

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

State of California	CASE NUMBER 2:20-cv-00504 PSG (ASx)
Joe Stout et al v. PLAINTIFF(S) DEFENDANT(S).	ORDER RE TRANSFER PURSUANT TO GENERAL ORDER 19-03 (RELATED CASES)

CONSENT
**TRANSFER ORDER
DECLINED**

I hereby consent to the transfer of the above-entitled case to my calendar pursuant to General Order 19-03.

Date


United States District Judge

DECLINATION

I hereby decline to transfer the above-entitled case to my calendar for the reasons set forth:

The prior case involved the sufficiency of a specific report issued in 2014. This action involves a separate report issued in 2019 and will require separate analysis.

January 29, 2020
Date

Michael W. Fitzgerald
United States District Judge


REASON FOR TRANSFER AS INDICATED BY COUNSEL

Case 2:15-cv-04378 MWF (JEMx) and the present case:

- A. Arise from the same or closely related transactions, happenings or events; or
- B. Call for determination of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact; or
- C. For other reasons would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges; or
- D. Involve one or more defendants from the criminal case in common, and would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges (applicable only on civil forfeiture action).

NOTICE TO COUNSEL FROM CLERK

Pursuant to the above transfer, any discovery matters that are or may be referred to a Magistrate Judge are hereby transferred from Magistrate Judge _____ to Magistrate Judge _____.

On all documents subsequently filed in this case, please substitute the initials _____ after the case number in place of the initials of the prior judge, so that the case number will read _____. This is very important because the documents are routed to the assigned judges by means of these initials.

**TRANSFER ORDER
DECLINED**

cc: Previous Judge Statistics Clerk