IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

CLEAN AIR COUNCIL, EARTHWORKS, ENVIRONMENTAL DEFENSE FUND, ENVIRONMENTAL INTEGRITY PROJECT, NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL, and SIERRA CLUB,

Petitioners,

v.

Case No. 17-1145

SCOTT PRUITT, Administrator, UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, and UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY,

Respondents.

MOTION TO INTERVENE IN SUPPORT OF RESPONDENTS

The States of West Virginia, Alabama, Kansas, Louisiana, Montana, Ohio, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Wisconsin, Commonwealth of Kentucky, Commonwealth of Kentucky Energy and Environment Cabinet, and Attorney General Bill Schuette for the People of Michigan ("State Intervenors"), respectfully move to intervene in support of respondents Scott Pruitt, Administrator, United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") and EPA in Case Number 17-1145 concerning EPA's administrative stay of the rule entitled "Oil and Natural Gas Sector: Emission Standards for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources," 81 Fed. Reg. 35,824 (June 3, 2016). Petitioners have indicated

that they take no position on motions to intervene provided that, should intervenors intend to respond in opposition to Petitioners' emergency motion, they file a joint response on the same schedule as the Court set for Respondents. Respondents do not oppose this motion to intervene.

Intervention should be permitted because the movants are "directly affected by" the administrative stay. Yakima Valley Cablevision, Inc. v. FCC, 794 F.2d 737, 744-45 (D.C. Cir. 1986). The States have challenged the rule as in excess of EPA's statutory authority and otherwise arbitrary, capricious, an abuse of discretion and not in accordance with law. West Virginia v. EPA, No. 16-1264 (D.C. Cir. filed Aug. 2, 2016). The rule is a legal prerequisite to any rule that might be issued by EPA under Section 111(d) of the Clean Air Act that would regulate existing oil and gas sector operations, which indisputably injures the States. Such rule under Section 111(d) would clearly harm the States, as they must formulate a state plan or submit to a federal plan. See West Virginia v. EPA, 362 F.3d 861, 868 (D.C. Cir. 2004). The rule harms State Intervenors' sovereignty by infringing on their authority over intrastate energy production and regulation. The rule also injures State Intervenors' quasi-sovereign interests in the value of their natural resources. Accordingly, the States are directly affected by EPA's decision to stay the rule and suspend the harm to the States pending reconsideration.

The present case also raises questions about EPA's authority to reconsider and administratively stay rules that directly affect the States. The litigation concerns whether EPA can prevent unlawful and harmful rules from taking effect during its reconsideration with implications for various other Clean Air Act rules in which the States also have an interest. *See*, *e.g.*, "Carbon Pollution Emission Guidelines for Existing Stationary Sources: Electric Utility Generating Units," 80 Fed. Reg. 64,662 (Oct. 23, 2015); "Standards for Performance for Greenhouse Gas Emissions From New, Modified, and Reconstructed Stationary Sources: Electric Utility Generating Units," 80 Fed. Reg. 64,510 (Oct. 23, 2015); *see also*, *West Virginia v. EPA*, No. 15-1363 (and consolidated cases) (D.C. Cir.); *North Dakota v. EPA*, No. 15-1381 (and consolidated cases) (D.C. Cir.).

This motion is "timely," *Yakima Valley Cablevision*, 794 F.2d at 744, under Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 15(d) because it was filed within 30 days after the June 5, 2017 filing of the petition for review, ECF No. 1678132.

Finally, in the event that the Court grants this motion to intervene, State Intervenors note that they agree with the arguments raised in Intervenors American Petroleum Institute, et al.'s Response in Opposition to Petitioners' Emergency Motion and respectfully request that the emergency motion be denied, for the reasons stated therein.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Thomas M. Johnson, Jr.

Patrick Morrisey

Attorney General of West Virginia

Elbert Lin

Solicitor General

Thomas M. Johnson, Jr.

Deputy Solicitor General

Counsel of Record

Erica N. Peterson

Assistant Attorney General

State Capitol Building 1, Room 26-E

Tel. (304) 558-2021

Fax (304) 558-0140

Email: tjohnson@wvago.gov

Counsel for the State of West Virginia

/s/ Andrew Brasher

Steve Marshall

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF ALABAMA

Andrew Brasher

Solicitor General

Counsel of Record

501 Washington Avenue

Montgomery, AL 36130

Tel: (334) 353-2609

abrasher@ago.state.al.us

Counsel for Petitioner State of Alabama

/s/ Jeffrey A. Chanay

Derek Schmidt

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF KANSAS

Jeffrey A. Chanay

Chief Deputy Attorney General

Counsel of Record

Bryan C. Clark

Assistant Solicitor General

120 S.W. 10th Avenue, 3rd Floor

Topeka, KS 66612

Tel: (785) 368-8435

Fax: (785) 291-3767

jeff.chanay@ag.ks.gov

Counsel for Petitioner State of Kansas

/s/ Samuel Flynn

Andy Beshear

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF KENTUCKY

Samuel Flynn

Assistant Attorney General

Counsel of Record

700 Capital Avenue

Suite 118

Frankfort, KY 40601

Tel: (502) 696-5611

Samuel.Flynn@ky.gov

Counsel for Petitioner Commonwealth of Kentucky

/s/ Elizabeth Murill

Jeff Landry

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF LOUISIANA

Elizabeth B. Murill Solicitor General Counsel of Record

Steven B. "Beaux" Jones

Assistant Attorney General

Environmental Section – Civil Division

1885 N. Third Street Baton Rouge, LA 70804 Tel: (225) 326-6085

Fax: (225) 326-6099

MurrillE@ag.louisiana.gov

Counsel for Petitioner State of

Louisiana

/s/ Dale Schowengerdt

Timothy C. Fox

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MONTANA

Dale Schowengerdt Solicitor General Counsel of Record 215 North Sanders Helena, MT 59620-1401

Tel: (406) 444-7008

dales@mt.gov

Counsel for Petitioner State of Montana

/s/ Aaron D. Lindstrom

Bill Schuette

ATTORNEY GENERAL FOR THE PEOPLE

OF MICHIGAN

Aaron D. Lindstrom

Michigan Solicitor General

Counsel of Record

P.O. Box 30212

Lansing, MI 48909

Tel: (515) 373-1124 Fax: (517) 373-3042

lindstroma@michigan.gov

Counsel for Petitioner

Attorney General Bill Schuette for the

People of Michigan

/s/ Eric E. Murphy

Michael DeWine

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF OHIO

Eric E. Murphy
State Solicitor

Counsel of Record
30 E. Broad Street, 17th Floor

Columbus, OH 43215

Tel: (614) 466-8980

eric.murphy@ohioattorneygeneral.gov

Counsel for Petitioner State of Ohio

/s/ P. Clayton Eubanks

Mike Hunter

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF OKLAHOMA

P. Clayton Eubanks

Deputy Solicitor General

Counsel of Record

Oklahoma Office of the

Attorney General

313 N.E. 21st Street

Oklahoma City, OK 73105

Tel: (405) 521-3921

Fax: (405) 522-0608

clayton.eubanks@oag.ok.gov

docket@oag.ok.gov

Counsel for Petitioner State of Oklahoma

/s/ Misha Tseytlin

Brad Schimel

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF WISCONSIN

Misha Tseytlin

Solicitor General

Counsel of Record

Delanie M. Breuer

Assistant Deputy Attorney General

Wisconsin Department of Justice

17 West Main Street

Madison, WI 53707

Tel: (608) 267-9323

tseytlinm@doj.state.wi.us

Counsel for Petitioner State of Wisconsin

/s/ James Emory Smith, Jr.

Alan Wilson

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF SOUTH

CAROLINA

Robert D. Cook

Solicitor General

James Emory Smith, Jr.

Deputy Solicitor General

Counsel of Record

P.O. Box 11549

Columbia, SC 29211

Tel: (803) 734-3680

Fax: (803) 734-3677

esmith@scag.gov

Counsel for Petitioner State of South Carolina

/s/ Jacquelyn A. Quarles

Charles G. Snavely

SECRETARY,

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT CABINET

John G. Horne, II

General Counsel

Office of General Counsel

Jacquelyn A. Quarles

Deputy General Counsel

Office of General Counsel

Counsel of Record

300 Sower Blvd., 3rd Floor

Frankfort, KY 40601

Tel: (502) 782-7043

Jackie.Quarles@ky.gov

Counsel for Petitioner Commonwealth Kentucky Energy and Environment Cabinet **CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE**

Pursuant to Rules 27(d)(2) and 32(g) of the Federal Rules of Appellate

Procedure and Circuit Rules 32(a)(1) and 32(e)(1), I hereby certify that the foregoing

document contains 548 words, as counted by a word processing system that includes

headings, footnotes, quotations, and citations in the count, and therefore is within

the word limits set by the Court.

Dated: June 15, 2017

/s/ Thomas M. Johnson, Jr.

Thomas M. Johnson, Jr.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that, on this 15th day of June 2017, a copy of the foregoing Motion to Intervene in Support of Respondents was served electronically through the Court's CM/ECF system on all ECF-registered counsel.

/s/ Thomas M. Johnson, Jr.
Thomas M. Johnson, Jr.