ORAL ARGUMENT NOT YET SCHEDULED

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

CLEAN AIR COUNCIL, EARTHWORKS, ENVIRONMENTAL DEFENSE FUND, ENVIRONMENTAL INTEGRITY PROJECT, NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL, and SIERRA CLUB,)))))
Petitioners, v.)) No. 17-1145))
SCOTT PRUITT, Administrator, ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, and UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY,))))
Respondents.)))

PETITIONERS' OPPOSITION TO TEXAS AND NORTH DAKOTA'S INTENT TO FILE AN AMICUS BRIEF

Petitioners respectfully oppose the States of Texas and North Dakota's intent to file an amicus brief in support of Respondents' opposition to our motion for an emergency stay or summary vacatur. Texas and North Dakota claim to file "as of right" under Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 29, ECF 1680561, but—as

Petitioners informed Texas and North Dakota's counsel—"[n]either the Federal nor D.C. Circuit Rules provide for amici to file or respond to motions." Order, *State of Texas v. EPA*, No. 10-1425 (D.C. Cir. Jan. 12, 2011) (quoting *U.S. v. Michigan*, 940 F.2d 143, 164-66 (6th Cir. 1991)) (Attachment).

In addition, Texas and North Dakota informed this Court of their intent to file an amicus brief *after* the briefing on Petitioners' emergency motion had concluded. Their proposed amicus brief would therefore delay this Court's expedited briefing schedule on Petitioners' emergency motion.

For the foregoing reasons, Petitioners respectfully request that the Court deny leave to Texas and North Dakota to file an amicus brief in support of Respondents' response to Petitioners' emergency motion.

DATED: June 21, 2017 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Susannah L. Weaver
SUSANNAH L. WEAVER
SEAN H. DONAHUE
Donahue & Goldberg, LLP
1111 14th St., NW, Ste. 510A
Washington, DC 20005
Telephone: (202) 569-3818
Facsimile: (202) 289-8009
susannah@donahuegoldberg.com
Counsel for Patitionar

Counsel for Petitioner Environmental Defense Fund PETER ZALZAL
ALICE HENDERSON
VICKIE PATTON
Environmental Defense Fund
2060 Broadway, Ste. 300
Boulder, CO 80302
Telephone: (303) 447-7214
pzalzal@edf.org
TOMÁS CARBONELL
Environmental Defense Fund
1875 Connecticut Ave., 6th Floor
Washington, D.C., 20009
Telephone: (202) 572-3610
tcarbonell@edf.org
Counsel for Petitioner Environmental

ANN BREWSTER WEEKS
DARIN SCHROEDER
Clean Air Task Force
18 Tremont, Ste. 530
Boston, MA 02108
Telephone: (617) 624-0234
aweeks@catf.us
dschroeder@catf.us
Counsel for Petitioner Earthworks

Defense Fund

ADAM KRON

Environmental Integrity Project 1000 Vermont Ave. NW, Ste. 1100 Washington, DC 20005 Telephone: (202) 263-4451 akron@environmentalintegrity.org Counsel for Petitioner Environmental Integrity Project DAVID D. DONIGER
Natural Resources Defense Council
1152 15th Street NW, Ste. 300
Washington, DC 20005
Telephone: (202)-289-6868
ddoniger@nrdc.org
MELEAH GEERTSMA
Natural Resources Defense Council
2 N. Wacker Dr., Ste. 1600
Chicago, IL 60606
Telephone: (312) 651-7904
mgeertsma@nrdc.org
Counsel for Petitioner Natural
Resources Defense Council

Joanne Marie Spalding
Sierra Club
2101 Webster St., Ste. 1300
Oakland, CA 94612
Telephone: (415) 997-5725
Joanne.Spalding@sierraclub.org
Andres Restrepo
Sierra Club
50 F St., NW, Eighth Floor
Washington, DC 20001
Telephone: (202) 650-6073
Andres.Restrepo@sierraclub.org
Counsel for Petitioner Sierra Club

TIM BALLO
Earthjustice
1625 Massachusetts Ave., NW,
Ste. 702
Washington, DC 20036
Telephone: (202) 667-4500
tballo@earthjustice.org
JOEL MINOR
Earthjustice
633 17th St., Ste. 1600
Denver, CO 80202

Telephone: (303) 996-9628 jminor@earthjustice.org
Counsel for Petitioners Sierra Club
and Clean Air Council



United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 10-1425

September Term 2010

EPA-75FR82430

Filed On: January 12, 2011

State of Texas, et al., Petitioners

٧.

Environmental Protection Agency, Respondent

BEFORE: Ginsburg, Rogers, and Griffith, Circuit Judges

ORDER

Upon consideration of the emergency motion for stay, the responses thereto, and the reply; and the motion for leave to participate as amici curiae and to file a response to emergency motion for stay and the lodged response, the opposition thereto, and the notice of consent to motion, it is

ORDERED that the motion for leave to participate as amici curiae be granted. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that amici's motion for leave to file a response be denied. Neither the Federal nor the D.C. Circuit Rules provide for amici to file or respond to motions. <u>Cf. United States v. Michigan</u>, 940 F.2d 143, 164-66 (6th Cir. 1991) (observing that courts have recognized the "very limited" adversarial role of amici curiae, but have "consistently precluded" amici from filing pleadings). It is

FURTHER ORDERED, on the court's own motion, that the administrative stay entered on December 30, 2010, be dissolved. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that the motion for stay be denied. Petitioners have not satisfied the stringent standards required for a stay pending court review. See Washington Metro. Area Transit Comm'n v. Holiday Tours, Inc., 559 F.2d 841, 843 (D.C. Cir. 1977); D.C. Circuit Handbook of Practice and Internal Procedures 32 (2010).

Per Curiam

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 21st day of June, 2017, I have served the

foregoing Opposition to Texas and North Dakota's Intent to File an Amicus Brief

on all parties through the Court's electronic filing (ECF) system.

DATED: June 21, 2017

/s/ Susannah L. Weaver

Susannah L. Weaver