

**EN BANC ORAL ARGUMENT HELD SEPTEMBER 27, 2016****IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT**

STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA, *et al.*,

*Petitioners,*

v.

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION AGENCY, *et al.*,

*Respondents.*

No. 15-1363 (and  
consolidated cases)

**PETITIONERS' AND PETITIONER-INTERVENORS' RESPONSE IN  
OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DECIDE THE MERITS OF THE CASE**

Petitioners and Petitioner-Intervenors (collectively “Petitioners”) respectfully submit this response opposing the motion of Respondent-Intervenor Public Health Organizations, Environmental Organizations, and State and Municipal Governments (“Movants”) seeking to remove this case from abeyance and requesting the Court to issue a decision on the merits. *See* Resp. Opposing Requests for Further Abeyance Combined with Mot. to Decide the Merits of Case, ECF No. 1748706 (Sept. 4, 2018) (“Motion”). As explained below, this Court should continue holding the case in abeyance pending completion of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency’s (“EPA” or “Agency”) review of the Clean Power Plan.

Movants present nothing new in their Motion. Many of these same arguments were presented to the Court in April 2017 when the same parties first addressed EPA's motion to place the case in abeyance pending EPA's review of the Clean Power Plan. *See* State & Municipal Resp't-Intervenors' Opp'n to Mot. to Hold Proceeding in Abeyance, ECF No. 1669699 (Apr. 5, 2017) ("States' Abeyance Opp'n"); Resp't-Intervenor Pub. Health & Env'tl. Organizations' Opp'n to Mot. to Hold Cases in Abeyance, ECF No. 1669759 (Apr. 5, 2017) ("ENGOs' Abeyance Opp'n"). The only difference now is that the Agency has proposed a new rule to replace the Clean Power Plan and EPA's review is nearing completion. 83 Fed. Reg. 44,746 (Aug. 31, 2018) ("Replacement Proposal").

Movants thus try a different tack. Where Movants previously argued that abeyance was inappropriate because administrative review may take too long, their concern now seems to be that continued abeyance is inappropriate because that review is almost done.<sup>1</sup> This request is a transparent effort to have this Court issue an eleventh-hour opinion addressing the legal issues that are raised in the ongoing Replacement Proposal before that rule is finalized. Even a decision upholding the Clean Power Plan would not actually result in that rule taking effect, as it has been

---

<sup>1</sup> Petitioners and Movants are in agreement that it would be inappropriate to remand this case to EPA, albeit for different reasons. *Compare* Motion at 18-19, *with* Pet'rs' Status Report at 4-5.

stayed by the Supreme Court and that stay by its terms would continue during the pendency of review by that Court.

Issuing a decision now, as Movants seek, would effectively amount to an advisory opinion regarding EPA's soon-to-be-completed rulemaking. To the extent Movants believe EPA's proposed actions in response to that review are unlawful, they should proceed in the ordinary course: They should present their objections in rulemaking comments to EPA and seek judicial review of the final rule if they believe the Agency has failed adequately to address those concerns, particularly given that the legal issues presented by the Replacement Proposal are likely to be distinct from those at issue in this case. Attempting to resolve them here is improper, and ultimately would serve no purpose for two reasons. First, any decision on the Clean Power Plan would likely be rendered moot shortly after issuance by its repeal and replacement, and second, due to the Supreme Court's stay, the Clean Power Plan would almost certainly never go into effect, not even for the shortest of times.

### **ARGUMENT**

EPA has proposed to repeal the Clean Power Plan and to promulgate new emission guidelines governing greenhouse gas emissions from existing power plants in its place. *See* 82 Fed. Reg. 48,035 (Oct. 16, 2017) ("Repeal Proposal"); 83 Fed. Reg. at 44,746. As this Court has repeatedly recognized, abeyance is the appropriate approach when an agency is revisiting a challenged regulation, especially when that review is the result of a new administration's change in policy. *See* Suppl. Br. of Pet'rs

& Pet'r-Intervenors, ECF No. 1675250 (May 15, 2017) ("Supplemental Brief"); Pet'rs & Pet'r-Intervenors' Status Report in Support of Continued Abeyance, ECF No. 1747382 (Aug. 24, 2018) ("Pet'rs' Status Report"). This established practice is a sound one for several reasons, not least of which is that any decision by this Court at this late stage in the Agency's rulemaking process on the legality of the Clean Power Plan would effectively amount to an advisory opinion. If EPA repeals or replaces the Clean Power Plan as the Agency has proposed, the relief requested in the petitions for review will be unavailable because that rule will no longer exist. That outcome has only become more likely since this Court first decided to place the case in abeyance at the outset of EPA's review.

Where "[e]ach cause of action challenge[s] the validity of" a regulation, and "that regulation no longer exists, [the Court] can do nothing to affect [petitioners'] rights relative to it, thus making th[e] case classically moot for lack of a live controversy." *Akiachak Native Cmty. v. U.S. Dep't of the Interior*, 827 F.3d 100, 106 (D.C. Cir. 2016); see also *Am. Petroleum Inst. v. EPA*, 683 F.3d 382, 388-89 (D.C. Cir. 2012) (holding case in abeyance where EPA's proposed course "if adopted, would necessitate substantively different legal analysis and would likely moot the analysis we could undertake if deciding the case now"); *Larsen v. U.S. Navy*, 525 F.3d 1, 4-5 (D.C. Cir. 2008) (challenge to withdrawn policy was moot); *Coal. of Airline Pilots Ass'ns v. FAA*, 370 F.3d 1184, 1190-91 (D.C. Cir. 2004) (similar); cf. *U.S. Bancorp Mortg. Co. v. Bonner Mall P'ship*, 513 U.S. 18, 25 n.3 (1994) (noting that a prior suit "became moot

on appeal because the regulations sought to be enforced by the United States were annulled by Executive Order”).

Further, this is not a case where some of the legal issues raised by the challenged rule will necessarily survive EPA’s action rescinding or modifying it. In *Chlorine Chemistry Council v. EPA*, 206 F.3d 1286 (D.C. Cir. 2000), although EPA had decided not to defend the challenged rule and moved for the Court to vacate it, the Court held EPA’s request would not obviate the need for a decision in the case because the petitioners sought broader relief than vacatur and the Court’s opinion on the rule’s lawfulness was necessary to address those remedy issues. *Id.* at 1290. Here, EPA’s rescission or replacement of the Clean Power Plan could very well resolve all of Petitioners’ challenges. Whether there is a need for a decision on aspects of the Clean Power Plan, however, will be known only after EPA’s proposed actions are finalized and it can be determined whether Petitioners’ challenges to the Clean Power Plan have been resolved.

Moreover, even if the Court were to issue a decision before EPA takes final action on its proposals to repeal and/or replace the Clean Power Plan, EPA’s forthcoming action could still moot all or part of the case, and the parties would be entitled to seek vacatur of the Court’s ruling in this Court, *see Clarke v. United States*, 915 F.2d 699, 706 (D.C. Cir. 1990) (en banc), or in the Supreme Court, *see Arizonans for Official English v. Arizona*, 520 U.S. 43, 71 (1997) (“When a civil case becomes moot pending appellate adjudication, [t]he established practice ... in the federal system ...

is to reverse or vacate the judgment below and remand with a direction to dismiss.”) (quoting *United States v. Munsingwear, Inc.*, 340 U.S. 36, 39 (1950)); see also *Azar v. Garza*, 138 S. Ct. 1790, 1792 (2018) (per curiam). Indeed, the Supreme Court is unlikely to allow such important legal issues to be determined by a decision that it may not be able to review due to intervening agency action mooted the case. See *Munsingwear*, 340 U.S. at 40 (vacatur is proper to “clear[] the path for future relitigation of the issues”); see also *A.L. Mechling Barge Lines, Inc. v. United States*, 368 U.S. 324, 329 (1961) (“We think the principle enunciated in *Munsingwear* at least equally applicable to unreviewed administrative orders....”); cf. *Relf v. Weinberger*, 565 F.2d 722, 727 (D.C. Cir. 1977) (per curiam) (vacating orders where agency announced its “inten[tion] to issue a new notice of rule making ... at the conclusion of which it will promulgate [new] comprehensive regulations”).

Perhaps recognizing this, Movants do not attempt to hide their true aim: to obtain a decision from this Court that “might influence EPA’s ongoing administrative process by explicating the relevant law.” Motion at 16. But it is not the proper role of this Court to issue such prospective guidance on the law, even if doing so might save “critical time” by jumping ahead to judicial review before EPA takes final action on its Repeal Proposal and Replacement Proposal. *Id.* at 3; see also *In re Murray Energy Corp.*, 788 F.3d 330 (D.C. Cir. 2015) (denying a request to review the legality of the proposed Clean Power Plan because the rule was not yet final). If the Movants take issue with any aspect of those proposed actions, the appropriate forum to raise those

issues is in rulemaking comments on the proposed rules—not in this case. And if their concerns are not addressed in any final action EPA takes in the coming months, Movants can litigate those issues by filing a petition for review of that final action.

Movants repeatedly suggest that EPA's Replacement Proposal is deficient and that this purported deficiency somehow weighs in favor of issuing a decision in this case. As an initial matter, Movants mischaracterize important aspects of the Replacement Proposal. They argue the proposed emission guideline "does not require any carbon dioxide reductions from power plants, but instead merely lists potential ways to achieve heat rate improvements at coal-fired steam-generating plants that States can elect to incorporate into state plans." Motion at 9. Like any emission guideline, the Replacement Proposal would not establish emission standards directly applicable to individual sources. As the Clean Power Plan itself notes, it "does not directly affect EGU owners or operators in your State." 80 Fed. Reg. 64,662, 64,952 (Oct. 23, 2015) (promulgating 40 C.F.R. § 60.5840(a)). Rather, as section 111(d) of the Clean Air Act and EPA's implementing regulations require, the proposal designates the best system of emission reduction for the source category and provides guidance for *states* to use in developing state plans that include performance standards for each affected unit within the state. 42 U.S.C. § 7411(d)(1); 40 C.F.R. § 60.22; 83 Fed. Reg. at 44,809 (proposed 40 C.F.R. § 60.5755a). And in setting those standards, the Replacement Proposal *requires* states to consider the degree of emission limitation

achievable through applicable heat rate improvements at the unit. 83 Fed. Reg. at 44,808-09 (proposed 40 C.F.R. §§ 60.5740a(a)(1), 60.5755a(a)(2)).

Movants also note disparagingly that the Replacement Proposal is projected to reduce power sector carbon dioxide emissions by up to 2 percent below “business as usual.” Motion at 10. But they neglect to note that the Clean Power Plan itself would reduce those emissions by only 3 percent below “business as usual.”<sup>2</sup> The Replacement Proposal, like the Clean Power Plan, would keep the United States on track to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from the power sector by at least 32% below 2005 levels by 2020. RIA at 3-15, Tbl. 3-6.

Regardless, Movants’ objections are directed at a *proposed* rule that may or may not be finalized in its current form. This Court should not issue a decision to resolve legal issues that may not even exist once the rule becomes final. In any event, the legal issues presented in a review of the Replacement Proposal (if finalized) are likely to be different from those presented in this case—a decision on whether the Clean Power Plan exceeds EPA’s authority under section 111(d) of the Clean Air Act may not even be relevant in determining whether EPA erred in choosing another path. If Movants oppose the Replacement Proposal, the Clean Air Act provides Movants with

---

<sup>2</sup> EPA, Regulatory Impact Analysis for the Proposed Emission Guidelines for Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Existing Electric Utility Generating Units; Revisions to Emission Guideline Implementing Regulations; Revisions to New Source Review Program, EPA-452/R-18-006, at ES-8, Tbl. ES-5 (Aug. 2018), Doc. No. EPA-HQ-OAR-2017-0355-21182 (“RIA”).



a direct avenue to this Court through the filing of a petition for review of EPA's final action under section 307(b) of the Clean Air Act. 42 U.S.C. § 7607(b).

Although Movants accuse EPA and Petitioners of abusing the Court's grant of abeyance to indefinitely forestall regulation of greenhouse gas emissions from existing power plants, Motion at 16, EPA is proceeding with its review of the Clean Power Plan expeditiously. *See* Pet'rs' Status Report at 7-8 (describing progress of review in context of other complex rulemakings). Indeed, EPA is proceeding much more quickly than the 3 or 4 year period of time Movants, in their submissions opposing the Court's first grant of abeyance in this case, anticipated EPA's review could take. States' Abeyance Opp'n at 7; ENGOs' Abeyance Opp'n at 5. EPA currently expects to take final action on its review "by the first part of 2019, after consideration of public comments," putting those administrative proceedings on track for completion within 2 years or less from when they commenced. EPA Status Report at 5 ¶ 11, ECF No. 1747298 (Aug. 24, 2018).

Finally, although briefing and oral argument have taken place on challenges to the Clean Power Plan in Case No. 15-1363 and consolidated cases, there are also additional challenges to that rule that ripened upon the denial of petitions for reconsideration that have yet to be briefed or argued. *See, e.g.*, Joint Mot. to Sever & Consolidate, ECF No. 1663046 (Feb. 24, 2017). Some of those challenges involve notice issues that are critical to the fundamental legal validity of the Clean Power Plan and would need to be addressed in any decision by this Court.

To decide the validity of the Clean Power Plan, therefore, the Court would need to expend significant resources to resolve the pending motions to consolidate, accept briefing and argument on the additional issues that ripened upon EPA's denial of the petitions for reconsideration, resolve all issues, issue a decision for the *en banc* Court (along with any potential separate concurrences or dissents), and deal with any petitions for rehearing that may be filed. The non-prevailing parties would surely file protective petitions for writs of certiorari in the Supreme Court, requiring all of the numerous parties and the Justices to expend further resources. Given the large number of parties and counsel involved in this matter and the complexity of the issues, such an effort would be extensive. And because, as explained above, the case could ultimately be mooted by EPA's final action on review of the Clean Power Plan, all of this effort would likely be wasted.

By contrast, continuing to hold these cases in abeyance until EPA finalizes its rulemaking by early 2019 conserves judicial and party resources and would not prejudice the parties. Movants' quarrel is not ultimately with abeyance, but with the Supreme Court's decision to stay the Clean Power Plan. Movants argue that they are prejudiced because while the Clean Power Plan continues to be stayed, they are "denied regulatory protection from" existing power plants' greenhouse gas emissions.<sup>3</sup>

---

<sup>3</sup> Movants are incorrect that these sources' emissions are subject to "no federal limits." Motion at 5. Existing power plants may be subject to standards of performance for greenhouse gases under section 111(b) of the Clean Air Act if they are modified or reconstructed, 40 C.F.R. pt. 60 Subpt. TTTT, and may be subject to

Motion at 17-18. Movants ignore that the Supreme Court granted an extraordinary stay in this case because it presumably concluded both that the Clean Power Plan was likely unlawful and that imposing that unlawful rule on Petitioners would cause them irreparable harm. *See Hollingsworth v. Perry*, 558 U.S. 183, 190 (2010) (per curiam).

In light of that stay, a decision by this Court would have no near-term practical effect. The Supreme Court has stayed the Clean Power Plan pending disposition of any petitions for writs of certiorari (or a decision on the merits if the petitions are granted).<sup>4</sup> *West Virginia v. EPA*, 136 S. Ct. 1000 (2016) (No. 15A773). Thus, even if this Court were to issue a decision upholding the Clean Power Plan, that rule would not take effect unless and until the Supreme Court were to resolve the inevitable petitions for writs of certiorari and resolve them in Movants' favor, long before which EPA would almost certainly have concluded its rulemaking. Where the Court's institutional interests favor deferring review, any hardship a party would suffer from deferral must be "immediate and significant" to merit potentially proceeding with the

---

"best available control technology" limits for greenhouse gases under the Act's Prevention of Significant Deterioration program if they conduct a major modification for another pollutant, *see Util. Air Regulatory Grp. v. EPA*, 134 S. Ct. 2427 (2014).

<sup>4</sup> As Petitioners informed the Court, the Public Health and Environmental Respondent-Intervenors sent a letter to Chief Justice Roberts on July 27, 2018, noting that "the Court may wish to require the parties to explain why the stay should continue in effect." Pet'rs' Status Report at 6 (quoting Letter from Sean H. Donahue, Counsel of Record for Environmental Defense Fund, et al., to The Hon. John G. Roberts, Jr., Chief Justice of the United States & Circuit Justice for the D.C. Circuit, Supreme Court of the United States, at 3 (July 27, 2018)). The Supreme Court has docketed the letter. Docket, *West Virginia v. EPA*, No. 15A773 (S. Ct.).

case. *Am. Petroleum Inst.*, 683 F.3d at 389 (placing case in abeyance where “it is not at all clear that [party] could [avoid claimed hardship resulting from abeyance] if we decided this petition in their favor”) (internal quotation marks and citation omitted). Movants cannot meet that standard. Continuing to hold these cases in abeyance will not cause hardship to Movants given the Supreme Court’s continuing stay of the Clean Power Plan.

### CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, this Court should deny Movants’ request to issue a decision and continue holding these cases in abeyance.

Dated: September 14, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Allison D. Wood

F. William Brownell  
Allison D. Wood  
Henry V. Nickel  
Tauna M. Szymanski  
HUNTON ANDREWS KURTH LLP  
2200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20037  
Tel: (202) 955-1500  
bbrownell@HuntonAK.com  
awood@HuntonAK.com  
hnickel@HuntonAK.com  
tszymanski@HuntonAK.com

*Counsel for Petitioners Utility Air Regulatory  
Group and American Public Power Association*

/s/ Peter S. Glaser

Peter S. Glaser  
TROUTMAN SANDERS LLP  
401 Ninth Street N.W., Suite 1000  
Washington, D.C. 20004  
Tel: (202) 274-2998  
peter.glaser@troutmansanders.com

Carroll W. McGuffey III  
TROUTMAN SANDERS LLP  
600 Peachtree Street, N.E., Suite 5200  
Atlanta, GA 30308  
Tel: (404) 885-3000  
mack.mcguffey@troutmansanders.com

*Counsel for Petitioner National Mining  
Association*

/s/ Lindsay S. See

Patrick Morrissey  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF WEST  
VIRGINIA  
Lindsay S. See  
Solicitor General  
*Counsel of Record*  
Paul Anthony Martin  
Chief Deputy Attorney General  
State Capitol Building 1, Room 26-E  
Charleston, WV 25305  
Tel: (304) 558-2021  
Fax: (304) 558-0140  
lindsay.s.see@wvago.gov  
anthony.p.martin@wvago.gov

*Counsel for Petitioner State of West Virginia*

/s/ Kyle D. Hawkins

Ken Paxton  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
Jeffrey C. Mateer  
First Assistant Attorney General  
Kyle D. Hawkins  
Solicitor General  
*Counsel of Record*  
P.O. Box 12548  
Austin, TX 78711-2548  
Tel: (512) 936-1700  
Kyle.Hawkins@oag.texas.gov

*Counsel for Petitioner State of Texas*

/s/ Peter D. Keisler

Peter D. Keisler  
C. Frederick Beckner III  
Ryan C. Morris  
SIDLEY AUSTIN, LLP  
1501 K Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
Tel: (202) 736-8027  
pkeisler@sidley.com  
rbeckner@sidley.com  
rmorris@sidley.com

*Counsel for Petitioners Chamber of Commerce of the United States of America; National Association of Manufacturers; American Fuel & Petrochemical Manufacturers; National Federation of Independent Business; American Chemistry Council; American Coke and Coal Chemicals Institute; American Foundry Society; American Forest & Paper Association; American Iron & Steel Institute; American Wood Council; Brick Industry Association; Electricity Consumers Resource Council; Lignite Energy Council; National Lime Association; National Oilseed Processors Association; and Portland Cement Association*

/s/ Andrew Brasher

Steven T. Marshall  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF ALABAMA  
Andrew Brasher  
Solicitor General  
*Counsel of Record*  
501 Washington Avenue  
Montgomery, AL 36130  
Tel: (334) 353-2609  
abrasher@ago.state.al.us

*Counsel for Petitioner State of Alabama*

/s/ Dominic E. Draye

Mark Brnovich  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF ARIZONA  
Dominic E. Draye  
Solicitor General  
*Counsel of Record*  
Keith J. Miller  
Assistant Solicitor General  
Arizona Attorney General's Office  
1275 West Washington Street  
Phoenix, AZ 85007-2997  
Tel: (602) 542-3333  
Fax: (602) 542-8308  
dominic.draye@azag.gov  
keith.miller@azag.gov  
SolicitorGeneral@azag.gov

*Counsel for Petitioner Arizona Corporation Commission*

/s/ Thomas A. Lorenzen

Thomas A. Lorenzen  
CROWELL & MORING LLP  
1001 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20004  
Tel: (202) 624-2500  
tlorenzen@crowell.com

*Counsel for Petitioners National Rural Electric Cooperative Association; Big Rivers Electric Corporation; Brazos Electric Power Cooperative, Inc.; Buckeye Power, Inc.; Central Montana Electric Power Cooperative; Central Electric Power Cooperative, Inc.; Corn Belt Power Cooperative; Dairyland Power Cooperative; East River Electric Power Cooperative, Inc.; Georgia Transmission Corporation; Kansas Electric Power Cooperative, Inc.; North Carolina Electric Membership Corporation; Northwest Iowa Power Cooperative; Oglethorpe Power Corporation; PowerSouth Energy Cooperative; Prairie Power, Inc.; Rushmore Electric Power Cooperative, Inc.; Seminole Electric Cooperative, Inc.; Southern Illinois Power Cooperative; Sunflower Electric Power Corporation; and Upper Missouri G. & T. Electric Cooperative, Inc.*

/s/ Lee Rudofsky

Leslie Rutledge  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF ARKANSAS  
Lee Rudofsky  
Solicitor General  
*Counsel of Record*  
Jamie L. Ewing  
Assistant Attorney General  
323 Center Street, Suite 400  
Little Rock, AR 72201  
Tel: (501) 682-5310  
lee.rudofsky@arkansasag.gov

*Counsel for Petitioner State of Arkansas*

/s/ Frederick Yarger

Cynthia H. Coffman  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF COLORADO  
Frederick Yarger  
Solicitor General  
*Counsel of Record*  
1300 Broadway, 10th Floor  
Denver, CO 80203  
Tel: (720) 508-6168  
fred.yarger@coag.gov

*Counsel for Petitioner State of Colorado*

Rae Cronmiller  
Environmental Counsel  
NATIONAL RURAL ELECTRIC  
COOPERATIVE ASSOCIATION  
4301 Wilson Blvd.  
Arlington, VA 22203  
Tel: (703) 907-5500  
rae.cronmiller@nreca.coop

*Counsel for Petitioner National Rural Electric  
Cooperative Association*

/s/ Eric L. Hiser

Eric L. Hiser  
JORDEN HISER & JOY, PLC  
5080 N. 40<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 245  
Phoenix, AZ 85018  
Tel: (480) 505-3927  
ehiser@JHJLawyers.com

*Counsel for Petitioner Arizona Electric Power  
Cooperative, Inc.*

/s/ Brian A. Prestwood

Brian A. Prestwood  
Senior Corporate and Compliance  
Counsel  
ASSOCIATED ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE,  
INC.  
2814 S. Golden, P.O. Box 754  
Springfield, MO 65801  
Tel: (417) 885-9273  
bprestwood@aeci.org

*Counsel for Petitioner Associated Electric  
Cooperative, Inc.*

/s/ Jonathan A. Glogau

Pamela Jo Bondi  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF FLORIDA  
Amit Agarwal  
Solicitor General  
Jonathan A. Glogau  
*Counsel of Record*  
Special Counsel

Jordan Pratt  
Office of the Attorney General  
PL-01, The Capitol  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1050  
Tel: (850) 414-3818  
Fax: (850) 410-2672  
amit.agarwal@myfloridalegal.com  
jonathan.glogau@myfloridalegal.com  
jordan.pratt@myfloridalegal.com

*Counsel for Petitioner State of Florida*

/s/ Andrew A. Pinson

Christopher M. Carr  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF GEORGIA  
Andrew A. Pinson  
Deputy Solicitor General  
*Counsel of Record*  
Office of the Attorney General  
40 Capitol Square S.W.  
Atlanta, GA 30334-1300  
Tel: (404) 651-9453  
Fax: (404) 657-8773  
apinson@law.ga.gov

*Counsel for Petitioner State of Georgia*



/s/ David Crabtree  
David Crabtree  
Vice President, General Counsel  
DESERET GENERATION & TRANSMISSION  
CO-OPERATIVE  
10714 South Jordan Gateway  
South Jordan, UT 84095  
Tel: (801) 619-9500  
Crabtree@deseretpower.com

*Counsel for Petitioner Deseret Generation &  
Transmission Co-operative*

/s/ John M. Holloway III  
John M. Holloway III  
WILLIAMS MULLEN  
1666 K Street, N.W., Suite 1200  
Washington, D.C. 20006  
Tel: (202) 293-8127  
Fax: (202) 293-5939  
jholloway@williamsmullen.com

*Counsel for Petitioners East Kentucky Power  
Cooperative, Inc.; Hoosier Energy Rural Electric  
Cooperative, Inc.; Minnkota Power Cooperative,  
Inc.; and South Mississippi Electric Power  
Association*

Joshua L. Belcher  
EVERSHEDS SUTHERLAND (US) LLP  
1101 Fannin Street, Suite 3700  
Houston, TX 77002  
Tel: (713) 470-6118  
Fax: (713) 654-1301  
joshuabelcher@eversheds-sutherland.com

*Counsel for Petitioner East Kentucky Power  
Cooperative, Inc.*

/s/ Thomas M. Fisher  
Curtis T. Hill, Jr.  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF INDIANA  
Thomas M. Fisher  
Solicitor General  
*Counsel of Record*  
Office of the Attorney General  
Indiana Government Ctr. South  
Fifth Floor  
302 West Washington Street  
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2770  
Tel: (317) 232-6255  
Fax: (317) 232-7979  
tom.fisher@atg.in.gov

*Counsel for Petitioner State of Indiana*

/s/ Jeffrey A. Chanay  
Derek Schmidt  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF KANSAS  
Jeffrey A. Chanay  
Chief Deputy Attorney General  
*Counsel of Record*  
Bryan C. Clark  
Assistant Solicitor General  
120 S.W. 10th Avenue, 3rd Floor  
Topeka, KS 66612  
Tel: (785) 368-8435  
Fax: (785) 291-3767  
jeff.chanay@ag.ks.gov

*Counsel for Petitioner State of Kansas*

/s/ Patrick Burchette

Patrick Burchette  
HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP  
800 17<sup>th</sup> Street, N.W., Suite 1100  
Washington, D.C. 20006  
Tel: (202) 469-5102  
Patrick.Burchette@hkllaw.com

*Counsel for Petitioners East Texas Electric  
Cooperative, Inc.; Northeast Texas Electric  
Cooperative, Inc.; Sam Rayburn G&T Electric  
Cooperative, Inc.; and Tex-La Electric  
Cooperative of Texas, Inc.*

/s/ Christopher L. Bell

Christopher L. Bell  
GREENBERG TRAURIG LLP  
1000 Louisiana Street, Suite 1700  
Houston, TX 77002  
Tel: (713) 374-3556  
bellc@gtllaw.com

*Counsel for Petitioner Golden Spread Electrical  
Cooperative, Inc.*

/s/ Mark Walters

Mark Walters  
Michael J. Nasi  
JACKSON WALKER L.L.P.  
100 Congress Avenue, Suite 1100  
Austin, TX 78701  
Tel: (512) 236-2000  
Fax: (512) 236-2002  
mwalters@jw.com  
mnasi@jw.com

*Counsel for Petitioners San Miguel Electric  
Cooperative, Inc., South Texas Electric  
Cooperative, Inc.*

/s/ Joe Newberg

Andy Beshear  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF KENTUCKY  
Joseph A. Newberg, II  
*Counsel of Record*  
Samuel R. Flynn  
Assistant Attorneys General  
700 Capital Avenue  
Suite 118  
Frankfort, KY 40601  
Tel: (502) 696-5611  
joe.newberg@ky.gov  
samuel.flynn@ky.gov

*Counsel for Petitioner Commonwealth of  
Kentucky*

/s/ Elizabeth B. Murrill

Jeff Landry  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF LOUISIANA  
Elizabeth B. Murrill  
*Counsel of Record*  
Harry J. Vorhoff  
Assistant Attorneys General  
Office of the Louisiana Attorney General  
Louisiana Department of Justice  
1885 N. Third Street  
Baton Rouge, LA 70802  
Tel: (225) 326-6085  
Fax: (225) 326-6099  
murrille@ag.louisiana.gov  
vorhoffh@ag.louisiana.gov

*Counsel for Petitioner State of Louisiana*

/s/ Randolph G. Holt  
Randolph G. Holt  
Jeremy L. Fetty  
PARR RICHEY OBREMSKEY FRANSEN &  
PATTERSON LLP  
Wabash Valley Power Association, Inc.  
722 N. High School Road  
P.O. Box 24700  
Indianapolis, IN 46224  
Tel: (317) 481-2815  
R\_holt@wvpa.com  
jfetty@parrlaw.com

*Counsel for Petitioner Wabash Valley Power  
Association, Inc.*

/s/ Megan H. Berge  
Megan H. Berge  
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.  
1299 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20004  
Tel: (202) 639-7700  
megan.berge@bakerbotts.com

*Counsel for Petitioner Western Farmers Electric  
Cooperative*

/s/ Steven C. Kohl  
Steven C. Kohl  
Gaetan Gerville-Reache  
WARNER NORCROSS & JUDD LLP  
2000 Town Center, Suite 2700  
Southfield, MI 48075-1318  
Tel: (248) 784-5000  
skohl@wnj.com

*Counsel for Petitioner Wolverine Power Supply  
Cooperative, Inc.*

/s/ Herman Robinson  
Herman Robinson  
Executive Counsel  
Elliott Vega  
Courtney J. Burdette  
Charlotte M. Goudeau  
LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF  
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY  
Legal Division  
P.O. Box 4302  
Baton Rouge, LA 70821-4302  
Tel: (225) 219-3985  
Fax: (225) 219-4068  
courtney.burdette@la.gov  
charlotte.goudeau@la.gov

*Counsel for Petitioner State of Louisiana  
Department of Environmental Quality*

/s/ Lesley Foxhall Pietras  
Lesley Foxhall Pietras  
LISKOW & LEWIS, P.L.C.  
701 Poydras Street, Suite 5000  
New Orleans, LA 70139  
Tel: (504) 556-4125  
Fax: (504) 556-4108  
lfpietras@liskow.com

*Counsel for Petitioner Louisiana Public Service  
Commission*

/s/ Christina F. Gomez  
Christina F. Gomez  
Jill H. Van Noord  
HOLLAND & HART LLP  
555 Seventeenth Street, Suite 3200  
Denver, CO 80202  
Tel: (303) 295-8000  
Fax: (303) 295-8261  
cgomez@hollandhart.com  
jhvan Noord@hollandhart.com

Patrick R. Day  
HOLLAND & HART LLP  
2515 Warren Avenue, Suite 450  
Cheyenne, WY 82001  
Tel: (307) 778-4200  
Fax: (307) 778-8175  
pday@hollandhart.com

Emily C. Schilling  
HOLLAND & HART LLP  
222 South Main Street, Suite 2200  
Salt Lake City, UT 84101  
Tel: (801) 799-5800  
Fax: (801) 799-5700  
ecschilling@hollandhart.com

*Counsel for Petitioner Basin Electric Power  
Cooperative*

/s/ Aaron D. Lindstrom  
Bill Schuette  
ATTORNEY GENERAL FOR THE PEOPLE  
OF MICHIGAN  
Aaron D. Lindstrom  
Michigan Solicitor General  
*Counsel of Record*  
P.O. Box 30212  
Lansing, MI 48909  
Tel: (515) 373-1124  
Fax: (517) 373-3042  
lindstroma@michigan.gov

*Counsel for Petitioner People of the State of  
Michigan*

/s/ Harold E. Pizzetta, III  
Jim Hood  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF  
MISSISSIPPI  
Harold E. Pizzetta  
Assistant Attorney General  
Civil Litigation Division  
Office of the Attorney General  
Post Office Box 220  
Jackson, MS 39205  
Tel: (601) 359-3816  
Fax: (601) 359-2003  
hpizz@ago.state.ms.us

*Counsel for Petitioner State of Mississippi*

/s/ Stacey Turner  
Stacey Turner  
SOUTHERN COMPANY SERVICES, INC.  
600 18th Street North  
BIN 14N-8195  
Birmingham, AL 35203  
Tel: (205) 257-2923  
staturner@southernco.com

*Counsel for Petitioners Alabama Power Company, Georgia Power Company, Gulf Power Company, and Mississippi Power Company*

/s/ C. Grady Moore, III  
C. Grady Moore, III  
BALCH & BINGHAM LLP  
1901 Sixth Avenue North, Suite 1500  
Birmingham, AL 35303-4642  
Tel: (205) 251-8100  
Fax: (205) 488-5704  
gmoore@balch.com

*Counsel for Petitioner Alabama Power Company*

/s/ Margaret Claiborne Campbell  
Margaret Claiborne Campbell  
Angela J. Levin  
TROUTMAN SANDERS LLP  
600 Peachtree Street, NE, Suite 5200  
Atlanta, GA 30308-2216  
Tel: (404) 885-3000  
margaret.campbell@troutmansanders.com  
angela.levin@troutmansanders.com

*Counsel for Petitioner Georgia Power Company*

/s/ Donna J. Hodges  
Donna J. Hodges  
Senior Counsel  
MISSISSIPPI DEPARTMENT OF  
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY  
P.O. Box 2261  
Jackson, MS 39225-2261  
Tel: (601) 961-5369  
Fax: (601) 961-5349  
dhodges@deq.state.ms.us

*Counsel for Petitioner Mississippi Department of Environmental Quality*

/s/ Todd E. Palmer  
Todd E. Palmer  
Valerie L. Green  
MICHAEL, BEST & FRIEDRICH LLP  
601 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W., Suite 700  
Washington, D.C. 20004-2601  
Tel: (202) 747-9560  
Fax: (202) 347-1819  
tepalmer@michaelbest.com  
vlgreen@michaelbest.com

*Counsel for Petitioner Mississippi Public Service Commission*

/s/ Terese T. Wyly

Terese T. Wyly  
Ben H. Stone  
BALCH & BINGHAM LLP  
1310 Twenty Fifth Avenue  
Gulfport, MS 39501-1931  
Tel: (228) 214-0413  
twyly@balch.com  
bstone@balch.com

*Counsel for Petitioner Mississippi Power  
Company*

/s/ Jeffrey A. Stone

Jeffrey A. Stone  
BEGGS & LANE, RLLP  
501 Commendencia Street  
Pensacola, FL 32502  
Tel: (850) 432-2451  
JAS@beggslane.com

James S. Alves  
2110 Trescott Drive  
Tallahassee, FL 32308  
Tel: (850) 566-7607  
jim.s.alves@outlook.com

*Counsel for Petitioner Gulf Power Company*

/s/ D. John Sauer

Josh Hawley  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MISSOURI  
D. John Sauer  
Solicitor General  
*Counsel of Record*  
P.O. Box 899  
207 W. High Street  
Jefferson City, MO 65102  
Tel: (573) 751-1800  
Fax: (573) 751-0774  
john.sauer@ago.mo.gov

*Counsel for Petitioner State of Missouri*

/s/ Dale Schowengerdt

Timothy C. Fox  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MONTANA  
Dale Schowengerdt  
Solicitor General  
*Counsel of Record*  
215 North Sanders  
Helena, MT 59620-1401  
Tel: (406) 444-7008  
dales@mt.gov

*Counsel for Petitioner State of Montana*

/s/ James S. Alves  
James S. Alves  
2110 Trescott Drive  
Tallahassee, FL 32308  
Tel: (850) 566-7607  
jim.s.alves@outlook.com

*Counsel for Petitioner CO<sub>2</sub> Task Force of the  
Florida Electric Power Coordinating Group, Inc.*

/s/ John J. McMackin  
John J. McMackin  
WILLIAMS & JENSEN  
701 8th Street, N.W., Suite 500  
Washington, D.C. 20001  
Tel: (202) 659-8201  
jjmcmackin@wms-jen.com

*Counsel for Petitioner Energy-Intensive  
Manufacturers Working Group on Greenhouse  
Gas Regulation*

/s/ Megan H. Berge  
Megan H. Berge  
William M. Bumpers  
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.  
1299 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20004  
Tel: (202) 639-7700  
megan.berge@bakerbotts.com  
william.bumpers@bakerbotts.com

*Counsel for Petitioner Entergy Corporation*

/s/ Justin D. Lavene  
Douglas J. Peterson  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF NEBRASKA  
Dave Bydlaek  
Chief Deputy Attorney General  
Justin D. Lavene  
Assistant Attorney General  
*Counsel of Record*  
2115 State Capitol  
Lincoln, NE 68509  
Tel: (402) 471-2834  
justin.lavene@nebraska.gov

*Counsel for Petitioner State of Nebraska*

/s/ Paul M. Seby  
Wayne Stenehjem  
Attorney General of North  
Dakota  
Margaret Olson  
Assistant Attorney General  
NORTH DAKOTA ATTORNEY GENERAL'S  
OFFICE  
600 E. Boulevard Avenue #125  
Bismarck, ND 58505  
Tel: (701) 328-3640  
wstenehjem@nd.gov  
maiolson@nd.gov  
Paul M. Seby  
Jerry Stouck  
Special Assistant Attorneys General  
State of North Dakota  
GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP  
1200 17th Street, Suite 2400  
Denver, CO 80202  
Tel: (303) 572-6500  
Fax: (303) 572-6540  
sebyp@gtlaw.com  
stouckj@gtlaw.com  
*Counsel for Petitioner State of North Dakota*

/s/ Paul J. Zidlicky

Paul J. Zidlicky  
SIDLEY AUSTIN, LLP  
1501 K Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
Tel: (202) 736-8000  
pzidlicky@sidley.com

*Counsel for Petitioners GenOn Mid-Atlantic, LLC; Indian River Power LLC; Louisiana Generating LLC; Midwest Generation, LLC; NRG Chalk Point LLC; NRG Power Midwest LP; NRG Rema LLC; NRG Texas Power LLC; NRG Wholesale Generation LP; and Vienna Power LLC*

/s/ David M. Flannery

David M. Flannery  
Kathy G. Beckett  
Edward L. Kropp  
STEPTOE & JOHNSON, PLLC  
707 Virginia Street East  
Charleston, WV 25326  
Tel: (304) 353-8000  
dave.flannery@steptoe-johnson.com  
kathy.beckett@steptoe-johnson.com  
skipp.kropp@steptoe-johnson.com

*Counsel for Petitioner Indiana Utility Group*

/s/ Eric E. Murphy

Michael DeWine  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF OHIO  
Eric E. Murphy  
State Solicitor  
*Counsel of Record*  
30 E. Broad Street, 17th Floor  
Columbus, OH 43215  
Tel: (614) 466-8980  
eric.murphy@ohioattorneygeneral.gov

*Counsel for Petitioner State of Ohio*

/s/ David B. Rivkin, Jr.

Mike Hunter  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF OKLAHOMA  
P. Clayton Eubanks  
Deputy Solicitor General  
Oklahoma Office of the Attorney  
General  
313 NE 21st Street  
Oklahoma City, OK 73105  
Tel: (405) 522-8992  
Fax: (405) 522-0608  
clayton.eubanks@oag.ok.gov

David B. Rivkin, Jr.

*Counsel of Record*  
Mark W. DeLaquil  
Andrew M. Grossman  
BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP  
Washington Square, Suite 1100  
1050 Connecticut Ave., N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20036  
Tel: (202) 861-1731  
Fax: (202) 861-1783  
drivkin@bakerlaw.com

*Counsel for Petitioners State of Oklahoma and Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality*



/s/ F. William Brownell  
F. William Brownell  
Eric J. Murdock  
HUNTON ANDREWS KURTH LLP  
2200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20037  
Tel: (202) 955-1500  
bbrownell@HuntonAK.com  
emurdock@HuntonAK.com

Nash E. Long III  
HUNTON ANDREWS KURTH LLP  
Bank of America Plaza, Suite 3500  
101 South Tryon Street  
Charlotte, NC 28280  
Tel: (704) 378-4700  
nlong@HuntonAK.com

*Counsel for Petitioner LG&E and KU Energy  
LLC*

/s/ James Emory Smith, Jr.  
Alan Wilson  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF SOUTH  
CAROLINA  
Robert D. Cook  
Solicitor General  
James Emory Smith, Jr.  
Deputy Solicitor General  
*Counsel of Record*  
P.O. Box 11549  
Columbia, SC 29211  
Tel: (803) 734-3680  
Fax: (803) 734-3677  
esmith@scag.gov

*Counsel for Petitioner State of South Carolina*

/s/ Steven R. Blair  
Marty J. Jackley  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF SOUTH  
DAKOTA  
Steven R. Blair  
Assistant Attorney General  
*Counsel of Record*  
1302 E. Highway 14, Suite 1  
Pierre, SD 57501  
Tel: (605) 773-3215  
steven.blair@state.sd.us

*Counsel for Petitioner State of South Dakota*

/s/ P. Stephen Gidiere III

P. Stephen Gidiere III  
Thomas L. Casey III  
Julia B. Barber  
BALCH & BINGHAM LLP  
1901 6th Ave. N., Suite 1500  
Birmingham, AL 35203  
Tel: (205) 251-8100  
sgidiere@balch.com

Stephanie Z. Moore  
Executive Vice President & General  
Counsel  
VISTRA ENERGY CORP.  
6555 Sierra Drive  
Irving, Texas 75039

Daniel J. Kelly  
Vice President & Associate General  
Counsel  
VISTRA ENERGY CORP.  
6555 Sierra Drive  
Irving, Texas 75039

*Counsel for Petitioners Luminant Generation  
Company LLC; Oak Grove Management  
Company LLC; Big Brown Power Company  
LLC; Sandow Power Company LLC; Big  
Brown Lignite Company LLC; Luminant  
Mining Company LLC; and Luminant Big  
Brown Mining Company LLC*

/s/ Tyler R. Green

Sean Reyes  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF UTAH  
Tyler R. Green  
Solicitor General  
*Counsel of Record*  
Parker Douglas  
Federal Solicitor  
Utah State Capitol Complex  
350 North State Street, Suite 230  
Salt Lake City, UT 84114-2320  
pdouglas@agutah.gov

*Counsel for Petitioner State of Utah*

/s/ Misha Tseytlin

Brad D. Schimel  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF WISCONSIN  
Misha Tseytlin  
Solicitor General  
*Counsel of Record*  
Delanie M. Breuer  
Chief of Staff  
Wisconsin Department of Justice  
17 West Main Street  
Madison, WI 53707  
Tel: (608) 267-9323  
tseytlinm@doj.state.wi.us

*Counsel for Petitioner State of Wisconsin*

/s/ Ronald J. Tenpas  
Ronald J. Tenpas  
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP  
1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20004  
Tel: (202) 739-3000  
rtenpas@morganlewis.com

*Counsel for Petitioner Minnesota Power (an  
operating division of ALLETE, Inc.)*

/s/ Allison D. Wood  
Allison D. Wood  
Tauna M. Szymanski  
Andrew D. Knudsen  
HUNTON ANDREWS KURTH LLP  
2200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20037  
Tel: (202) 955-1500  
awood@HuntonAK.com  
tszymanski@HuntonAK.com  
aknudsen@HuntonAK.com

*Counsel for Petitioner Montana-Dakota Utilities  
Co., a Division of MDU Resources Group, Inc.*

/s/ James Kaste  
Peter K. Michael  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF WYOMING  
James Kaste  
Deputy Attorney General  
*Counsel of Record*  
Erik Petersen  
Wyoming Attorney General's Office  
2320 Capitol Avenue  
Cheyenne, WY 82002  
Tel: (307) 777-6946  
Fax: (307) 777-3542  
james.kaste@wyo.gov

*Counsel for Petitioner State of Wyoming*

/s/ Dennis Lane  
Dennis Lane  
STINSON LEONARD STREET LLP  
1775 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W., Suite 800  
Washington, D.C. 20006  
Tel: (202) 785-9100  
Fax: (202) 785-9163  
dennis.lane@stinson.com

Parthenia B. Evans  
STINSON LEONARD STREET LLP  
1201 Walnut Street, Suite 2900  
Kansas City, MO 64106  
Tel: (816) 842-8600  
Fax: (816) 691-3495  
parthy.evans@stinson.com

*Counsel for Petitioner Kansas City Board of  
Public Utilities – Unified Government of  
Wyandotte County/ Kansas City, Kansas*

/s/ Megan H. Berge

Megan H. Berge  
William M. Bumpers  
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.  
1299 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20004  
Tel: (202) 639-7700  
megan.berge@bakerbotts.com  
william.bumpers@bakerbotts.com

*Counsel for Petitioner NorthWestern  
Corporation d/b/a NorthWestern Energy*

/s/ Joshua R. More

Joshua R. More  
Jane E. Montgomery  
Amy Antonioli  
SCHIFF HARDIN LLP  
233 South Wacker Drive  
Suite 7100  
Chicago, IL 60606  
Tel: (312) 258-5500  
jmore@schiffhardin.com  
jmontgomery@schiffhardin.com  
aantonioli@schiffhardin.com

*Counsel for Petitioner Prairie State Generating  
Company, LLC*

/s/ Allison D. Wood  
Allison D. Wood  
Tauna M. Szymanski  
Andrew D. Knudsen  
HUNTON ANDREWS KURTH LLP  
2200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20037  
Tel: (202) 955-1500  
awood@HuntonAK.com  
tszymanski@HuntonAK.com  
aknudsen@HuntonAK.com

*Counsel for Petitioner Tri-State Generation and  
Transmission Association, Inc.*

/s/ Megan H. Berge  
Megan H. Berge  
William M. Bumpers  
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.  
1299 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20004  
Tel: (202) 639-7700  
megan.berge@bakerbotts.com  
william.bumpers@bakerbotts.com

*Counsel for Petitioner Westar Energy, Inc.*

/s/ Jeffrey R. Holmstead  
Jeffrey R. Holmstead  
Brittany M. Pemberton  
BRACEWELL LLP  
2001 M Street, N.W., Suite 900  
Washington, D.C. 20036  
Tel: (202) 828-5852  
Fax: (202) 857-4812  
jeff.holmstead@bracewelllaw.com

*Counsel for Petitioner American Coalition for  
Clean Coal Electricity*

/s/ John D. Lazzaretti

John D. Lazzaretti  
SQUIRE PATTON BOGGS (US) LLP  
4900 Key Tower  
127 Public Square  
Cleveland, OH 44114  
Tel: (216) 479-8350  
john.lazzaretti@squirepb.com

*Counsel for Petitioner Murray Energy  
Corporation*

/s/ Andrew C. Emrich

Andrew C. Emrich  
HOLLAND & HART LLP  
6380 South Fiddlers Green Circle  
Suite 500  
Greenwood Village, CO 80111  
Tel: (303) 290-1621  
Fax: (866) 711-8046  
acemrich@hollandhart.com

Emily C. Schilling  
HOLLAND & HART LLP  
222 South Main Street, Suite 2200  
Salt Lake City, UT 84101  
Tel: (801) 799-5753  
Fax: (202) 747-6574  
ecschilling@hollandhart.com

*Counsel for Petitioners Newmont Nevada  
Energy Investment, LLC and Newmont USA  
Limited*

/s/ Charles T. Wehland

Charles T. Wehland

JONES DAY

77 West Wacker Drive, Suite 3500

Chicago, IL 60601-1692

Tel: (312) 782-3939

Fax: (312) 782-8585

ctwehland@jonesday.com

*Counsel for Petitioners The North American Coal Corporation; The Coteau Properties Company; Coyote Creek Mining Company, L.L.C.; The Falkirk Mining Company; Mississippi Lignite Mining Company; North American Coal Royalty Company; NODAK Energy Services, LLC; Otter Creek Mining Company, LLC; and The Sabine Mining Company*

/s/ Robert G. McLusky

Robert G. McLusky

JACKSON KELLY, PLLC

1600 Laidley Tower

P.O. Box 553

Charleston, WV 25322

Tel: (304) 340-1000

rmclusky@jacksonkelly.com

*Counsel for Petitioner West Virginia Coal Association*

/s/ Eugene M. Trisko

Eugene M. Trisko

LAW OFFICES OF EUGENE M. TRISKO

P.O. Box 596

Berkeley Springs, WV 25411

Tel: (301) 639-5238

emtrisko7@gmail.com

*Counsel for Petitioner International Brotherhood  
of Boilermakers, Iron Ship Builders,  
Blacksmiths, Forgers & Helpers*

/s/ Eugene M. Trisko

Eugene M. Trisko

LAW OFFICES OF EUGENE M. TRISKO

P.O. Box 596

Berkeley Springs, WV 25411

Tel: (301) 639-5238

emtrisko7@gmail.com

*Counsel for Petitioner International Brotherhood  
of Electrical Workers, AFL-CIO*



/s/ Eugene M. Trisko

Eugene M. Trisko  
LAW OFFICES OF EUGENE M. TRISKO  
P.O. Box 596  
Berkeley Springs, WV 25411  
Tel: (301) 639-5238  
emtrisko7@gmail.com

Grant F. Crandall  
General Counsel (Ret.)  
UNITED MINE WORKERS OF AMERICA  
18354 Quantico Gateway Drive  
Triangle, VA 22172  
Tel: (703) 291-2429  
gcrandall@umwa.org

Arthur Traynor, III  
Counsel  
UNITED MINE WORKERS OF AMERICA  
18354 Quantico Gateway Drive  
Triangle, VA 22172  
Tel: (571) 383-4013  
atraynor@umwa.org

*Counsel for Petitioner United Mine Workers of  
America*

/s/ Steven P. Lehotsky

Steven P. Lehotsky  
Michael B. Schon  
U.S. CHAMBER LITIGATION CENTER  
1615 H Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20062  
Tel: (202) 463-5337  
slehotsky@uschamber.com

*Counsel for Petitioner Chamber of Commerce of  
the United States of America*

/s/ Peter Tolsdorf

Peter Tolsdorf  
MANUFACTURERS' CENTER FOR LEGAL  
ACTION  
733 10th Street, N.W., Suite 700  
Washington, D.C. 20001  
Tel: (202) 637-3000  
ptolsdorf@nam.org

*Counsel for Petitioner National Association of  
Manufacturers*

/s/ Richard S. Moskowitz

Richard S. Moskowitz  
AMERICAN FUEL & PETROCHEMICAL  
MANUFACTURERS  
1667 K Street, N.W., Suite 700  
Washington, D.C. 20006  
Tel: (202) 457-0480  
rmoskowitz@afpm.org

*Counsel for Petitioner American Fuel &  
Petrochemical Manufacturers*

/s/ Karen R. Harned

Karen R. Harned  
Executive Director  
Elizabeth A. Milito  
NATIONAL FEDERATION OF  
INDEPENDENT BUSINESS  
SMALL BUSINESS LEGAL CENTER  
1201 F Street, N.W., Suite 200  
Washington, D.C. 20004  
Tel: (202) 314-2061  
karen.harned@nfib.org  
elizabeth.milito@nfib.org

*Counsel for Petitioner National Federation of  
Independent Business*

/s/ Megan H. Berge

Megan H. Berge  
William M. Bumpers  
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.  
1299 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20004  
Tel: (202) 639-7700  
megan.berge@bakerbotts.com  
william.bumpers@bakerbotts.com

*Counsel for Petitioner National Association of  
Home Builders*

/s/ Kathryn D. Kirmayer

Kathryn D. Kirmayer  
General Counsel  
Evelyn R. Nackman  
Associate General Counsel  
ASSOCIATION OF AMERICAN RAILROADS  
425 3rd Street, S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20024  
Tel: (202) 639-2100  
kkirmayer@aar.org

*Counsel for Petitioner Association of American  
Railroads*

/s/ Chaim Mandelbaum

Chaim Mandelbaum  
Litigation Manager  
FREE MARKET ENVIRONMENTAL LAW  
CLINIC  
726 N. Nelson Street, Suite 9  
Arlington, VA 22203  
Tel: (703) 577-9973  
chaim12@gmail.com

*Counsel for Petitioner Energy and Environment  
Legal Institute*

/s/ Catherine E. Stetson

Catherine E. Stetson

Eugene A. Sokoloff

HOGAN LOVELLS US LLP

555 Thirteenth Street, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20004-1109

Tel: (202) 637-5600

Fax: (202) 637-5910

cate.stetson@hoganlovells.com

eugene.sokoloff@hoganlovells.com

*Counsel for Petitioner Denbury Onshore, LLC*

/s/ Adam R.F. Gustafson

C. Boyden Gray

Adam R.F. Gustafson

*Counsel of Record*

James R. Conde

BOYDEN GRAY & ASSOCIATES, PLLC

801 17th Street, N.W., Suite 350

Washington, D.C. 20006

Tel: (202) 955-0620

gustafson@boydengrayassociates.com

*Counsel for Petitioners Competitive Enterprise  
Institute; Buckeye Institute for Public Policy  
Solutions; Independence Institute; Rio Grande  
Foundation; Sutherland Institute; Klaus J.  
Christoph; Samuel R. Damewood; Catherine C.  
Dellin; Joseph W. Luquire; Lisa R. Markham;  
Patrick T. Peterson; and Kristi Rosenquist*

Sam Kazman

COMPETITIVE ENTERPRISE INSTITUTE

1310 L Street, N.W., 7th Floor

Washington, D.C. 20005

Tel: (202) 331-1010

*Counsel for Petitioner Competitive Enterprise  
Institute*

Robert Alt

BUCKEYE INSTITUTE FOR PUBLIC POLICY

SOLUTIONS

88 E. Broad Street, Suite 1120

Columbus, OH 43215

Tel: (614) 224-4422

robert@buckeyeinstitute.org

*Counsel for Petitioner Buckeye Institute for  
Public Policy Solutions*

/s/ Tristan L. Duncan

Tristan L. Duncan  
SHOOK HARDY & BACON L.L.P.  
2555 Grand Boulevard  
Kansas City, MO 64018  
Tel: (816) 474-6550  
Fax: (816) 421-5547  
tlduncan@shb.com

*Counsel for Petitioner-Intervenors Dixon Bros.,  
Inc., Nelson Brothers, Inc., Wesco International,  
Inc., Norfolk Southern Corp., Joy Global, Inc.,  
and Gulf Coast Lignite Coalition*

/s/ Jonathan S. Massey

Jonathan S. Massey  
MASSEY & GAIL, LLP  
1325 G Street, N.W., Suite 500  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
Tel: (202) 652-4511  
Fax: (312) 379-0467  
jmassey@masseygail.com

Shay Dvoretzky  
Charles T. Wehland  
JONES DAY  
51 Louisiana Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20001  
Tel: (202) 879-3939  
Fax: (202) 879-1600  
sdvoretzky@jonesday.com  
ctwehland@jonesday.com

*Counsel for Petitioner-Intervenor Peabody Energy  
Corporation*

/s/ Mark Walters \_\_\_\_\_

Mark Walters

Michael Nasi

JACKSON WALKER L.L.P.

100 Congress Avenue, Suite 1100

Austin, TX 78701

Tel: (512) 236-2000

mwalters@jw.com

mnasi@jw.com

Douglas Bryan Hughes

LAW OFFICES OF D. BRYAN HUGHES

701 N. Pacific Street

Mineola, TX 75773

Tel: (903) 569-8880

bryan@hughesfirm.com

*Counsel for Petitioner-Intervenor Gulf Coast  
Lignite Coalition*

**CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE**

Pursuant to Rules 27(d)(2) and 32(g) of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure and Circuit Rules 32(a)(1) and 32(e)(1), I hereby certify that the foregoing document contains 2,965 words, as counted by a word processing system that includes headings, footnotes, quotations, and citations in the count, and therefore is within the word limit set by the Court.

Dated: September 14, 2018

/s/ Allison D. Wood

Allison D. Wood



**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that, on this 14th day of September 2018, a copy of the foregoing Petitioners' and Petitioner-Intervenors' Response in Opposition to Motion to Decide the Merits of the Case was served electronically through the Court's CM/ECF system on all ECF-registered counsel.

/s/ Allison D. Wood

Allison D. Wood