22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	1	
2	2	
3	3	
4	4	
5	5	
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		HET OF CALL OR WIT
9	9	
10	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF	22 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
11		No. C 17-06011 WHA No. C 17-06012 WHA
12		
13		REQUEST FOR SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING
14		
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	The Court notes that neither side has addressed the "navigable waters of the United	
18	States" as that concept relates to the removal jurisdiction issue in this case. The question arises	
19	because a necessary and critical element of the hydrological damage caused by defendants'	
20	alleged conduct is the rising sea level along the Pacific coast and in the San Francisco Bay, both	
21	of which are navigable waters of the United States. By FRIDAY AT NOON, each side shall	

please submit up to a double-spaced 10-page brief (with no footnotes or appendices) addressing this issue. All plaintiffs must file one joint brief and all defendants must file one joint brief. By MONDAY AT NOON, each side may file a five-page reply.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 12, 2018.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE