1	CITY OF OAKLAND	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
2	BARBARA J. PARKER, State Bar #069722 City Attorney	DENNIS J. HERRERA, State Bar #139669 City Attorney
3	MARIA BEE, State Bar #167716 Special Counsel	RONALD P. FLYNN, State Bar #184186 Chief Deputy City Attorney
4	ERIN BERNSTEIN, State Bar #231539 Supervising Deputy City Attorney	YVONNÉ R. MÉRÉ, State Bar #173594 Chief of Complex and Affirmative Litigation
5	MALIA MCPHERSON, State Bar #313918 Attorney	ROBB W. KAPLA, State Bar #238896 Deputy City Attorney
6	One Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, 6th Floor Oakland, California 94612	MÄTTHEW D. GOLDBERG, State Bar #240776
7	Tel.: (510) 238-3601 Fax: (510) 238-6500 Email: ebernstein@oaklandcityattorney.org	Deputy City Attorney City Hall, Room 234 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
8	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	San Francisco, California 94102-4602 Telephone: (415) 554-4748
9	CITY OF OAKLAND and PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,	Facsimile: (415) 554-4715 Email: matthew.goldberg@sfcityatty.org
10	acting by and through the Oakland City Attorney BARBARA J. PARKER	Attorneys for Plaintiffs CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
11	[Other Counsel Listed on Signature Page]	and PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, acting by and through the San
12		Francisco City Attorney DENNIS J. HERRERA
13		[Other Counsel Listed on Signature Page]
14	UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT
15	NORTHERN DISTRIC	
16	SAN FRANCIS	CO DIVISION
17	CITY OF OAKLAND, a Municipal Corporation, and THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF	Case No.: 3:17-cv-06011-WHA
18	CALIFORNIA, acting by and through the Oakland City Attorney,	PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE TO NOTICE RE AMENDED
19	Plaintiffs,	COMPLAINTS
20	V.	
21		
22	BP P.L.C., a public limited company of England and Wales, CHEVRON CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation, CONOCOPHILLIPS, a	
23	Delaware corporation, CONOCOPHILLIPS, a Delaware corporation, EXXONMOBIL CORPORATION, a New Jersey corporation,	
24	ROYAL DUTCH SHELL PLC, a public limited company of England and Wales, and DOES 1	
25	through 10,	
26	Defendants.	
27		

1 CHEVRON CORP., 2 Third Party Plaintiff, 3 v. 4 STATOIL ASA, 5 Third Party Defendant. 6 CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, Case No.: 3:17-cv-06012-WHA a Municipal Corporation, and THE PEOPLE OF 7 THE STÂTE OF CALIFORNIA, acting by and through the San Francisco City Attorney PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE TO 8 DENNIS J. HERRERA, **NOTICE RE AMENDED COMPLAINTS** 9 Plaintiffs, 10 v. 11 BP P.L.C., a public limited company of England and Wales, CHEVRON CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation, CONOCOPHILLIPS, a 12 Delaware corporation, EXXON MOBIL 13 CORPORATION, a New Jersey corporation, ROYAL DUTCH SHELL PLC, a public limited 14 company of England and Wales, and DOES 1 through 10, 15 Defendants. 16 17 CHEVRON CORP., 18 Third Party Plaintiff, 19 v. 20 STATOIL ASA, 21 Third Party Defendant. 22 23 24

25

26

27

Plaintiffs the City of Oakland and the People of the State of California, acting by and through Oakland City Attorney Barbara J. Parker in Case No. 3:17-cv-06011-WHA, and the City and County of San Francisco and the People of the State of California, acting by and through San Francisco City Attorney Dennis J. Herrera in Case No. 3:17-cv-06012-WHA ("Plaintiffs"), respond to the Court's Notice Re Amended Complaints in the above-captioned cases. The following is a summary of the substantive changes effected in Plaintiffs' amended complaints:

- ConocoPhillips. Plaintiffs have added the parent company, ConocoPhillips, and dropped the subsidiary known as "ConocoPhillips Company." Plaintiffs intended to sue the parent company and have now corrected this issue. Amended Compls. ("AC") ¶ 22.
- Federal common law. In order to conform their complaint to the Court's ruling dated February 27, 2018, ECF No. 116 in No. 3:17-cv-06012-WHA and ECF No. 134 in No. 3:17-cv-06011-WHA, Plaintiffs have separately pled a federal common law claim. AC ¶¶ 14-15, 137-142. Plaintiffs have reserved all their rights with respect to subject matter jurisdiction. AC ¶ 12.
- <u>City and County of San Francisco/City of Oakland</u>. The City and County of San Francisco and the City of Oakland have been added as plaintiffs on the federal claims (only). AC ¶¶ 137-142.
- San Francisco International Airport. San Francisco has added allegations regarding sea level rise at San Francisco International Airport. SF AC ¶ 131(e).
- Additional causation allegations. Plaintiffs have added additional allegations related to causation, including allegations based upon a 2014 study from the peer-reviewed literature that sets forth the amount of carbon dioxide and methane in the atmosphere that is attributable to each of the defendant's production of fossil fuels. Based upon this study, Plaintiffs' amended complaints allege, *inter alia*, that "Defendants are collectively responsible, through their production, marketing, and sale of fossil fuels, for over 11% of all the carbon and methane pollution from industrial sources that has accumulated in the atmosphere since the dawn of the Industrial Revolution." AC ¶ 94.

24

25

26

27

- Additional personal jurisdiction allegations. Plaintiffs have added additional allegations of contacts with California for all four defendants that have challenged personal jurisdiction. In addition, in light of the Court's ruling with respect to federal common law, Plaintiffs have added allegations of contacts with the United States with respect to the two foreign defendants. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(k)(2); *Axiom Foods, Inc. v. Acerchem Int'l, Inc.*, 874 F.3d 1064, 1072 (9th Cir. 2017); AC ¶¶ 16-17, 19, 22, 25, 28, 33-73.
 - The Eastern Pacific Ocean is Experiencing Sea Level Rise, Including Along the coast of the Americas. The amended complaints include allegations based upon the most recent (2013) IPCC report demonstrating that the *long-term* (1950-2012) sea level rise trend in San Francisco Bay is consistent with the global sea level rise trend over the same time period. The amended complaints include a graph from the 2013 IPCC report demonstrating this longterm sea level rise trend and correlation in the Bay; defendants excised this graph from their tutorial slides (see defendants' Part II tutorial slide nos. 22-23), which purported to show that sea level was falling in the eastern Pacific Ocean along the coast of the Americas based upon satellite records from 1992-2012. The amended complaints also: (1) allege that the full satellite record (1992-2018) shows that sea levels in fact are rising in the Eastern Pacific, including along the coast of the Americas; (2) include a world map from the U.S. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's current web site depicting the global sea level rise based upon the full satellite record; and (3) allege that the time period of satellite data available when the IPCC published its report is recognized by scientists (and the IPCC itself in the 2013 report) as too short a time period to detect a trend in climate change-induced sea level rise. The amended complaints also include allegations based upon a peer-reviewed study of the satellite data published very recently (Feb. 27, 2018) demonstrating that the full satellite record shows sea level rise to be accelerating and therefore that previous projections of future sea level rise have been too conservative. AC \P 125-127.
- The Fourth National Climate Assessment. The amended complaints add an allegation based upon the United States Fourth National Climate Assessment, which was issued after Plaintiffs filed their original complaints. AC ¶ 86.

- CO2 Climate Task Force. Plaintiffs have edited their allegations regarding the CO2 Climate Task Force to add detail and reflect the fact that this task force appears to have been convened and operated by fossil fuel companies directly, with the American Petroleum Institute ("API") serving as a convener and keeper of meeting minutes. The original complaint had alleged that this entity was an "API task force." AC ¶ 98(b).
- Additional allegations regarding Global Climate Coalition ("GCC") presentation. Plaintiffs
 have added detail and context to their allegations regarding an internal GCC presentation.

 AC ¶ 108.
- Express statement that lobbying activities are not at issue. Plaintiffs' original complaints did not seek to impose liability based upon any lobbying activities. Plaintiffs' amended complaints make this point expressly: "Nor do Plaintiffs seek to impose any liability for lobbying activity; to the extent any particular promotional activity might have had dual goals of both promoting a commercial product in the marketplace and influencing policy, Plaintiffs invoke such activities for the purpose of the former, not the latter, and/or as evidence relevant to show Defendants' knowledge of the dangerous nature of their products." AC ¶ 11.
- Global Climate Science Communications Team. References to this group have been omitted from the amended complaints. While the group's efforts to sow doubt about climate science were unsavory, Plaintiffs seek to avoid unnecessary debates about whether this group was or was not strictly focused on lobbying. Plaintiffs reserve their rights to take all discovery to demonstrate the Defendants' intent, which may include discovery with respect to this organization.
- Miscellaneous. Plaintiffs have made additional, miscellaneous edits to the complaints.
 Redline documents comparing the original and amended complaints are attached hereto for the Court's convenience as Exhibits A and B. AC ¶¶ 5-6, 8-9, 12-13, 80, 82, 87-88, 92, 94-95, 99-100, 104, 113, 115-116, 130, 132, 146.

Dated: April 4, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

**/s/Erin Bernstein

1	BARBARA J. PARKER (State Bar #069722)
2	City Attorney MARIA BEE (State Bar #167716)
3	Special Counsel ERIN BERNSTEIN (State Bar #231539)
4	Supervising Deputy City Attorney MALIA MCPHERSON (State Bar #313918)
	Attorney
5	One Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, 6th Floor Oakland, California
6	Tel.: (510) 238-3601 Fax: (510) 238-6500
7	Email: ebernstein@oaklandcityattorney.org
8	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
9	CITY OF OAKLAND and PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,
	acting by and through Oakland City Attorney
10	BARBARA J. PARKER
11	** Pursuant to Civ. L.R. 5-1(i)(3), the electronic
12	filer has obtained approval from this signatory.
	**/s/ Matthew D. Goldberg
13	DENNIS J. HERRERA, State Bar #139669
14	City Attorney RONALD P. FLYNN, State Bar #184186
•	Chief Deputy City Attorney
15	YVONNE R. MERÉ, State Bar #173594
16	Chief of Complex and Affirmative Litigation ROBB W. KAPLA, State Bar #238896
10	Deputy City Attorney
17	MÄTTHEW D. GOLDBERG, State Bar #240776
18	Deputy City Attorney
10	City Hall, Room 234 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
19	San Francisco, California 94102-4602
20	Tel.: (415) 554-4748
20	Fax.: (415) 554-4715
21	Email: matthew.goldberg@sfcityatty.org
22	Attorneys for Plaintiffs CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO and
	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,
23	acting by and through San Francisco City Attorney
24	DENNIS J. HERRERA
25	** Pursuant to Civ. L.R. 5-1(i)(3), the electronic
25	filer has obtained approval from this signatory.
26	/s/ Steve W. Berman
27	STEVE W. BERMAN (pro hac vice) steve@hbsslaw.com
20	HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP
28	

1 2	1918 Eighth Ave. Suite 3300 Seattle, Washington 98101 Tel.: (206) 623-7292 Fax: (206) 623-0594
3	SHANA E. SCARLETT (State Bar #217895)
5	HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP 715 Hearst Avenue, Suite 202 Berkeley, California 94710
6	shanas@hbsslaw.com Tel.: (510) 725-3000
7	Fax: (510) 725-3001
8	MATTHEW F. PAWA (<i>pro hac vice</i>) mattp@hbsslaw.com BENJAMIN A. KRASS (<i>pro hac vice</i>)
9	benk@hbsslaw.com HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP
10 11	1280 Centre Street, Suite 230 Newton Centre, Massachusetts 02459 Tel.: (617) 641-9550
12	Fax: (617) 641-9551
13	Of Counsel Attorneys for Plaintiffs
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	