARKER,	No. C 17-06012 WHA
12	Plaintiffs,	
13	V.	ORDER RE SERVICE OF
14	BP P.L.C., a public limited company of	PROCESS
15	England and Wales, CHEVRON CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation,	
16	CONOCOPHILLIPS COMPANY, a Delaware corporation, EXXON MOBIL	
17	CORPORATION, a New Jersey corporation, ROYAL DUTCH SHELL PLC, a public	
18	limited company of England and Wales, and DOES 1 through 10,	
19	Defendants.	
20	AND DELATED CAGE	
21	AND RELATED CASE.	
22		16 16 1 (D 1D (16) 11 1 1
23	In response to the Court's inquiry, counsel for defendant Royal Dutch Shell plc has	
24	confirmed that it is now willing, pursuant to FRCP 4(d), to sign and return a waiver of servi	
25	of summons addressed to Royal Dutch Shell plc (Dkt. No. 262).	
26	By <b>Tomorrow at Noon</b> , Royal Dutch Shell shall confirm that if plaintiffs take	

By TOMORROW AT NOON, Royal Dutch Shell shall confirm that if plaintiffs take advantage of this offer then Royal Dutch Shell's motion to dismiss pursuant to FRCP 12(b)(5) would be moot and the issues raised by Royal Dutch Shell pursuant to FRCP 12(b)(2) and

## Case 3:17-cv-06011-WHA Document 263 Filed 05/29/18 Page 2 of 2

12(b)(6) need not be reargued (*i.e.*, Royal Dutch Shell's time to respond to the complaint would not start over).

Also by **TOMORROW AT NOON**, plaintiffs shall submit a statement setting forth any reason why they should not proceed to accept Royal Dutch Shell's offer to waive service.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 29, 2018.

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE