Re: Verein KlimaSeniorinnen and others v Switzerland (Application no. 53600/20); Third Party Intervention

Dear Honorable Judge Lemmens,

We
- Prof. Dr. Sonia I. Seneviratne
- Prof. Dr. Andreas Fischlin

have the honour to submit to the European Court of Human Rights following intervention providing scientific background concerning the pending case Verein KlimaSeniorinnen and others v Switzerland (Application no. 53600/20). For details on the interveners see Appendix II.

The Intervention

Considering the “questions to the parties”¹ the Court has posed, and foremost question 2.3 ([…] a-t-il adopté une réglementation appropriée et l'a-t-il appliquée au moyen de mesures adéquates et suffisantes pour atteindre les objectifs en matière de lutte contre le réchauffement climatique), this case raises questions regarding the current and planned climate change mitigation measures in Switzerland and whether they adequately contribute to prevent a dangerous anthropogenic interference with the climate system.

In view of our expertise as laid down previously (see also Appendix II), we provide an up-to-date scientific assessment of following aspects:

1: the global scientific consensus on the anthropogenic interference with the climate system and the role of a temperature limit in avoiding dangerous climate change

2: the history of the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions in Switzerland, in particular since the base year 1990 as used within the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) for quantitatively assessing sources and removals on a per country basis including aspects of a historical responsibility

3: the adequacy of the current and planned reduction targets in Swiss climate policies and legislations in light of the 1.5°C temperature limit²

¹ Objet de l’Affaire (6 April 2021): http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/eng?i=001-209313
² Warming levels and temperature values for global warming as used in this text are always understood relative to pre-industrial levels that are generally approximated by the global mean surface temperature as measured in the period 1850-1900 (e.g. IPCC, 2021). The unit °C for temperature values stands for degree Celsius.
GLOBAL SCIENTIFIC CONSENSUS ON THE ANTHROPOGENIC INTERFERENCE WITH THE CLIMATE SYSTEM AND THE ROLE OF A TEMPERATURE LIMIT IN AVOIDING DANGEROUS CLIMATE CHANGE

There is a clear scientific consensus that humans have interfered with the climate system and caused global warming, as expressed also in the latest IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change) report contributed by Working Group I assessing the physical science basis and published in August 2021. That report concluded that “[...] it is unequivocal that human influence has warmed the atmosphere, ocean and land.” This key finding is based on a comprehensive assessment of the scientific literature as provided in the underlying report authored by 234 experts from 65 different countries using thousands, predominantly peer-reviewed scientific publications that were authored by more than hundred thousand scientists. These reports are also prepared following strict rules, including several rounds of reviews where authors had to formally respond to over 78,000 written comments while developing several drafts, all material that is also fully available to public scrutiny. The global scientific consensus on the anthropogenic interference with the climate system is an established fact that is also formally approved by all 194 governments that have approved and adopted the aforementioned IPCC report. The breadth and depth of the basis that supports this key finding alone already demonstrates how strong the scientific consensus is, while numerous scientific studies analysing the consensus itself have repeatedly only confirmed the strength of this consensus being estimated to be about 97% or more, while the public perception has been found to significantly underestimate that consensus and being heavily distorted for various complex reasons that do not alter any of the aforementioned facts.

There is also clear scientific consensus on the role of the global average temperature – in the following simply called global warming – for impacts and risks that are caused by climate change. Several IPCC reports contributed by Working Group II, as well as the IPCC Special Report on Global warming of 1.5°C, all based also on an as broad scientific consensus as illustrated above, have concluded that more global warming causes higher adverse impacts and risks for humans, in particular for the most vulnerable, as well as for human and natural systems. These impacts and risks present dangers already with current human caused global warming of 1.07°C, not only for the most exposed and/or most vulnerable humans, animals, and plants, but also for many physical and natural systems such as glaciers, ice-sheets, sea-ice, ecosystems (e.g. forests, grasslands, tundra, freshwater and marine ecosystems etc.), as well as human systems (cities and other settlements, health, agricultural, water, transport, energy sectors etc.). Consequently, any limitation of global warming can reduce some of the impacts and risks that would otherwise result if global warming would exceed the limit.

Limiting global warming to 1.5°C as mentioned in Article 2 of the Paris Agreement of the United Nations Framework on Climate Change and as also ratified by Switzerland together with all other Parties to the UNFCCC, offers at present a large risk reduction compared to higher levels of global warming (2°C or more). These include risks from future impacts in many sectors, regions, people, animals, and plants. As highlighted in a public letter signed by 20 climate scientists in relation with a climate-related legal case in Switzerland (hereafter referred to L20), the IPCC report “Global Warming of 1.5°C” (IPCC SR15), as well as the IPCC’s special reports on “Climate Change and Land” (IPCC SRCCL) and “The Ocean and Cryosphere in a Changing Climate” (IPCC SROCC),

---

3 IPCC, 2021, page SPM-5, A.1 [www.ipcc.ch/reports/ar6/wg1/] (see Appendix I for a detailed list of references)
4 All available at www.ipcc.ch
7 IPCC, 2016 [www.ipcc.ch/sr15/]
8 IPCC, 2021, page SPM-6, A.1.3
9 UNFCCC, 2015, page 3, Article 2, paragraph 1(a): “…pursuing efforts to limit the temperature increase to 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels, recognizing that this would significantly reduce the risks and impacts of climate change;”
10 The status of ratification of the Paris Agreement is available at [https://unfccc.int/process/the-paris-agreement/status-of-ratification]
12 IPCC, 2018, [www.ipcc.ch/ar5/]
13 IPCC, 2019b, [www.ipcc.ch/arccl/]
14 IPCC, 2019b, [www.ipcc.ch/srocc/]
show that limiting warming to 1.5°C rather than 2°C would help prevent many impacts, some of them irreversible. The letter also summarizes that “a climate change at 2°C rather than 1.5°C would result in an increase in hot extremes in most inhabited regions, heavier rainfall in several regions, more droughts in some regions, the extinction of a number of plant and animal species, much higher risks of permafrost degradation and food supply instability, and a 10-35 percent probability of an ice-free Arctic in September (vs. 1 percent with warming of 1.5°C). Finally, it emphasizes that even a global warming stabilised at +1.5°C would incur more risks than at the current level (around +1.1°C), as “it would represent a major threat to warm-water coral reefs” and be associated with a high risk of dryland water scarcity, of wildfire damage, of permafrost degradation, and of food supply instabilities.

Evidence of the effects of greenhouse gas emissions on heat extremes has further strengthened in the latest IPCC Working Group I report, which entails a chapter synthesizing the evidence on changes in climate extremes with increasing global warming. This chapter concludes that “[t]he magnitude of projected heat-related morbidity and mortality at 1.5°C was greater than at 2°C, at 1.5°C of global warming (very high confidence),” that “[t]he number of people exposed to heat events is projected to be greater at 2°C than at 1.5°C, and that “[p]opulations at highest risk of heat-related morbidity and mortality include older adults, children, women, those with chronic diseases, and people taking certain medications (very high confidence).” Attributable health impacts of global warming to-date have all been reported widely in the scientific literature. A recent study for 43 countries, including Switzerland, shows that 37% of the warm-season heat-related deaths during the period 1991-2018 can be attributed to human-induced climate change. For Switzerland, the average number is about 33%. In a recent joint comment, more than 200 medical journals have published a “call for urgent action to keep average global temperature increases below 1.5°C,” highlighting that “[t]he risks to health of increases above 1.5°C are now well established” and that “[n] the past 20 years, heat-related mortality among people older than 65 years has increased by more than 50%.”

2 History of the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions in Switzerland, in particular since the base year 1990 as used within the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) for quantitatively assessing sources and removals on a per country basis including aspects of a historical responsibility

As a so-called Annex I Party to the UNFCCC, Switzerland is obliged to report annually to the UNFCCC secretariat about its greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. Switzerland’s GHG inventory contains statistical data in a format that conforms to the requirements of the UNFCCC and is based

---

16 IPCC, 2021.
18 Italic confidence and likelihood assessments follow the IPCC uncertainty guidance. E.g. extremely unlikely for a probability between 0-5%. For details cf. Mastrandrea et al., 2010.
20 Vicedo-Cabrera et al., 2021, Nature Climate Change. [www.nature.com/articles/s41558-021-01058-x](www.nature.com/articles/s41558-021-01058-x)
on the scientifically derived guidelines as prepared by The Task Force on National Greenhouse Gas Inventories (TFI)\textsuperscript{22} of the IPCC. These latest data show the history of the GHG emissions resulting from Switzerland's territory since 1990 up to 2019 as contained in the latest National inventory report 2021.\textsuperscript{23}

![Diagram of GHG emissions in Switzerland]  

*Figure 1: Total greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions of Switzerland since 1990 up to 2019 based on the national GHG inventory of Switzerland using the methodology as required by the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC). Shown are only sources from the territory of Switzerland as emissions from international aviation and navigation are excluded. Similarly, sources and sinks resulting from land use are also excluded (Source: FOEN, 2021).*

According to the report Switzerland has emitted in 2019 46’108 kt CO\(_2\) eq (kilo tonnes of CO\(_2\) equivalent) excluding all emissions from international aviation and marine bunkers that may be related to Switzerland (5’755 kt CO\(_2\) eq), indirect GHG emissions (122 kt CO\(_2\) eq) and all sinks and removals from land use, land-use change and forestry (LULUCF, net emissions –1’933 kt CO\(_2\) eq) on the territory of Switzerland (Figure 1). Compared to the base year 1990 emissions in CO\(_2\) eq, these amounts correspond to a reduction of 14% excluding LULUCF and one of 14.4% including LULUCF, where CO\(_2\) with a share of 79.7% is the largest contributor, followed by CH\(_4\) and N\(_2\)O having a share of 10.1% and 6.7%. In this context it is important to note that these values vary from year to year, as energy demands depend on weather, e.g. in the building sector heating demands vary with the year specific winter temperatures or cooling demands vary with the year specific summer temperatures. In 2018 the reduction compared to 1990 amounted to 13.8% and 13.1% excluding and including LULUCF, respectively. The corresponding numbers for 2015, 2016, and 2017 were 10% and 11.2% (2015), 9.4% and 11.7% (2016), 11.4% and 10.3% (2017). This gives for the period from 2015 till 2019 an average reduction of GHG emissions by Switzerland in CO\(_2\) eq of 11.7% and 12.1%, excluding and including LULUCF, respectively.

Historic emissions before the base year 1990 matter as global warming is basically determined by the CO\(_2\) accumulated in the atmosphere by past emissions.\textsuperscript{24} Switzerland’s historic emissions are not officially quantified and historical emissions are generally characterized by considerable uncertainties. Nevertheless, scientific research continues to estimate the historical responsibility of groups of countries from 1870 up to the present. For instance, the Global Carbon Budget\textsuperscript{25} estimated the historical contribution of EU28 to the globally accumulated carbon content of the atmosphere as 22% and that of EU27 to 17%. Inasmuch as Switzerland is comparable to other European countries, these estimates are probably comparable to the actual historical responsibility of Switzerland, which contrasts with that of the currently main emitters. China e.g. has become since 2005 worldwide the biggest GHG emitter, while its historical responsibility has been estimated to be currently still only 13%.\textsuperscript{26}

\textsuperscript{22} See https://www.ipcc.ch/working-group/ii/
\textsuperscript{24} IPCC, 2021, SPM-37, Figure SPM.10
\textsuperscript{25} Available from https://www.globalcarbonproject.org/carbonbudget/
It should be noted, as highlighted in L20\textsuperscript{26}, that the decrease in emissions in Switzerland\textsuperscript{27}, like in some other countries\textsuperscript{28}, has been associated with an increase in emissions in other countries, due to an increased consumption of imported products and relocations of industrial production to other countries, in particular China. Hence, in the context of determining responsibilities for climate change not only the domestically produced GHG emissions, but also the consumption footprint of a country matters. According to 2018 data from the Global carbon atlas\textsuperscript{29} (Figure 2), Switzerland ranks 15\textsuperscript{th} among the countries with highest per capita CO\textsubscript{2} emissions when considering also consumption-related emissions.

We also highlight the following conclusion of L20\textsuperscript{30} regarding the CO\textsubscript{2} emissions pathways in Switzerland compared to other countries with similar levels of development: Switzerland was excluded from the list of 18 countries selected in Le Quéré et al.’s 2019 study\textsuperscript{31} on successful decarbonisation for it failed to meet the study criteria, namely to have accomplished a significant decrease in CO\textsubscript{2} emissions (relative to annual variability) for at least a decade, both in domestic emissions and in its carbon footprint beyond its borders, an indicator of the national efforts made to contribute to the reduction of CO\textsubscript{2} emissions globally. Emissions (CO\textsubscript{2}, energy only) have decreased by 1.5\% per year in the last decade, but Switzerland’s carbon footprint shows the opposite trend and has grown by 1.3\% per year due to increased consumption\textsuperscript{30,31}. Thus, Switzerland is not performing better, rather worse than a number of other comparable countries including adjacent neighbours (Germany, Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Denmark, Spain, the USA, Finland, France, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, the Netherlands, Portugal, Romania, Sweden) with respect to reducing its entire CO\textsubscript{2} footprint also at the global level\textsuperscript{30,31}.

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|}
\hline
Country & CO\textsubscript{2} per Person (tCO\textsubscript{2}/person) \\
\hline
Lithuania & 28 \\
Greece & 23 \\
United Arab Emirates & 23 \\
Kuwait & 23 \\
Moldova & 23 \\
Azerbaijan & 18 \\
Switzerland & 18 \\
Kosovo & 16 \\
United States of America & 16 \\
Ukraine & 16 \\
Romania & 15 \\
Belgium & 15 \\
Austria & 14 \\
Hong Kong & 14 \\
Rwanda & 14 \\
Andorra & 13 \\
Moldova & 13 \\

\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Ranking of countries based on per capita CO\textsubscript{2} emissions when including not only domestic but also consumption-related emissions (based on 2018 data in tCO\textsubscript{2}/person. Source: \url{http://globalcarbonatlas.org}).}
\end{table}

3 ADEQUACY OF THE CURRENT AND PLANNED REDUCTION TARGETS IN SWISS CLIMATE POLICIES AND LEGISLATIONS IN LIGHT OF THE 1.5°C TEMPERATURE LIMIT

Switzerland is long overdue in implementing legislation to reduce CO\textsubscript{2} emissions and other greenhouse gas emissions. The revision of the CO\textsubscript{2} Act, as developed by the Swiss parliaments, was subject to a referendum in which the Swiss population voted on 16th June 2021 against this revision (population 51.6\% no, 48.4\% yes: cantons 18.5 no, 4.5 yes). As a consequence, efforts are currently under way to prolong the existing CO\textsubscript{2} Act until new legislation has been developed and is in force. The current CO\textsubscript{2} Act defined as a target for 2020 the reduction of its GHG emissions by 20\% compared to 1990. The Federal Office for the Environment FOEN expects Switzerland to miss this target\textsuperscript{32}. The Nationally Determined Contribution (NDC) of Switzerland as submitted to the UNFCCC

\textsuperscript{26} See footnote #11
\textsuperscript{27} https://www.bafu.admin.ch/dam/bafu/fr/dokumente/dl/me/tecninfodaten/kenngrssen_1h0_0missionen_schweiz.pdf/download.pdf?Kenngr.sen_2020_F.pdf
\textsuperscript{29} http://globalcarbonatlas.org/
\textsuperscript{30} L20: See footnote #11
\textsuperscript{31} Le Quéré et al. 2019, Nature Climate Change \url{www.nature.com/articles/s41558-019-0419-7.pdf}
\textsuperscript{32} \url{www.bafu.admin.ch/bafu/fr/home/documentation/communiqu/anzaige-nsb-unter-medianmitteilungen.html#78720.html}
foresseas a reduction of GHG emissions of 50% by 2030 relative to 1990 levels. The rejected revised CO₂ Act attempted to implement this NDC while planning to offset combine domestic reductions with emissions abroad by at most 25%.33

Although the Federal Council has set a target of achieving carbon neutrality by 2050,34,35 Switzerland is currently regrettably missing the needed and internationally credible instruments to implement this goal. Moreover, the Federal Council proposes that fossil fuels could remain in use after 2050. This all means that currently Switzerland has limited means to comply with its NDC as targeted for 2030 under the auspices of the UNFCCC and is furthermore at present lacking any legislation committing Switzerland to a net-zero CO₂ emission target by a date compatible with limiting global warming to 1.5°C. This contrasts somewhat with the Swiss ratification of the Paris Agreement that was adopted by 194 Parties on 12 December 2015.37

The latest IPCC report states “From a physical science perspective, limiting human-induced global warming to a specific level requires limiting cumulative CO₂ emissions, reaching at least net zero CO₂ emissions, along with reductions in other GHG emissions”.38 As Swiss GHG emission reductions became significant only after 2010, above estimated reduction corresponds to a current trend in the most recent decade of a bit more than 1% per year (1.17%/a). Without additional efforts, i.e. extrapolating this trend until 2050, Switzerland will fail the government declared goal of reaching net zero in 2050, as emissions will still be high, i.e. would only be roughly halved (reduced by 48.1% and 49.8% excluding and including LULUCF) instead of completely avoided (48.1%) or compensated while including domestic sinks (49.8%). Only very large non-domestic compensations could then achieve the net zero goal by 2050, which are extremely likely very expensive if available at all, as the entire world needs to reach net zero by approximately mid-century to limit global warming to 1.5°C with a probability larger than exceeding that temperature.39

In the above scenario Switzerland would use up more than what could be considered a fair share of the remaining carbon budget to limit global warming to 1.5°C, regardless of the criteria used to judge fairness for following reasons:

First the latest IPCC report estimated the globally remaining carbon budget as of 2000 to 400 GtCO₂ to limit global warming with a probability of 66% at 1.5°C.40 As the Swiss population is ~0.11% of the world population, its share of the remaining carbon budget is accordingly 0.44 GtCO₂ or 440,000 kt CO₂. Assuming that the CO₂ fraction in the Swiss GHG emissions stays at the current level of 80%, Switzerland may emit as of 2020 no more than 550,000 kt CO₂eq according to its share at the remaining global carbon budget. At the current level of its emissions that budget would be used up by 2031, respectively 2032 assuming a continued trend of emission reductions of 1.17%/a. These projections demonstrate that Switzerland would need in such a scenario to rely on the grand-fathering principle to a degree that may be considered by other countries that reduce their emissions more as unfair. Switzerland would need to accelerate that trend to overcome this issue. Secondly, given the historical responsibility as sketched above, Switzerland’s fair share gets reduced relative to per capita computed share of the remaining carbon budget. Thirdly, the level of consumption related emissions outside of Switzerland’s territory further reduces its share. Finally, in the context of fairness the criteria of having options or the capacity to contribute is also often applied. As Switzerland is undoubtedly among the richest countries worldwide, this creates further obligations with respect to a fair share, which may be fulfilled by other means than a reduced claim of the remaining carbon budget.

However, unless those means, e.g. increased climate finances, are actually provided, the pressure on the carbon budget share remains.

Given all of above facts and scientific insights, it appears obvious that Switzerland is currently not contributing sufficiently to limit global warming to 1.5°C. While it is also clear that no merely science based set of criteria can be used to determine what a country’s fair share to curb global warming

34 https://www.admin.ch/gov/fr/acceuil/documentation/communiques.msg-id-767206.html
36 https://www.admin.ch/gov/fr/acceuil/documentation/communiques.msg-id-80266.html
37 https://unfccc.int/process-and-meetings/the-paris-agreement/the-paris-agreement
38 IPCC, 2021, SPM-38, D.1
39 IPCC, 2018, 2021
40 IPCC, 2021, SPM-38, Table SPM.2
consists of, in the case of Switzerland the per capita, the historical responsibility, the consumption, as well as the option or capacity argument — all point in the same direction that Switzerland is obliged to make a bigger contribution than the average of all countries of which many have e.g. a much lower consumption or historical responsibility, while Switzerland is actually lagging behind the average of countries being in a comparable situation. As adequacy of climate action relating to specific targets depends also significantly on value judgement and not scientific aspects alone, we cannot fully respond to the raised question, yet hope having provided all arguments that are grounded in a solid science basis to this judgement nevertheless.

We remain at the Court's disposal in case further information or clarification is required.

Yours sincerely,

Prof. Dr. Sonia I. Seneviratne
Professor of Land-Climate Dynamics at the IAC of ETH Zurich
Universitätsstrasse 16, 8092 Zürich, Switzerland
sonia.seneviratne@ethz.ch

Prof. Dr. Andreas Fischlin
Professor emeritus for Terrestrial Systems Ecology at IBP of ETH Zurich,
Vice-Chair of IPCC Working Group II
Universitätsstrasse 16, 8092 Zürich, Switzerland
andreas.fischlin@env.ethz.ch
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Appendix II: Contributors

Prof. Dr. Sonia I. Seneviratne is Professor of Land-Climate Dynamics at the Institute for Atmospheric and Climate Science (IAC) of the Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich (ETH Zurich), Switzerland.\(^{41}\) Her research focuses on climate extremes and land-climate interactions. She investigates the processes leading to droughts and heatwaves, the impact of land processes and land cover changes on regional climate, and their changes with global warming. Prof. Seneviratne was a lead author of the 2018 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) Special Report Global Warming of 1.5°C.\(^{42}\) Also, since 2018, she has been a coordinating lead author of the IPCC’s Sixth Assessment Report (AR6), the Physical Science Basis, Chapter 11: Weather and climate extreme events in a changing climate, that was finalized in August 2021\(^{43}\).

Prof. Seneviratne studied at the University of Lausanne (Biology), ETH Zurich (Environmental Physics) and the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (Graduate student, Parsons Laboratory). She completed an MSc in Environmental Physics and a PhD thesis in Climate science at ETH Zurich. She has published more than 200 peer-reviewed articles and is listed among the Highly cited researchers of Web of Science (Clarivate Analytics/Thomson Reuters). She has received several awards for her research, among others the Macelwane Medal of the American Geophysical Union, a consolidator grant of the European Research Council and the Hans-Oeschger Medal of the European Geosciences Union.\(^{44}\)

Prof. Dr. Andreas Fischlin is Professor emeritus for Terrestrial Systems Ecology at the Institute of Biogeochemistry and Pollutant Dynamics (IBP) of ETH Zurich.\(^{45}\) Since its formation in 1988, he was head of the Terrestrial Systems Ecology Group\(^{46}\) that belongs to the Department of Environmental Systems Science of ETH Zurich. His main research interests are modeling of ecosystems, in particular forest ecosystems in a changing climate, the ecology of population cycles, and the development of a theory and methodology for the structured modeling of complex ecological systems. Since 1992 he has served the IPCC in numerous author roles\(^{47}\) including that of a Coordinating Lead Author in the Fourth Assessment Report which made him a co-recipient of the Nobel Peace Prize 2007. Currently, Prof. Fischlin is a Vice-Chair of IPCC Working Group II (which assesses the impacts, adaptation and vulnerability related to climate change) for the Sixth Assessment cycle. He held more than 200 public lectures worldwide since 2007 focusing on IPCC assessments from all three working groups.

Before his supervising role in IPCC, Prof. Fischlin has advised the Swiss delegation in all United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change negotiations for 17 years. As part of those duties,

\(^{41}\) The IAC focuses on atmospheric and climate processes, including links to the hydrosphere, cryosphere, and biosphere, see for more information https://iac.ethz.ch/

\(^{42}\) She co-authored as Lead Author the Summary for Policymakers and Chapter 3, the Impacts of 1.5°C of Global Warming on Natural and Human Systems, see https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/sites/2/2019/06/SR15_Full_Report_Low_Res.pdf.

\(^{43}\) In the IPCC AR6 report “The physical climate basis” released in August 2021, Prof. Seneviratne is also involved as author in the Technical Summary and in the Summary for Policymakers of the report. Prof. Seneviratne also had several other roles within IPCC reports. In particular, she was previously a coordinating lead author on the IPCC Special report Managing the risks of extreme events and disasters to advance climate change adaptation (SREX), published in 2012. She also contributed to the scoping of the IPCC Special Report on climate change and land (SRCCL).

\(^{44}\) For a full CV, please see: www.ibp.ethz.ch/people/seneviratne.

\(^{45}\) The IBP’s mission is to advance the understanding of biogeochemical cycles and processes in natural and man-made environments and potential responses to human activity and global change, see https://ibp.ethz.ch/.

\(^{46}\) The Terrestrial Systems Ecology Group was an interdisciplinary group, studying the dynamics of terrestrial ecosystems as impacted by environmental changes such as climatic change.

\(^{47}\) These roles were Coordinating Lead Author IPCC 2nd and 4th Assessment Reports (SAR, AR4), IPCC Review Editor (3rd, 5th, and 6th Assessment Reports (TAR, AR5, AR6) plus the IPCC Special Report Global Warming of 1.5°C (SR1.5)). Lead Author IPCC Good Practice Guidance in Land Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry (LUCC), Scientific Steering Committee Member (SSCM) and Contributing Author IPCC Special Report on Oceans and Cryosphere (SROCC), SSCM IPCC Special Report on climate change and land (SRCCL), Expert Reviewer SAR, TAR, AR4, AR5, AR6, IPCC Special Report LULUCF, IPCC Special Report Managing the risks of extreme events and disasters to advance climate change adaptation (SREX), SR1.5, SRCCL, SROCC.
he has e.g. chaired the Structured Expert Dialogue forming a new interface between science and policy, an interface which markedly influenced the Paris Agreement. Prof. Fischlin studied at ETH Zurich biology (Master with distinction) as well as systems and control theory (Post-graduate diploma). There he graduated with a doctorate in population ecology (silver medal for exceptional PhD theses). He has published over 120 scientific works including articles in peer reviewed scientific journals and book contributions and is winner of several awards for research and teaching. He is member of various academic and advisory boards of the Swiss Academy of Sciences and Federal Departments of the Swiss government, e.g. Advisory Body on Climate Change (OcCC).48

In addition to above two signatories, the following scientific experts have contributed to this intervention:

Prof. Dr. Reto Knutti49, Professor of Climate Physics at the IAC and Center for Climate Systems Modeling, ETH Zurich, Switzerland

Prof. Dr. Thomas Stocker50, Head of Division, Climate and Environmental Physics (CEP) and Oeschger Centre for Climate Change Research, University of Berne, Switzerland

Dr. Dominic Roser51, Senior Lecturer and Researcher, Interdisciplinary Institute of Ethics and Human Rights, University of Fribourg, Switzerland

---

48 For a full cv, please see http://se-server.ethz.ch/Staff/afipdfe/AF-CV.pdf.
49 Home page: https://iac.ethz.ch/people-iac/person-detail-MTO2Micy-7GladC82MzcsLE65NDE2NTk2NTg5.html
50 Home page: https://www.climate.unibe.ch/about_us/team/prof_stocker_thomas/index_eng.html
51 Home page: https://www3.unifr.ch/directory/de/people/1994215fa706